Page 1

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HOLOGIC, INC.,

Petitioner,

vs.

Case IPR2016-00820
Case IPR2016-00822
ENZO LIFE SCIENCES, INC.,

Patent Owner.

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEO DEPOSITION OF NORMAN NELSON, PH.D.

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 21, 2016

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

REPORTED BY:
LISA MOSKOWITZ
CA-CSR 10816, RPR, CRR, CLR
Job No. 17750



CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

	Page 2			Page 4
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Video deposition of NORMAN NELSON, PH.D., taken before Lisa Moskowitz, a Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of California, Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, NCRA Realtime Systems Administrator, commencing at 9:09 a.m. on Wednesday, December 21, 2016, in the offices of Hologic, Inc., at 10210 Genetic Center Drive, San Diego, California.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	INDEX Examination By: Page BY MR. STADNICK 8 EXHIBITS Petitioner's Page 1001 U.S. Patent Number 7,064,197 14 1002 Declaration of Dr. Norman Nelson in 181 the 820 IPR 1002 Declaration of Dr. Norman Nelson in 200 the 822 IPR 1006 Article Titled "A Sensitive Solid 50 Phase Microradioimmunoassay for Anti-Double Stranded DNA Antibodies 1007 Article Titled "Nucleic Acid 215 Hybridization Using DNA Covalently Coupled to Cellulose" 1008 Article Titled "In Situ 160 Hybridization of DNA Sequences in Human Metaphase Chromosomes Visualized by an Indirect Fluorescent Immunocytochemical Procedure 1009 U.S. Patent Number 3,572,892 205 1019 Article Entitled "Immobilized 187 Polynucleotides and Nucleic Acids" 1021 Article Entitled "Immobilized Acids" 1021 Article High Spot Homogeneity and Reduced Background Signal	
	Page 3	25		Page 5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	APPEARANCES For the Petitioner: FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP Attorneys at Law BY: M. PAUL BARKER, ESQ. Stanford Research Park 3300 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, California 94304-1203 (650) 849-6620 paul.barker@finnegan.com FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP Attorneys at Law BY: ARPITA BHATTACHARYYA, ESQ. Two Seaport Lane, Sixth Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2001 (617) 646-1675 arpita.bhattacharyya@finnegan.com For the Patent Owner: DESMARAIS, LLP Attorneys at Law BY: MICHAEL P. STADNICK, ESQ. BY: KERRI-ANN LIMBEEK, ESQ. 230 Park Avenue New York, New York 10169 (212) 351-3422 mstadnick@desmaraisllp.com klimbeek@desmaraisllp.com Also Present: MARINA HERNANDEZ, Videographer CHUCK CAPPELLARI, ESQ.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	2016/0017392	38 38

2 (Pages 2 to 5)



CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

	Page 6		Page 8
1	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 21, 2016	1	EXAMINATION
2	9:09 A.M.	2	BY MR. STADNICK:
3).0)/ ILIVI.	3	Q Okay. Good morning, Dr. Nelson.
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.	4	A Good morning.
5	This is tape number 1 of the videotaped	5	Q Can we begin by having you state
6	deposition of Dr. Norman Nelson in the	6	your full name for us?
7	matter of Hologic, Incorporated versus Enzo	7	A Norman C. Nelson.
8	Life Sciences, Incorporated, in the United	8	Q Where do you live?
9	States Patent and Trademark Office, Case	9	A San Diego, California.
10	Number IPR2016-00820. This deposition is	10	Q What do you do for a living?
11	being held at 10210 Genetic Center Drive,	11	A I'm a consultant in biotechnology.
12	San Diego, California on December 21, 2016,	12	Q You understand that you're here
13	at 9:09 a.m.	13	today to provide sworn testimony in some patent
14	My name is Marina Hernandez from the	14	office proceedings involving a patent owned by
15	firm of TransPerfect Legal Solutions, and I	15	my client Enzo; correct?
16	am the legal video specialist. The court	16	A Yes.
17	reporter is Lisa Moskowitz in association	17	Q You understand there are two
18	with TransPerfect Legal Solutions.	18	separate patent office proceedings currently?
19	Will counsel please introduce	19	A Yes.
20	themselves.	20	Q One is, we just mentioned a few
21	MR. STADNICK: Michael Stadnick from	21	moments ago, is IPR2016-820, which we'll call
22	the Desmarais law firm, representing Enzo,	22	the 820 IPR, if that's okay with you?
23	and with me here today is Kerri-Ann	23	A Yes.
24	Limbeek.	24	Q And the other one is IPR2016-822,
25	MR. BARKER: Paul Barker from	25	which we'll call the 822 IPR. Is that fair?
	Page 7		Page 9
1	Finnegan, representing Hologic. I'm here	1	A Yes.
2	with Arpita Bhattacharyya, who's also from	2	Q I'm going to mark a number of the
3	Finnegan, and Chuck Cappellari, in-house at	3	exhibits here today, and in most cases, the
4	Hologic.	4	exhibits will bear the same number in both of
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will	5	those IPR proceedings. If there's any reason to
6	the court reporter please swear in the	6	deviate from that process, I'll let you know,
7	witness.	7	but otherwise, you can assume that the exhibit
8	NODMAN NELCON DU D	8	I'm giving you is an exhibit in both
9	NORMAN NELSON, PH.D.,	9	proceedings. Fair?
10	called as a witness,	10	A Yes.
11 12	was examined and testified as follows:	11 12	Q You understand that the two IPR proceedings we're here in connection with today,
13	THE REPORTER: And Counsel, just to	13	the 820 and the 822, involve one of Enzo's
14	let you know, I can already tell I need	$\begin{vmatrix} 1.3 \\ 1.4 \end{vmatrix}$	United States patents which is number 7,064,197
15	everybody way louder than when you	15	or the '197 patent; correct?
16	introduced yourselves. Thank you.	16	A Yes.
17	MR. STADNICK: Before we begin, I	17	Q You've been hired by Hologic to
18	just want to note for the record I believe	18	offer certain opinions concerning the validity
19	the IPR caption you read in was just for	19	of the '197 patent. True?
20	the 820-case, but we're here today jointly	20	A I'm not sure I'm offering validity
21	in both IPR2016-00820 and IPR2016-00822.	21	of the patent in regards to if that's a legal
22	Agreed?	22	determination. I'm here as an expert witness in
23	MR. BARKER: That's correct.	23	the field.
24	///	24	Q You've been hired by Hologic to
25	///	25	offer opinions as to whether certain pieces of

3 (Pages 6 to 9)



CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

,	Page 10		Page 12
1	prior art anticipate or are obvious in Enzo's	1	related to patent infringement litigation?
2	'197 patent; correct?	2	A I believe they were in regards to
3	A Correct.	3	patents. I don't recall if it was an
4	Q In connection with your work for	4	infringement case.
5	Hologic on these IPR matters, you submitted two	5	Q Did any of your testimony in either
6	declarations in support of petitions for	6	of your two prior depositions while you were
7	institutions of IPR proceedings; correct?	7	employed at Gen-Probe relate in any way to the
8	A Correct.	8	technology that's at issue in your analysis for
9	Q I think you submitted both of those	9	the purposes of these IPR proceedings?
10	petitions back in March of 2016.	10	A I don't recall precisely, but I
11	Does that sound right?	11	don't believe so.
12	A That is correct. Whether it was	12	Q So you do understand that you're
13	March or they were submitted early April, I'm	13	testifying under oath here today; correct?
14	not sure, but that is the correct time frame.	14	A Yes.
15	Q Let's just say first half of 2016.	15	Q You understand that your testimony
16	You submitted your declarations in connection	16	here today is under oath in the same way it
17	with these IPR proceedings in the first half of	17	would be if you were testifying in a court
18	this year; right?	18	proceeding. Fair?
19 20	A Correct.	19	A Yes. There's a court reporter here who's
21	Q Since that time, has anything come to your attention that's contained in either of	20 21	Q There's a court reporter here who's going to be making a written transcript of our
22	those declarations that you want to change or	22	questions and answers.
23	you've come to realize is incorrect.	23	Do you understand that?
24	A No.	24	A Yes.
25	Q Have you ever had your deposition	25	Q There's a videographer here who's
23	Page 11	23	Page 13
1	taken before?	1	taking a video of the proceedings.
2	A Yes.	2	You're aware of that; correct?
3	Q How many times?	3	A Yes.
4	A Twice before.	4	Q Is there any reason you can think of
5	Q Without getting into the details of	5	why you're unable today to provide full and
6	the matters, could you give me some indication	6	accurate testimony in response to my questions?
7	of what your role was in each of those prior	7	A No.
8	depositions?	8	Q I have a bit of a cold; so if I'm
9	A I believe it's called just a fact	9	too quiet and you can't hear one of my questions
10	witness where I was giving testimony to the	10	or for any other reason you think that one of my
11	facts in regards to the scientific nature of	11	questions doesn't make sense or you're not sure
12	those two cases.	12	you understand what I'm asking you, I'd
13	Q How long ago were those two	13	appreciate it if you'd let me know; so we can
14	depositions?	14	have a clear record.
15	A I don't recall exactly, but	15	Does that sound fair?
16	somewhere between 10 and 15 years ago. Although	16	A Yes.
17	I do not recall exactly.	17	Q And finally, to have a clear record,
18	Q Is it fair to say that your prior	18	it's important that you and I make a concerted
19	deposition testimony as a fact witness related	19	effort to try to not talk over each other. I
20	to your employment at Gen-Probe?	20	know I've violated that rule already at least
21	A To the best of my recollection, that	21	once here today, but if we can try to do that,
22	is correct.	22	it will be easier for the court reporter to make
23	Q Do you recall if the prior	23	sure she gets an accurate record of our
24	depositions that you provided 10 to 15 years ago	24	discussion here today. Fair?
25	in connection with your work at Gen-Probe	25	A Yes.

4 (Pages 10 to 13)



-		1		
	Page 14		Page 16	
1	Q Okay.	1	A You mean other than Hologic, you	
2	(Exhibit Number 1001 was marked	2	mean the specific individual that I worked with?	
3	for identification.)	3	Q No. Hologic is the answer I was	
4	BY MR. STADNICK:	4	looking for.	
5	Q I handed you the first exhibit to	5	A Oh.	
6	your deposition today. It's been pre-marked as	6	Q That work was also for Hologic?	
7	Exhibits 1001 in both the 820 and the 822 IPRs.	7	A Correct.	
8	Exhibit 1001 is a copy of U.S. Patent 7,064,197;	8	Q Backing up to your role as an	
9	correct?	9	independent consultant, other than operating	
10	A Correct.	10	•	
11	Q And that's the Enzo patent that you	11	you employed by anybody currently?	
12	analyzed in connection with your work for	12	A I do contract work, work as a	
13	Hologic on the IPR matters; correct?	13	consultant, but it's all through my business.	
14	A That is correct.	14	So I'm not employed by anyone else outside of my	
15	Q When did you first when is the	15	business.	
16	first time you ever saw the '197 patent?	16	Q Do you hold any positions, executive	
17	A I don't recall exactly. It's	17	positions, board positions, anything like that	
18	possible it was 2014. That's possible but	18	with any companies currently?	
19	certainly early this year in 2016. I don't	19	A I do not.	
20	recall the 2014 for sure.	20	Q Okay. Going back to the '197	
21	Q Let me try it a different way.	21	patent, Exhibit 1001, could you please describe	
22	Prior to getting involved in working with	22	for me, in general terms, the subject matter of	
23	Hologic on these IPR matters, had you seen the	23	the '197 patent?	
24	'197 patent at all?	24	A Can we pause for just a moment? I'm	
25	A I honestly don't recall for sure.	25	reconsidering my answer. I also work for a	
	Page 15		Page 17	
1	Q In what context do you suspect that	1	company here in San Diego termed named Aegea,	
2	you might have seen the '197 patent before	2	and I do that as a consultant, but I also have	
3	getting involved with the IPR proceedings for	3	an arrangement with them which I don't know if	
4	Hologic?	4	it's technically construed as an employee. I	
5	A I've worked in this industry for	5	don't think so, but that is possible as I'm	
6	three decades; so it's possible that just in my	6	reconsidering my answer.	
7	normal course of researching literature that I	7	Again, when I it's a small amount	
8	have read it in the past. That's the part I	8	of work, and when I'm paid, it's always paid	
9	just don't recall for sure.	9	I bill them as NCN Enterprises which is my	
10	Q Is there something particular about	10	business. I may have some sort of an employment	
11	the 2014 time frame that makes you think you may	11	agreement with them, but I don't recall the	
12	have come across it then?	12	exact nature of that. Aegea is A-e-g-e-a.	
13	A I do recall that I did a brief	13	Q A-e-g-e-a?	
14	amount of work, not as a hired as an expert,	14	A Yes.	
15	although I don't recall the exact title, but a	15	Q What business is Aegea in?	
16	small amount of work on another Enzo matter	16	A It's also biotechnology. It's	
17	which may have been this same patent. It was	17	molecular.	
18	brief, and that's why I don't recall for sure.	18	Q Does Aegea have any products?	
19	It may have been this particular patent, but I	19	A No.	
20	just don't recall.	20	Q Is Aegea currently developing any	
21	Q The prior work you did on another	21	products with the intent to market them?	
22	Enzo matter, was that in your capacity as an	22	A No. It's in just a research phase,	
23	independent consultant?	23	not developing any products, feasibility	
24	A Correct. O Who were you doing that work for?	24 25	research of technology.	
25	Q Who were you doing that work for?	<u> </u> 4 5	Q Is any of the work going on at	

5 (Pages 14 to 17)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

