UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD APPLE INC., Petitioner

v.

CHESTNUT HILL SOUND INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-00794

U.S. Patent No. 8,090,309

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b), Petitioner objects as follows to the

admissibility of the evidence served by Patent Owner on November 23, 2016:

Evidence	Objections
Exhibit 2008 ("Review: Chestnut Hill Sound George", allegedly by Macworld)	FRE 801 (Hearsay): To the extent Patent Owner relies on the document for the truth of the statements made therein, the statements are hearsay. For example, the statements regarding the alleged features and critical reception of the George TM system cited in the Patent Owner Response ("POR") are hearsay. <i>See, e.g.</i> , POR, p. 27.
Exhibit 2009 ("Chestnut Hill Sound George," allegedly by PCMag)	FRE 801 (Hearsay): To the extent Patent Owner relies on the document for the truth of the statements made therein, the statements are hearsay. For example, the statements regarding the alleged features and critical reception of the George TM system cited in the Patent Owner Response ("POR") are hearsay. <i>See</i> , <i>e.g.</i> , POR, pp. 27-28.
Exhibit 2014 ("Pl. First Amnd. Complaint")	FRE 801 (Hearsay): To the extent Patent Owner relies on the document for the truth of the statements made therein, the statements are hearsay. For example, the statements describing Petitioner's previous relationship to Patent Owner cited in the POR are hearsay. See, e.g., POR, pp. 24-25, 28. FRE 901 (Authentication): Patent Owner has
	failed to produce any evidence to show that this is an authentic copy of the document.
Exhibit 2015 (Chestnut Hill Sound Inc. v. Apple Inc., Pl. First Amnd. Complaint, No. 15-261- RGA (D. Del), Ex.1)	FRE 801 (Hearsay): To the extent Patent Owner relies on the document for the truth of the statements made therein, the statements are hearsay. For example, the statements regarding the commercial success of the George tm product



Proceeding No. IPR2016-00794 Attorney Docket No: 39521-0016IP2

Evidence	Objections
	cited in the POR are hearsay. See, e.g., POR, pp. 1, 25, 26.
	FRE 402 (Relevance): To the extent that Patent Owner relies on the exhibit to support any argument related to the '309 patent, the exhibit is not relevant because it describes the George TM product, and does not include any statements regarding the '309 patent.
Exhibit 2016 (Chestnut Hill Sound Inc. v. Apple Inc., Pl. First Amnd. Complaint, No. 15-261- RGA (D. Del), Ex.2)	FRE 801 (Hearsay): To the extent Patent Owner relies on the document for the truth of the statements made therein, the statements are hearsay. For example, the statements regarding the commercial success of the George tm product cited in the POR are hearsay. <i>See, e.g.</i> , POR, pp. 1, 25, 26.
	FRE 402 (Relevance): To the extent that Patent Owner relies on the exhibit to support any argument related to the '309 patent, the exhibit is not relevant because it describes the George TM product, and does not include any statements regarding the '309 patent.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 1, 2016 /Joshua A. Griswold/

Joshua A. Griswold, Reg. No. 46,310

Fish & Richardson P.C.

P.O. Box 1022

Minneapolis, MN 55440-1022

T: 214-292-4034 F: 877-769-7945

Attorney for Petitioner



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 CFR §§ 42.6(e)(1) and 42.6(e)(4)(iii), the undersigned certifies that on December 1, 2016, a complete and entire copy of this Petitioner's Objections to Admissibility of Evidence was provided via email to the Patent Owner by serving the email correspondence addresses of record as follows:

Hamad M. Hamad CALDWELL CASSADY CURRY, P.C. 2101 Cedar Springs Road, Suite 1000 Dallas, Texas 75201

Email: hhamad@caldwellcc.com
caldwellcc.com
chillsound@caldwellcc.com

/Diana Bradley/

Diana Bradley Fish & Richardson P.C. 60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 (858) 678-5667

