

Apple Inc. (Petitioner)
v.
Chestnut Hill Sound Inc. (Patent Owner)
Petitioner Demonstratives

Case No. IPR2016-00794
U.S. Patent No. 8,090,309

Before Hon. Rama G. Elluru, David C. Mckone, and John F. Horvath
Administrative Patent Judges

Table of Contents

<u>Topic</u>	<u>Slide No.</u>
Introduction _____	3
Disputed Issues for Independent Claims _____	12
First Issue: Claim 9 is Obvious _____	14
Second Issue: Motivation to Combine _____	20
Third Issue: Alleged Secondary Considerations _____	31
Reference _____	45

Introduction

Introduction

“Patent Owner touts the subject matter in its '309 patent as ‘allowing a user to select and play co-housed or directly connected media and ... allowing a user to select and play remote media.’” *Reply (Paper 20) at 1 (quoting POR (Paper 16) at 7).*

“In essence, ***Patent Owner claims it revolutionized the audio world*** by placing a local media player and a remote media player in the same device.”
Reply (Paper 20) at 1 (emphasis added).

“However, as explained in the Petition and undisputed by Patent Owner, ***numerous devices integrating different types of media players***, including local and remote media players, ***existed at the time of the '309 patent[.]***”
Reply (Paper 20) at 1 (emphasis added) (citing Petition (Paper 2), 10-11; Klemets (Ex. 1009), Abstract; Barton (Ex. 1011), Abstract, 2:21-36; Baumgartner (Ex. 1007), 6:1-3 and 20-22 and FIG. 19).

Introduction

“Despite Patent Owner’s lofty claims that the ’309 patent “changed the way users interacted with their multimedia content,” it only argues that **a single element of the independent claims** (a “processor unit”)... and an element common to dependent claims 6 and 14 (that the “media source is a server”)... was not proved up by the Petition.” *Reply (Paper 20) at 2-3 (emphasis added) (citing POR (Paper 16), 10-13).*

9. A media device operable in first and second modes,
[...]

d. a processor unit adapted to execute computer instructions stored in the memory and causing the media device to operate in said first mode or said second mode,

14. The media device of claim 9 wherein the media source is a server.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.