

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.

Petitioner

v.

CHESTNUT HILL SOUND INC.

Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-00794

Patent 8,090,309

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITIONER'S
MOTION TO EXCLUDE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Exhibits 2004, 2015, and 2016 Are Not Hearsay and Are Properly Authenticated.....1

 A. Exhibits 2004, 2015, and 2016 Are Not Offered for the Truth of the Matter Asserted and Thus Are Not Hearsay.....2

 B. Exhibits 2015 and 2016 Are Properly Authenticated Under Rule 803(6).....3

II. Exhibits 2008 and 2009 Are Not Offered for the Truth of the Matter Asserted and Thus Are Not Hearsay7

III. Exhibit 2014 Is Also Admissible Because It Captures Admissions by Party Opponent9

IV. The Board Should Consider Patent Owner’s Evidence Under the Residual Hearsay Exception.....10

V. Patent Owner Requests That the Board Take Judicial Notice of Filings Before the District Court and Readily Ascertainable Facts11

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Anderson v. United States
417 U.S. 211 (1974).....2

Conoco Inc. v. Department of Energy
99 F.3d 387 (Fed. Cir. 1996)4, 6

Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.
CBM2012-00002, Paper 66 (January 23, 2014).....1

QSC Audio Products, LLC v. Crest Audio, Inc.
IPR2014-00127, Paper 43 (April 29, 2015).....11

REG Synthetic Fuels, LLC v. Neste Oil Oyj
841 F.3d 954 (Fed. Cir. 2016)2

S.E.C. v. Guenthner
395 F. Supp. 2d 835 (D. Neb. 2005).....1

U.S. v. McIntyre
997 F.2d 687 (10th Cir. 1993)10

VirtualAgility Inc. v. Salesforce.com, Inc.
759 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2014)12

Rules

Fed. R. Evid. 20112

Fed. R. Evid. 8012, 8

Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2).....9

Fed. R. Evid. 803(6)..... 3, 4, 6

Fed. R. Evid. 803(6)(A)4
Fed. R. Evid. 803(6)(B)5
Fed. R. Evid. 803(6)(C)5
Fed. R. Evid. 803(6)(D)6
Fed. R. Evid. 803(6)(E).....6
Fed. R. Evid. 807 10, 11

Petitioner misapprehends the evidentiary goals of this Board, sitting as a non-jury tribunal with a limited discovery scope. The Board has previously noted that “the Board, sitting as a non-jury tribunal with administrative expertise, is well-positioned to determine and assign appropriate weight to the evidence presented in this trial, without resorting to formal exclusion that might later be held reversible error.” *Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.*, CBM2012-00002, Paper 66 at 70 (January 23, 2014) (citing e.g., *S.E.C. v. Guenther*, 395 F. Supp. 2d 835, 842 n.3 (D. Neb. 2005)). The Board should liberally construe the rules of evidence, here, and consider Patent Owner’s evidence of secondary considerations because that non-hearsay evidence is properly authenticated, relevant, and appropriate for Board examination.

I. Exhibits 2004, 2015, and 2016 Are Not Hearsay and Are Properly Authenticated.

Although addressed separately by Petitioner, Exhibits 2015 and 2016 (collectively) are the same as Exhibit 2004. The papers that were filed together as Exhibit 2004 were simply separated into two separate exhibits for easier reference in the later filings. Those exhibits consist of press releases. The objections to all three of those exhibits¹ are addressed together, here.

¹ Petitioner addresses these exhibits on pages 1-4 and 11-15 for Exhibit 2004 and Exhibits 2015 and 2016, respectively. See Petitioner’s Motion to Exclude, Paper No. 23.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.