

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HP, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MEMJET TECHNOLOGY, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00790
Patent 8,678,550 B2

Before JAMESON LEE and JOHN F. HORVATH,
Administrative Patent Judges.

LEE, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

DECISION
Conduct of Proceedings
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

A conference call was held on July 1, 2016. The participants were respective counsel for the parties and Judges Lee and Horvath. The parties requested a “stay” of the due date for Patent Owner’s preliminary response. The parties explained that they have reached settlement in the proceeding.

We noted that if the parties truly have settled, then there would be no need for the filing of a preliminary response. Therefore, we saw no reason to extend the due date for the filing of the Patent Owner’s preliminary response. Based on discussions in the conference call, the parties withdrew their request for a “stay” of the due date for the filing of the Patent Owner’s preliminary response.

It is

ORDERED that the request for a “stay” of the due date for Patent Owner’s preliminary response is withdrawn; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are authorized to file a Joint Motion to Terminate, together with a true copy of any agreement or understanding reached in connection with or in contemplation of termination of proceeding. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b).

IPR2016-00790
Patent No. 8,678,550 B2

For PETITIONER:

Dion M. Bregman
Andrew J. Gray IV
Bradford A. Cangro
Jacob A. Snodgrass
Archis V. Ozarkar
dion.bregman@morganlewis.com
andrew.gray@morganlewis.com
bradford.cangro@morganlewis.com
jacob.snodgrass@morganlewis.com
neil.ozarkar@morganlewis.com

For PATENT OWNER:

James M. Glass
Marc Kaplan
John McKee
jimglass@quinnemanuel.com
marckaplan@quinnemanuel.com
johnmckee@quinnemanuel.com