

Filed on behalf of DSS TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT, INC.

By: James C. Gumina (gumina@mbhb.com)
Michael D. Clifford (clifford@mbhb.com)
Michael D. Anderson (andersonm@mbhb.com)
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP
300 South Wacker Drive
Suite 3200
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Tel.: (312) 913-0001
Fax: (312) 913-0002

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG GROUP
Petitioner,

v.

DSS TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00782
Patent 6,784,552

**PATENT OWNER RESPONSE TO PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,784,552**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page(s)</u>
I. Introduction.....	7
II. Background.....	9
A. The ‘552 Patent	9
1. Overview	9
2. Prosecution History.....	13
3. Illustrative Claims	14
4. Claim Construction	15
5. Grounds in Petition	16
B. Kuesters	17
C. Havemann.....	18
D. Heath.....	19
III. The Petition Fails to Identify Objective Evidence of Unpatentability and Instead Relies Upon the Conclusory Declaration of Richard Fair	22
IV. Neither Claim 1 Nor Claim 8 of the ‘552 Patent Is Anticipated by Kuesters	25
A. Claim 1 of the ‘552 Patent Is Not Anticipated by Kuesters.....	27
B. Claim 8 of the ‘552 Patent Is Not Anticipated by Kuesters.....	34
V. Claims 2, 4-7, and 9-12 of the ‘552 Patent Are Also Anticipated by Kuesters	35
VI. Neither Claim 1 Nor Claim 8 of the ‘552 Patent Is Obvious Over the Combinations of Kuesters and Heath	35
A. Claim 1 of the ‘552 Patent Is Not Obvious Over the Combinations of Kuesters and Heath.....	36
VII. Claims 2 and 4-7 of the ‘552 Patent Are Also Not Obvious Over the Combinations of Kuesters and Heath	43

VIII. Claim 3 of the '552 Patent Is Not Obvious Over the Combinations of
Kuesters, Heath, and Havemann.....44

IX. Conclusion46

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	15
<i>In re Rambus</i> , 694 F.3d 42, 46 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	15
<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. National Graphics, Inc.</i> , 800 F.3d 1375, 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	25
<i>In re Omeprazole Patent Litigation</i> , 281 Fed. Appx. 974, 979 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	26
<i>Medtronic, Inc. v. Norred, M.D.</i> , Case IPR2014-00111, 2015 WL 1906726, at *9 (PTAB Apr. 23, 2015)	26
<i>Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co.</i> , 814 F.2d 628, 631 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	26
<i>Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.</i> , 868 F.2d 1226, 1236 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....	26
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359, 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	26
<i>Biodelivery Scis. Int’l, Inc., Petitioner</i> , IPR2014-00325, 2014 WL 3767600, at *3 (July 29, 2014)	28
<i>Allergan, Inc. v. Barr Labs., Inc.</i> , 501 F. App’x 965, 972 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	28
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Commc’ns, Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327, 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	35, 36
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	35
<i>Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359, 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	36

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 103	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 361	<i>passim</i>

Secondary Sources

Kuesters et al., *Self Aligned Bitline Contact For 4 Mbit dRAM*, Proceedings of the First International Symposium on Ultra Large Scale Integration Science and Technology, 640-49 (1987)..... *passim*

Federal Circuit Bar Association Model Patent Jury Instruction A.5 (Feb. 2012)26

Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,763 (Aug. 14, 2012)28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.