

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
Petitioner

v.

DSS TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR. No. **2016-00782**
U.S. Patent No. 6,784,552
Title: STRUCTURE HAVING REDUCED LATERAL SPACER EROSION

REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,784,552

***Mail Stop* "PATENT BOARD"**
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. PATENT OWNER HAS FAILED TO REBUT PETITIONER’S SHOWING THAT KUESTERS DISCLOSES AN ACUTE ANGLE GREATER THAN 85°	5
2.1. Kuesters Clearly Discloses The Claimed Angle	5
2.2. Patent Owner’s Measurement Is Both Inaccurate And Deceptive	9
3. PATENT OWNER CANNOT AVOID UNPATENTABILITY BY READING LIMITATIONS FROM THE SPECIFICATION INTO THE CLAIMS	14
3.1. The Claims Do Not Require Using Low-Selectivity Etching.....	16
3.2. The Claims Do Not Require A “Boxy” or “Rectangular” Spacer	17
3.3. The Claims Do Not Require An Additional Layer Of Etch Stop Material Adjacent To The Spacer	18
3.4. The Claims Do Not Require That Only A Small Portion Of Spacer Material Be Removed During The Etching Process	18
3.5. The Claims Do Not Require The Spacer To Be Of A Specified Size	19
4. PATENT OWNER’S ARGUMENTS OVER HEATH ARE MISPLACED.....	19
5. CONCLUSION.....	23

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Akamai Technologies, Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc.</i> , 805 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	16
<i>Baldwin Graphic Systems, Inc. v. Siebert, Inc.</i> , 512 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	16, 18
<i>CollegeNet, Inc. v. ApplyYourself, Inc.</i> , 418 F.3d 1225 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	15
<i>Hill-Rom Services, Inc. v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 755 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	15
<i>In re Gurley</i> , 27 F.3d 551 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	22
<i>In re Inland Steel Co.</i> , 265 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	21
<i>Meitzner v. Mindick</i> , 549 F.2d 775 (C.C.P.A. 1977)	2, 13
<i>Nobelpharma AB v. Implant Innovations, Inc.</i> , 141 F.3d 1059 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	8
<i>Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. et al. v. Horizon Therapeutics, LLC</i> , IPR2015-01117, slip op. (Paper 53) (PTAB Nov. 3, 2016)	13
<i>Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. et al. v. Horizon Therapeutics, LLC</i> , IPR2015-01127, slip op. (Paper 49) (PTAB Sept. 29, 2016)	23
<i>SDI Technologies, Inc. v. Bose Corp.</i> , IPR2013-00465, slip op. (Paper 40) (PTAB Nov. 7, 2014)	21
<i>Thorner v. Sony Comput. Entm’t Am., LLC</i> , 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	16
<i>Vehicle IP, LLC v. AT&T Mobility, LLC</i> , 594 Fed. Appx. 636 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	16

Verizon Services Corp. v. Vonage Holdings Corp.,
503 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....15

Statutes and Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.24(c)(1)1
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(2)(ii)1
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(2)(iii)1
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)1

Other Authorities

MPEP § 716.01(c).....13

Exhibit List

Samsung Exhibit #	Description
SAMSUNG-1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,784,552 (“552 Patent”)
SAMSUNG-1002	File History for U.S. Patent No. 6,784,552
SAMSUNG-1003	Declaration of Dr. Richard Fair (“Fair Decl.”)
SAMSUNG-1004	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Richard Fair
SAMSUNG-1005	Kuesters et al., “Self Aligned Bitline Contact For 4 Mbit dRAM,” <i>Proceedings of the First International Symposium on Ultra Large Scale Integration Science and Technology</i> , 1987, pp. 640-649 (“Kuesters”)
SAMSUNG-1006	U.S. Patent No. 5,482,894 (“Havemann”)
SAMSUNG-1007	U.S. Patent No. 4,686,000 (“Heath”)
SAMSUNG-1008	File History for U.S. Patent No. 6,066,555
SAMSUNG-1009	Joint Claim Construction Statement, <i>DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc. v. Intel Corp. et al.</i> , 15-cv-130 (E.D. Tex. 2015) (Dkt. No. 165-1)
SAMSUNG-1010	Declaration of Mariellen F. Calter
SAMSUNG-1011	Sorab K. Ghandhi, <i>VLSI Fabrication Principles Silicon and Gallium Arsenide</i> 495-96 (John Wiley & Sons, 1983)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.