IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION, INC. AND NOxBOX LIMITED Petitioner

v.

MALLINCKRODT HOSPITAL PRODUCTS IP LTD., AND INO THERAPEUTICS, INC. d/b/a IKARIA, INC. Patent Owner

DECLARATION OF DR. EDWARD LAWSON IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,846,112



PRAXAIR ET AL. 1002

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Declaration of Dr. Edward Lawson Regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,846,112 I, Dr. Edward Lawson, declare that:

QUALIFICATIONS

1. I am a Professor Emeritus in Pediatrics at Johns Hopkins University. I have a Bachelor's Degree from Harvard University and a Medical Degree from Northwestern University Medical School. I interned in Pediatrics and did a neonatology fellowship at Children's Hospital Medical Center, Boston Hospital for Women and Beth Israel Hospital in Boston, MA. I was a Research Fellow in pediatrics at Harvard Medical School.

2. I have practiced neonatology since 1978. During my practice, I held various leadership and research positions. I have been active in managing premature, full-term and older infants with hypoxic respiratory failure of many different etiologies. I have extensive clinical experience with the utilization of nitric oxide therapy for relief of persistent pulmonary hypertension, BPD and other disorders.

3. I was the Chair of the American Lung Association/American Thoracic Society Research Committee. I am also an active member of many NIH study sections where grants related to neonatal pulmonary research have been decided.

4. I am currently the Editor-in-Chief for the Journal of Perinatology (the Official Journal of the Section on Neonatal Perinatal Medicine of the American

Declaration of Dr. Edward Lawson Regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,846,112 Academy of Pediatrics). I also have experience as an editor for the Fetal and Neonatal section of the Journal of Pediatrics.

5. I joined the Johns Hopkins faculty in 1999. At Johns Hopkins I have served as the Director of the Sutland/Pakula Family Newborn Critical Care Center, in the Division of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine. I also served as the Vice Chair of the Department of Pediatrics at Hopkins Children's Center.

6. A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as Exhibit 1003.

7. I am not an employee of Praxair Distribution, Inc.; Praxair, Inc., NOxBOX Limited or any affiliated company. Rather, I have been engaged in the present matter to provide my independent analysis of the issues raised in the above-mentioned *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 8,846,112 ("the '112 Patent") Ex. 1001. I have received no compensation for this declaration beyond my normal hourly compensation of \$425 for time actually spent studying the matter, and I will not receive any added compensation based on the outcome of any proceeding relating to the '112 Patent.

8. Based upon my extensive knowledge and years of experience in this field, I have an understanding of how inhaled NO was being used for medical treatment on or before June 30, 2009, as well as the risks and contraindications associated with its use. My analysis on this matter, as set forth below, is based on

Declaration of Dr. Edward Lawson Regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,846,112 my personal experience and what was known, and in fact, considered to be standard by one skilled in the art prior to June 30, 2009.

I have reviewed and am familiar with the '112 Patent. Ex. 1001. 9 Additionally, I have reviewed the following documents: (1) Ex. 1006, A. Greenough & A. D. Miller, Neonatal Respiratory Disorders 149, 183-87, 392 (2nd ed. 2003) ("Greenough"); (2) Ex. 1007, Jaypee, Pediatric & Neonatal Mechanical Ventilation 148-58 (Praveen Khilnani ed., 1st ed. 2006) ("Jaypee"); and (3) Ex. 1010, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Application Number: NDA20845, Labeling, Final Printed available INOmax, at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/99/20845_inomax_prntlbl.pdf (August 9, 2000). ("INOmax Label"). I was already familiar with the concepts and physiology of iNO and its uses. I have also reviewed the documents cited elsewhere herein, as well as any documents cited in the declarations I have submitted or will submit in other *inter partes* review petitions arising out of my engagement in this matter. I have also reviewed the prosecution file history for the '112 Patent, as well as the other patents on which I have opined in this engagement, particularly the declarations submitted during prosecution. Based on the references and my experience and background, I do not agree that INOT22 study provides evidence that the claims are patentable; nor do I agree that the claim Specifically, as discussed below, the allegedly elements were not known.

Declaration of Dr. Edward Lawson Regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,846,112 unknown aspects of the INOT22 study were clearly disclosed by, for example, *Greenough*, the INOmax Label, and *Jaypee* prior to June 30, 2009.

10. My opinions, explained below, are based on my education, experience, and background in the field discussed above as well as my review of the references cited above.

BACKGROUND KNOWLEDGE ONE OF SKILL IN THE ART BEFORE THE '112 PATENT

The '112 Patent is entitled "Methods of Distributing a Pharmaceutical 11. Product Comprising Nitric Oxide Gas for Inhalation." Ex. 1001 at Cover. The '112 Patent discusses supplying inhaled NO¹ (for example, a cylinder of NO gas) information/instructions regarding doctors along with to dosage and contraindications for treatment. Ex. 1001, Abstract. The '112 Patent discusses a canister of iNO along with information regarding a pre-screening protocol to determine whether a patient has a condition, such as left ventricular dysfunction ("LVD"), that could lead to an Adverse Event or Serious Adverse Event such as pulmonary edema if the patient is treated with inhaled NO. See, e.g., Ex. 1001 at 1:50-56; 9:24-33; 12:49-61. It also explains that if a patient is determined to have LVD, he or she is at risk of suffering a Serious Adverse Event such as pulmonary

[&]quot;Inhaled nitric oxide" is abbreviated as "iNO."

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.