

HEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION, INC.

Petitioner

v.

INO THERAPEUTICS, LLC,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00529

U.S. Patent No. 8,846,112 B2

HEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

The Telephonic hearing was held on Tuesday,  
January 5, 2016, commencing at 2:36 p.m., at the Law  
Offices of Latham and Watkins, LLP, 555 Eleventh Street,  
N.W., Suite 1000, Washington, D.C. 20004, before Steven  
Poulakos, RPR, Notary Public.

REPORTED BY: Steven Poulakos, RPR

Mallinckrodt Hosp. Prods. IP Ltd.  
Exhibit 2020  
Praxair Distrib., Inc. et al., v. Mallinckrodt Hosp. Prods. IP Ltd.  
Case IPR2016-00777



HEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

1 APPEARANCES:

2  
3 ON BEHALF OF PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION, INC.

4 SARA N. KERRANE, ESQUIRE

5 K&L Gates

6 One Park Plaza

7 Twelfth Floor

8 Irvine, California 92614

9 Telephone: 949.253.0900

10 Email: Sara.kerrane@klgates.com

11  
12 ON BEHALF OF INO PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC:

13 KENNETH G. SCHULER, ESQUIRE

14 MARC ZUBICK, ESQUIRE

15 Latham & Watkins, LLP

16 330 North Wabash Avenue

17 Suite 2800

18 Chicago, Illinois 60611

19 Telephone: 312.876.7700

20 Email: Kenneth.schuler@lw.com

21 Marc.zubick@lw.com

22  
23  
24 ALSO PRESENT: Kenneth D. Goetz, Esquire. In-house  
25 counsel for Mallinckrodt Pharmaceuticals

HEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 - - -

3 MR. SCHULER: Hello. These are the  
4 participants at the deposition, counsel for both  
5 parties and the court reporter.

6 MR. MURTHY: Hi, Your Honor, Sanjay Murthy  
7 also on the call for Petitioner.

8 MS. KERRANE: Can you hear me as well?

9 MR. MURTHY: I can.

10 THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is Judge  
11 Green, and I have Judge Hulse on the line with me. My  
12 understanding, this is a conference call on  
13 IPR2015-00529 regarding a deposition that's ongoing.

14 Who do I have for petitioner.

15 MR. MURTHY: Your Honor, Sanjay Murthy on  
16 behalf of petitioner.

17 MS. KERRANE: And Sara Kerrane also on  
18 behalf of petitioner.

19 THE COURT: And then who do I have for  
20 patent owner?

21 MR. SCHULER: Ken Schuler on behalf of the  
22 patent owner, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

24 MR. SCHULER: I just wanted to let the  
25 Court know, we also have additional counsel for the

## HEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

1 patent owner, including in-house counsel, and there's  
2 no objection from Petitioner as to their participation.

3 We also have the court reporter still here  
4 for the deposition, but the deponent is not in the  
5 room.

6 THE COURT: Okay, and is the court reporter  
7 doing a transcript of this call.

8 THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: So if one of the parties could  
10 arrange to have the transcript filed in this case as an  
11 exhibit, when it's possible, I would appreciate it.

12 MR. SCHULER: We will arrange for it, Your  
13 Honor. This is counsel for the Respondent.

14 THE COURT: Okay. I only have very limited  
15 information about this call. So I'm not sure who  
16 requested it. So whoever requested the call can go  
17 ahead and start.

18 MR. SCHULER: Yes, Your Honor, it's Ken  
19 Schuler. We did request the call.

20 The issue is the scope of the deposition.  
21 We have a question about a nonprior art reference,  
22 which is the current labeling for the product.

23 The question proceeded to say about a  
24 contraindication that's in the label and what's not a  
25 contraindication in the label. There is parallel

HEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

1 District Court litigation that involves questions of  
2 infringement.

3 I asked counsel for the Petitioner to  
4 explain how that would be would relevant to issues of  
5 102, 103 that are at issue with the declaration, and at  
6 issue with regard to the institution decision. Counsel  
7 declined to provide any explanation, and so we would  
8 ask that any such questioning, because it appears to be  
9 calculated to go to issues other than the issues at  
10 hand, not be permitted.

11 THE COURT: Now, was there anything about  
12 the product label or anything else in the declaration  
13 that the declarant is being cross-examined on?

14 MR. SCHULER: The only thing that counsel  
15 have pointed me to is a paragraph that recites the file  
16 history that says that a labeling supplement was  
17 submitted.

18 I don't know if counsel has anything else  
19 in mind, but she declined to identify anything else.

20 MS. KERRANE: Actually, Your Honor, I  
21 referred counsel here to paragraph 65 in the deponent's  
22 declaration in which he distinctly discusses this and,  
23 in fact, the new label is an exhibit to his  
24 declaration, and he discusses the fact that the  
25 prescribing information of the INOmax now includes

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.