

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

**PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION, INC.**

**Petitioner**

**v.**

**INO THERAPEUTICS, INC. d/b/a IKARIA, INC.**

**Patent Owner**

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,282,966  
PURSUANT TO §§ 35 U.S.C. 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42**

**Mail Stop PATENT BOARD**  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

<p>Mallinckrodt Hosp. Prods. IP Ltd. Exhibit 2016 Praxair Distrib., Inc. et al., v. Mallinckrodt Hosp. Prods. IP Ltd. Case IPR2016-00777</p>
--

**Table of Contents**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. OVERVIEW .....	1
A. Summary of the '966 Patent.....	1
B. Summary of the Prosecution History of the '966 Patent .....	3
III. BACKGROUND ON PULMONARY HYPERTENSION, HYPOXIC RESPIRATORY FAILURE, AND DIAGNOSTIC STEPS USED IN TREATMENT .....	4
IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)).....	6
V. PAYMENT OF FEES (37 C.F.R. § 42.103).....	6
VI. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8).....	7
A. Real-Parties-in-Interest.....	7
B. Related Matters.....	7
C. Lead and Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) and Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)) .....	7
VII. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	8
VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	8
A. Broadest Reasonable Interpretation Standard.....	8
B. Claim Construction of the Term “Child” and “Children” .....	10
IX. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS THEREFORE (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22(a) and 42.104(b)) .....	11
A. Ground 1: Independent Claims 1, 6, 13, and 22 and Dependent Claims 2-3, 5, 7-9, 11, 14-17, 20, 23-25, and 28 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as Obvious Over <i>Bernasconi</i> in View of <i>INOMAX label, Loh</i> and <i>Goyal</i> .....	14
1. Overview of Prior Art Applied in Ground 1 .....	15
2. Motivation to Combine Art Applied in Ground 1 .....	17
3. Independent Claims 1 and 6.....	21
(a) Part (a) of Independent Claims 1 and 6 .....	22
(b) Part (b) of Independent Claims 1 and 6.....	25

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,282,966

(c)	Part (c) of Independent Claims 1 and 6 .....	27
4.	Independent Claims 13 and 22.....	28
(a)	Part (a) of Independent Claims 13 and 22 .....	28
(b)	Part (b) of Independent Claims 13 and 22.....	29
(c)	Part (c) of Independent Claims 13 and 22 .....	30
(d)	Part (d) of Independent Claims 13 and 22.....	31
(e)	Part (e) of Independent Claims 13 and 22 .....	31
5.	Dependent Claims 2-3, 5, 7-9, 11, 14-17, 20, 23-25, and 28 ...	32
(a)	Dependent Claims 2 and 8.....	32
(b)	Dependent Claims 3, 9, and 16.....	33
(c)	Dependent Claims 5, 11, 20, and 28.....	33
(d)	Dependent Claims 14 and 24.....	34
(e)	Dependent Claims 15 and 25.....	35
(f)	Dependent Claims 7, 17, and 23.....	35
B.	Ground 2: Dependent Claims 4, 10, 12, 18, 19, 21, 26, 27, and 29 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as Obvious Over <i>Bernasconi</i> , the <i>INOMAX</i> label, <i>Loh</i> , <i>Goyal</i> and <i>Macrae</i> .....	37
C.	Ground 3: Independent Claims 1, 6, 13, and 22 and Dependent Claims 2-5, 7-12, 14-21, and 23-29 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as Obvious Over <i>Ichinose</i> , <i>Neonatal Group</i> , <i>Macrae</i> , <i>Loh</i> , <i>Goyal</i> , and <i>Germann</i> .....	39
1.	Overview of Prior Art in Ground 3 .....	40
2.	Motivation to Combine Art Applied in Ground 3 .....	41
3.	Independent Claims 1 and 6.....	43
(a)	Part (a) of Independent Claims 1 and 6 .....	43
(b)	Part (b) of Independent Claims 1 and 6.....	47
(c)	Part (c) of Independent Claims 1 and 6 .....	48
4.	Independent Claims 13 and 22.....	49
(a)	Part (a) of Independent Claims 13 and 22 .....	49
(b)	Part (b) of Independent Claims 13 and 22.....	50

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,282,966

(c)	Part (c) of Independent Claims 13 and 22 .....	51
(d)	Part (d) of Independent Claims 13 and 22 .....	52
(e)	Part (e) of Independent Claims 13 and 22 .....	53
5.	Dependent Claims 2-5, 7-12, 14-21, and 23-29 .....	54
(a)	Dependent Claims 2 and 8 .....	54
(b)	Dependent Claims 3, 9, and 16 .....	54
(c)	Dependent Claims 5, 11, 20, and 28 .....	55
(d)	Dependent Claims 14 and 24 .....	56
(e)	Dependent Claims 15 and 25 .....	56
(f)	Dependent Claims 7, 17, and 23 .....	57
(g)	Dependent Claims 4, 10, 12, 18, 19, 21, 26, 27, 29 .....	58
X.	CONCLUSION .....	58

**List of Exhibits**

- Ex. 1001: U.S. Patent No. 8,282,966 to Baldassarre *et al.* (“’966 Patent), filed June 22, 2010, issued October 9, 2012.
- Ex. 1002: Declaration of Dr. Maurice Beghetti.
- Ex. 1003: *Curriculum vitae* of Dr. Maurice Beghetti.
- Ex. 1004: Bernasconi *et al.*, *Inhaled Nitric Oxide Applications in Paediatric Practice*, 4 *Images in Paediatric Cardiology*, 4-29 (2002). (“*Bernasconi*”).
- Ex. 1005: Davidson, *et al.*, *Inhaled Nitric Oxide for the Early Treatment of Persistent Pulmonary Hypertension of the Term Newborn: A Randomized, Double-Masked, Placebo-Controlled, Dose-Response, Multicenter Study*, 101 *Pediatrics*, 325-334 (1998). (“*Davidson*”).
- Ex. 1006: Loh, *et al.*, *Cardiovascular Effects of Inhaled Nitric Oxide in Patients with Left Ventricular Dysfunction*, 90 *Circulation*, 2780-2785 (1994). (“*Loh*”).
- Ex. 1007: P. Goyal, *et al.*, *Efficacy of Nitroglycerin Inhalation in Reducing Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension in Children with Congenital Heart Disease*, 97 *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 208-214 (2006). (“*Goyal*”).
- Ex. 1008: Macrae, *et al.*, *Inhaled Nitric Oxide Therapy in Neonates and*

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.