

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Aruba Networks, Inc., Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company, and HP Inc.,  
Petitioners,

v.

Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC  
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 5,915,210

Issued: June 22, 1999

Filed: July 24, 1997

Inventors: Dennis Wayne Cameron, Walter Charles Roehr, Jr., Jai P. Bhagat,  
Masood Garahi, William D. Hays, David W. Ackerman

Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PROVIDING MULTICARRIER  
SIMULCAST TRANSMISSION

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR Unassigned

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

Table of Contents

	Page
I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1) .....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)(1) .....	1
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)(2).....	1
C. Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)(3) and Service Information .....	2
II. PAYMENT OF FEES — 37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....	3
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	3
A. Grounds for Standing under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A).....	3
B. Challenge under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B) and Relief Requested .....	3
C. Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(B)(3).....	5
1. A ... transmitter (Claims 1 and 10) .....	6
2. Means for transmitting a [] plurality of carrier signals (Claim 19) .....	7
3. In Simulcast (Claims 1, 10, 19) .....	11
IV. SUMMARY OF THE '210 PATENT .....	11
A. Brief Description .....	11
V. MANNER OF APPLYING CITED PRIOR ART TO EACH CLAIM FOR WHICH AN IPR IS REQUESTED, THUS ESTABLISHING A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '210 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	13
A. [GROUND 1] — Saalfrank Anticipates Claims 1, 7-8, 10, and 15-17.....	13
1. Claim 1 .....	17
2. Claim 7 .....	22
3. Claim 10 .....	23
4. Claim 16.....	30
5. Claim 17 .....	31
6. Claim 19 .....	32

Table of Contents  
(continued)

	Page
B. [GROUND 2] — Saalfrank in view of Nakamura Renders	
Claims 8, 15, and 19 Obvious .....	35
1. Claim 8 .....	38
2. Claim 15 .....	40
3. Claim 19 .....	41
VI. REDUNDANCY .....	45
VII. CONCLUSION.....	45

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC</i> (IPR2014-01036) (filed June 27, 2014).....	2
<i>In re Rambus, Inc.</i> 694 F.3d 42 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	5
<i>Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> Case No. 2-13-cv-258 (E.D. Tex.).....	1
<i>Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Aruba Networks, Inc., et al.</i> Case No. 2:16-cv-0012 (E.D. Tex.).....	1
<i>Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. AT&amp;T Mobility LLC, et al.</i> Case No. 2:14-cv-897 (E.D. Tex.).....	2
<i>Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Leap Wireless International, Inc.</i> Case No. 2-13-cv-885 (E.D. Tex.).....	2
<i>Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al.</i> Case No. 2:15-cv-183 (E.D. Tex.).....	1
<i>Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc., et al.</i> Case No. 2:13-cv-886-JRGRSP (E.D. Tex.).....	1
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	5, 8
<i>Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. v. Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC</i> (IPR2015-01724) (filed August 13, 2015).....	2
<i>T-Mobile USA Inc. v. Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC</i> (IPR2015-00015) (filed October 3, 2014).....	2

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
**(Continued)**

Page(s)

**STATUTES**

35 U.S.C. § 102 .....	4
35 U.S.C. § 102(a) .....	4
35 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....	4
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 .....	1

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

37 C.F.R. § 42 .....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b) .....	45
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1) .....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)(1) .....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)(2) .....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)(3) .....	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a) .....	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.103 .....	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.104 .....	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A) .....	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B) .....	3
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(B)(3) .....	5

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.