UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ARRIS GROUP, INC., ARUBA NETWORKS, INC., HEWLETT PACKARD ENTERPRISE COMPANY, and HP, INC., Petitioner,

v.

MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00768 Patent 5,659,891¹

Before the Honorable MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK, SCOTT A. DANIELS, and MIRIAM L. QUINN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETITIONERS' OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER'S DEMONSTRATIVES

DOCKE

¹ Case IPR2016-00766 has been joined with the instant proceeding.

Pursuant to the Board's Order (Paper 40 at 2), Petitioners Aruba Networks, Inc., Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company, HP, Inc. and ARRIS Group, Inc. (collectively, "Petitioners") object to certain of Patent Owner's demonstrative exhibits as specified below. Petitioners have met and conferred in good faith with Patent Owner Mobile Telecommunication Technologies, LLC ("Patent Owner") on June 14, 2017, with additional email communications on June 15 and 16, 2017, in an attempt to resolve its objections, but the parties were unable to reach agreement.

Petitioners object to slides 14 and 23–25 on the basis that they contain new argument and evidence not presented or cited in Patent Owner's Corrected Response (Paper 42). See Paper 14 (Scheduling Order) at 3 ("any arguments for patentability not raised in [Patent Owner's] response will be deemed waived"); IBM v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC, IPR2015-00089, Paper 41 at 3-4 (PTAB Jan. 14, 2016) ("To the extent slides 14, 35, 48, and 67 include citations to the Williams Declaration not included in the Response, Patent Owner is precluded from using them [in its demonstratives]"); Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Crossroads Sys., Inc., IPR2014-01544, Paper 50 at 11, n.8 (PTAB Jan. 29, 2016) ("Although [Patent Owner] disputed whether Petitioners articulated a sufficient reason to combine the references in its Preliminary Response, it waived this argument by not including it in its Patent Owner Response."); Funai Elec. Co. v. Samsung Display Co., IPR2015-01468, Paper 40 at 49 (PTAB Dec. 27, 2016) (argument made in

Preliminary Response deemed waived when not included in Patent Owner Response).

Specifically, slides 14 and 23-25 contain new argument regarding "Dr. Min" and include figures that were never presented or cited in Patent Owner's Corrected Response (Paper 42) (and likewise not presented in Patent Owner's Response (Paper 28)). On slide 14, Petitioners object to the language in the table referring to Dr. Min ("Dr. Min's opinion"), whose name was never mentioned in Patent Owner's Corrected Response. On slide 23, Petitioners object to the Title ("Opposing expert Dr. Min..."), first bullet point ("Regarding the exemplary mask..."), and the two annotated figures, none of which were discussed or cited in Patent Owner's Corrected Response. Petitioners object to the entirety of slide 24, which references "Dr. Min" and includes an annotated figure never discussed or cited in Patent Owner's Corrected Response. On slide 25, Petitioners object to the annotated figure, which was never included or discussed in Patent Owner's Corrected Response.

Patent Owner contends that this material is supported by its Corrected Response (Paper 42) at 22; Ex. 2011 ¶¶ 49-51, 130, Appendix F; and Ex. 2005. But Paper 42 and Ex. 2011 ¶¶ 49-51 do not mention "Dr. Min" at all. Likewise, the figures presented on slides 14 and 23–25 are not discussed or mentioned anywhere in Patent Owner's Corrected Response (Paper 42) at 22 and Ex. 2011 ¶¶ 49-51. Furthermore, Patent Owner did not cite to or discuss at all Ex. 2011 ¶
130 and Appendix F, and Ex. 2005 anywhere in its Corrected Response.

Accordingly, Patent Owner has waived any argument contained therein and any reliance on such evidence. Paper 14 at 3; *see also* Petitioners' Corrected Reply, Paper 44 at 1, n. 2. Nor would it even be proper for Patent Owner to "incorporate by reference" arguments not made in its Patent Owner Response. 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3).

If deemed necessary, Petitioners are available for a telephone conference at the Board's convenience to discuss Petitioners' objections.

Dated: June 16, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/Gabrielle E. Higgins/</u> Gabrielle E. Higgins (lead counsel) Reg. No. 38,916 Kathryn Hong (backup counsel) Reg. No. 63,693 Ropes & Gray LLP 1900 University Avenue, 6th Floor East Palo Alto, CA 94303 <u>gabrielle.higgins@ropesgray.com</u> <u>kathryn.hong@ropesgray.com</u>

> Attorneys for Aruba Networks Inc., Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company and HP Inc.

Mailing address for all correspondence: ROPES & GRAY LLP, IPRM – Floor 43, Prudential Tower, 800 Boylston St., Boston, MA 02199-3600

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.