

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ARUBA NETWORKS, INC., HEWLETT PACKARD ENTERPRISE  
COMPANY, AND HP INC., AND ARRIS GROUP, INC.  
Petitioner

v.

MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2016-00768  
Patent 5,659,891

---

**PATENT OWNER'S CORRECTED RESPONSE TO DECISION TO  
INITIATE TRIAL FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Page

I. BACKGROUND ..... 11

II. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS ..... 22

III. PROPER CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ..... 66

    A. Rules Governing Claim Construction - *Phillips* Standard  
        Governs ..... 66

        1. Look to Claims Themselves and Then Specification ..... 77

        2. Can Read Specification Limitations into Claims ..... 77

        3. Can Rely on Extrinsic Evidence ..... 88

    B. Background on the Technology and the '891 Patent ..... 88

        1. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art ..... 88

~~2. Demand for Channels Exceeds Supply ..... 8~~

~~3. Increased Channel Capacity with Multiple Carriers ..... 9~~

~~4. Near Far Interference ..... 9~~

~~5. Symmetric Condition ..... 10~~

~~6. No Near Far Problem with Co-location ..... 12~~

~~7. Asymmetric Condition ..... 13~~

~~8. Claim Limitations and Increased Channel Capacity ..... 8~~

    C. Construction of Independent Claim Terms ..... 15

        1. “single mask-defined, bandlimited channel” of claims 1,  
           3, and 5 ..... 15

        2. “band edge of the mask” of claims 1, 3, and 5 ..... 16

            i. Board’s Argument Regarding Nearest Band Edge  
               in Prior IPRs ..... 17

            ii. Board’s Argument Regarding Nearest Band Edge  
               in this IPR ..... 19

            iii. Dr. Kesan’s Band Edge Determination ..... 28

            iv. Nearest Band Edge Increases Message Capacity ..... 34

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

v.	Intrinsic Masks Confirm Nearest Band Edge .....	36	Field Code Changed
vi.	Dr. Kakaes' Band Edge Determination .....	43	Field Code Changed
vii.	Summary .....	47	Field Code Changed
3.	"transmitting carriers from the same location" of claims 1, 3, and 5 .....	47	Field Code Changed
<del>IV.</del>	<del>REFERENCES RELIED UPON BY PETITIONER</del> .....	49	
<del>A.</del>	<del>Petrovic</del> .....	49	
<del>B.</del>	<del>Raith</del> .....	50	
<del>C.</del>	<del>Alakija</del> .....	50	
<del>IV.</del>	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-5 ARE NOT ANTICIPATED BY <i>PETROVIC</i> .....	49	Field Code Changed
A.	Burden of Proof .....	50	Field Code Changed
B.	<i>Petrovic</i> does not anticipate claims 1, 3, and 5 .....	50	Field Code Changed
1.	Petitioner's Argument .....	52	Field Code Changed
2.	Patent Owner's Argument .....	5252	
i.	FIG. 1 of <i>Petrovic</i> does not disclose "operating or transmitting said carriers... such that the frequency difference between the center frequency of the outer most of said carriers and the band edge of the mask ... is more than half the frequency difference between the center frequencies of each adjacent carrier," if the "band edge" is interpreted under <i>Phillips</i> .....	53	Field Code Changed
ii.	<i>Petrovic</i> does not disclose "transmitting said carriers from the same location" .....	62	Field Code Changed
C.	<i>Petrovic</i> does not anticipate dependent claims 2 and 4 .....	64	Field Code Changed
1.	Patent Owner's Argument .....	65	Field Code Changed
2.	Petitioner's Argument .....	68	Field Code Changed
3.	Summary .....	71	Field Code Changed
<del>IV.</del>	GROUND 2: CLAIM 5 IS NOT OBVIOUS OVER <i>PETROVIC</i> IN VIEW OF <i>RAITH</i> AND <i>ALAKIJA</i> .....	71	Field Code Changed

A. *Petrovic* in view of *Raith* and *Alakija* does not render claim 5 obvious. .... 71

~~VHVI~~.....CONCLUSION

Field Code Changed

7676

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

Page

**CASES**

*Advanced Display Sys. Inc. v. Kent State Univ.*, 212 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2000)..... 47

~~*Ex parte Frye*, 94 USPQ 2d 1072 (BPAI 2010)..... 6940~~

*Ex parte Papst-Motoren*, 1 USPQ2d 1655 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1986) ..... 7

~~*In re Kahn*, 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)..... 69~~

*In re Morris*, 127 F.3d 1048, 44 USPQ2d 1023 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ..... 7

*In re Nuvasive, Inc.*, No. 15-1670 (Fed. Cir. December 7, 2016) ..... 71, 7263

*In re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981 (CCPA 1974)..... 6760

~~*KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 550 U.S. 398 (2007)..... 69~~

*Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.*, 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)..... 4740

*Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... passim

*Pitney Bowes, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.*, 182 F.3d 1298 (Fed. Cir. 1999)..... 8

*Vitronics Corp. v. Conception*, 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)..... 7

*Xerox Corp. v. 3Com Corp.*, 458 F.3d 1310 (Fed. Cir. 2006)..... 4740

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

35 United States Code § 103..... 6760

37 C.F.R. § 42.100..... 1

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure § 2111.01..... 7

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure § 2558..... 6

Formatted: Justified

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.