Page 1 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS ~ MARSHALL DIVISION 3 4 MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS 5 Plaintiff, б 2:12-cv-832-JRG-RSP Vs. 7 (Lead Case) SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION, 8 Defendants. 9 MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS 10 11 Plaintiff, 12 Vs. 2:13-cv-258-JRG-RSP 13 APPLE, 14 Defendant. 15 MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS 16 Plaintiff, 17 Vs. 2:13-cv-259-JRG-RSP 18 SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS 19 20 Defendant. 21 22 ~ OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY ~ VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RADE PETROVIC, PH.D. 23 San Diego, California Thursday, May 1, 2014 24 25 JOB NO. 72513

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

	Page 2		Page 3
1		1	A P P E A R A N C E S:
2		2	ATTEAKANCES.
3		3	
4		4	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES
5	May 1, 2014	5	Attorneys for Apple, Inc.
6	8:39 a.m.	6	1300 Eye Street, NW,
7	0.57 u.m.	7	Washington, DC 20005
8		8	BY: CHRISTOPHER PEPE, ESQ.
9	Videotaped deposition of RADE PETROVIC, PH.D.	9	RYAN FERGUSON, ESQ.
10	held at the Regus, 402 West Broadway,	10	KTAUTEROODON, EDQ.
11	Suite 400, San Diego, California, before	11	
12	Jeanese Johnson, CSR No. 11635, Certified	12	GREENBERG TRAURIG
13	LiveNote Reporter, of the State of California.	13	Attorneys for Samsung Electronics America
14	Envertoue reporter, of the State of Camorina.	14	3161 Michelson Drive,
15		15	Irvine, California 92612
16		16	BY: J. RICK TACHE, ESQ.
17		17	ERIC SQUIRE, ESQ.
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	REED & SCARDINO
21		21	Attorneys for Mobile Telecommunications
22		22	301 Congress Avenue
23		23	Austin, Texas 78701
24		24	BY: CHAD ENNIS, ESQ.
25		25	
	Page 4		Page 5
1		1	- 000 -
2	Also Present:	2	
3	Mike Duarte, Videographer	3	
4		4	
5		5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the start
6		6	of tape labeled number one in the videotaped
7		7	deposition of Rade Petrovic in the matter of
8		8	Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC
9		9	versus Sprint Nextel Corporation, Case No.
10		10	2:12-CV-832-JRG-RSP; Mobile Telecommunications
11		11	Technologies, LLC versus Apple, Inc., Case No.
12		12	2:13-CV-258-JRG-RSP; Mobile Telecommunications
13		13	Technologies, LLC versus Samsung
14		14	Telecommunications America, LLC, Case No.
15		15	2:13-CV-259-JRG-RSP, in the United States
16		16	District Court for the Eastern District of Texas,
17		17	Marshall Division.
18		18	This deposition is being held at 402
19		19	West Broadway, San Diego, California 92101 on
20		20	May 1st, 2014, at approximately 8:39 a.m.
21		21	My name is Mike Duarte from TSG
22		22	Reporting, Inc., and I am the legal video
23		23	specialist. The court reporter is Jeanese
		1	
24		24	Johnson in association with TSG Reporting.
		24 25	· ·

	Page 6		Page 7
1	yourself.	1	EXAMINATION BY
2	MR. PEPE: Chris Pepe for defendant	2	MR. PEPE:
3	Apple.	3	Q. Good morning, Dr. Petrovic.
4	MR. FERGUSON: Ryan Ferguson with	4	A. Good morning.
5	Weil, Gotchal & Manges for Apple.	5	Q. Can you please state your name and
6	MR. TACHE: Rick Tache from Greenberg	6	spell it for the record.
7	Traurig for Samsung.	7	A. Rade Petrovic. R-a-d-e, first name,
8	MR. SQUIRE: Eric Squire from	8	P-e-t-r-o-v-i-c, last name.
9	Greenberg Traurig on behalf of Samsung	9	Q. What's your current address?
10	MR. ENNIS: Chad Ennis with Reed &	10	A. Home address?
11	Scardino on behalf of the plaintiff and the	11	Q. Yes.
12	witness.	12	A. Is 11416 Cypress Woods Drive, San
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court	13	Diego, California 92131.
14	reporter please swear in the witness.	14	Q. And is that your only residential
15	THE COURT REPORTER: Please raise	15	address?
16	your right hand.	16	A. It's my residential address. Yes, I
17	Do you solemnly state the testimony	17	have another home, second home, in Bay Area, but
18	you will give during this deposition proceeding	18	I live here. My wife lives there.
19	will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing	19	Q. Have you ever been deposed before?
20	but the truth?	20	A. Yes.
21	THE WITNESS: I do.	21	Q. What was the nature of that
22	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.	22	deposition?
23	///	23	A. It was deposition where my company
24	///	24	was accused of infringing patents of another
25	///	25	company, and I was deposed regarding technology
	Page 8		Page 9
1	that we are currently using.	1	Q. And if you don't understand a
2	Q. What company was that for?	2	question, you'll tell me, and I'll try to ask you
3	A. My company is Verance, and the	3	a better question. Does that sound good?
4	technology is digital watermarking, and plaintiff	4	A. Okay. Yeah, sure.
5	was Blue Spike.	5	Q. Is there anything that would keep you
6	Q. And is that case currently pending?	6	from testifying truthfully and accurately today?
7	A. No. They dismissed the case while	7	A. No.
8	reserving rights to reopen, something like this,	8	Q. You're not taking any medication that
9	but it's not currently active case. That's my	9	would compromise your memory?
10	understanding.	10	A. No.
11	Q. Have you ever testified in court	11	Q. You understand that currently you're
12	before, either for that matter or another?	12	being video recorded, we have an audio recording,
13	A. On that matter, I was deposed yes,	13	and everything is also being transcribed?
14	yes.	14	A. I do.
15	Q. Okay.	15	Q. So because of that, it's important
16 17	A. All	16 17	that, you know, we give audible responses, yeses
	Q. Okay. But have you testified in		and nos when appropriate.
18 19	court before?	18 19	A. Okay.
20	A. No.	20	Q. And it's also important that if I'm
LU		20	asking a question, you'll let me finish before
	Q. No?	21	you start answoring
21	A. No.	21 22	you start answering.
21 22	A. No.Q. So given that you've been deposed	22	A. Sure.
21 22 23	A. No.Q. So given that you've been deposedbefore, you understand that I'll be asking you	22 23	A. Sure.Q. And while you're answering, I will,
21 22	A. No.Q. So given that you've been deposed	22	A. Sure.

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Page 10		Page 11
1	We'll take breaks periodically today.	1	subpoena. That's how I knew that I needed to
2	So if you need to stretch your legs or anything	2	testify, yes.
3	like that, let me know, and I'll try to find a	3	Q. Do you know how long ago that contact
4	convenient time for us to stop.	4	took place?
5	A. Okay.	5	A. Not quite sure, but between a month
6	Q. And your counsel may object at	6	and two months, something like this.
7	certain points throughout the day, but unless he	7	Q. So you were never contacted by MTEL,
8	instructs you not to answer the question, you	8	LLC prior to Apple contacting you?
9	should still respond.	9	A. No.
10	A. Okay.	10	Q. And you were not contacted by a law
11	Q. So is it your understanding that	11	firm called Reed & Scardino before that?
12	you're here today because you're a named inventor	12	A. Before that, no.
13	on several patents that are currently owned by an	13	Q. And today your understanding is that
14	entity called MTEL, LLC?	14	you're being represented by Reed & Scardino in
15	A. Yes, I understand.	15	this deposition; correct?
16	Q. You understand that when I refer to	16	A. Yes.
17	MTEL, LLC that I'm referring to Mobile	17	Q. When did this representation start?
18	Telecommunications Technologies, LLC?	18	A. After I had some exchange with the
19	A. Yes, I do.	19	Apple lawyers, I was contacted by e-mail by Bill
20	Q. How did you first hear about this	20	Hayes, who is one of the inventors, and in this
21	lawsuit?	21	exchange I mentioned that there is subpoena, and
22	A. I think I heard first from	22	after that I was contacted by lawyers for the
23	defendants, from Apple lawyers, and I forgot	23	plaintiff. Yeah, plaintiff, yeah, correct.
24	names that contacted me and said that the	24	Q. Did you sign any sort of engagement
25	subpoena is pending, and then I received	25	letter with either MTEL, LLC or Reed & Scardino?
	susponin is ponong, and non ricer ou		
	Page 12		Page 13
1	A. I don't remember that I signed	1	Q you presume that there is a
2	engagement letter, but maybe. I'm not sure.	2	relationship between the two?
3	Q. Okay. So it's possible that you're	3	A. Um-hmm.
4	their representation is based solely on a	4	Q. Are you currently working as a
5	verbal agreement?	5	consultant for MTEL, LLC?
6	A. It is possible, but I'm not sure.	6	A. No.
7	Q. Now, do you currently have or have	7	Q. Have you ever been retained as an
8	you ever had any relationship with MTEL, LLC?	8	expert witness or a consultant in litigation
9	A. Currently, I don't have. When I was	9	before?
10	working at University of Mississippi, they were	10	A. No.
11	sponsoring a project that I was leading at	11	Q. And so currently in this matter,
12	University of Mississippi, yes.	12	you're not you have haven't been retained as
13	Q. So the entity you were working with	13	an expert
14	at University of Mississippi was MTEL, LLC?	14	Å. No.
15	A. MTEL. I don't know LLC. What does	15	Q or a consultant witness?
16	it mean?	16	MR. PEPE: I would like this marked
17	Q. Okay. So you don't you don't know	17	as Exhibit 48.
18	whether the the plaintiff in this matter is	18	(Exhibit 48 is marked)
19	related to that entity you worked with at the	19	Q. So, Dr. Petrovic, I've just handed
20	University of Mississippi; is that right?	20	you what's been marked as Exhibit 48, which is a
21	A. But the name is MTEL. So, yes, it is	21	subpoena to testify at a deposition in a civil
22	the same company.	22	action that was issued to Rade Petrovic.
23	Q. So based on the name being the	23	A. Um-hmm.
24	same	24	Q. Do you recognize this document.
25	A. Yeah, yeah.	25	A. Yes. I think this is the subpoena
1	-		-

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Page 14		Page 15
1	that I received. Yes.	1	A. I am not sure.
2	Q. So when you received it, you read	2	Q. What did you do in order to prepare
3	through it?	3	for today's deposition?
4	Ä. Yes.	4	A. When I was contacted by Apple
5	Q. And did you read the document	5	lawyers, they first sent me those patents that
6	requests that are in Exhibit A of	6	were the subject of this litigation, and I looked
7	A. Yes.	7	through claims only, didn't read the disclosure,
8	Q Exhibit 48?	8	and my response at that time was that I don't
9	A. Yes.	9	have good recollection of the events that led to
10	Q. So when you after you read those	10	these claims.
11	requests, did you search for any documents?	11	But then patent lawyers asked me to
12	A. Yes.	12	look at some paper that was published that I was
13	Q. Did you find any documents?	13	coauthor. I looked at this paper, remembered
14	A. No.	14	research that led to publishing this paper, and
15	Q. Where did you search?	15	then we had some phone interview where I was
16	A. I searched my home, the file cabinets	16	asked questions about research that led to
17	where I keep documents, I searched my home	17	publishing this paper, and that's about it. I
18	computer, and that's about it, yes.	18	didn't go back to read the disclosure or to read
19	Q. So after that search, you determined	19	other papers or anything else.
20	that there were no documents responsive to what	20	Q. And so did the paper that you read
21	Exhibit 48 was asking for?	21	refresh your recollection of the patents you had
22	A. Correct.	22	looked at?
23	Q. Do you think there was ever a time	23	A. I can say that it refreshed
24	when there were documents in your possession that	24	recollection about work, but actually I didn't
25	would have been responsive to Exhibit 48?	25	read the patents themselves. So I don't really
	Dage 16		Dage 17
-	Page 16		Page 17
1	know details of the patents.	1	attorney-client conversations.
2	know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers	2	attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night
2 3	know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else	2 3	attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning.
2 3 4	know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition?	2 3 4	attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met
2 3 4 5	know details of the patents.Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition?A. No.	2 3 4 5	attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for?
2 3 4 5 6	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that 	2 3 4 5 6	attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two
2 3 4 5 6 7	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents
2 3 4 5 6 7	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and about yeah, I just mentioned subpoena because 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents. Q. Can you please briefly summarize your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and about yeah, I just mentioned subpoena because and I asked him whether he got similar 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents. Q. Can you please briefly summarize your education background.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and about yeah, I just mentioned subpoena because and I asked him whether he got similar subpoena as well, and after that I got contacted 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents. Q. Can you please briefly summarize your education background. A. I am electrical engineer, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and about yeah, I just mentioned subpoena because and I asked him whether he got similar subpoena as well, and after that I got contacted from the plaintiff lawyers. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents. Q. Can you please briefly summarize your education background. A. I am electrical engineer, and I graduated at University of Nis, undergrad. I got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and about yeah, I just mentioned subpoena because and I asked him whether he got similar subpoena as well, and after that I got contacted from the plaintiff lawyers. Q. Did you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents. Q. Can you please briefly summarize your education background. A. I am electrical engineer, and I graduated at University of Nis, undergrad. I got master's degree from Columbia University in New
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and about yeah, I just mentioned subpoena because and I asked him whether he got similar subpoena as well, and after that I got contacted from the plaintiff lawyers. Q. Did you A. Apparently, he conveyed this message, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents. Q. Can you please briefly summarize your education background. A. I am electrical engineer, and I graduated at University of Nis, undergrad. I got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and about yeah, I just mentioned subpoena because and I asked him whether he got similar subpoena as well, and after that I got contacted from the plaintiff lawyers. Q. Did you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents. Q. Can you please briefly summarize your education background. A. I am electrical engineer, and I graduated at University of Nis, undergrad. I got master's degree from Columbia University in New York, and I got Ph.D. from University of Nis in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and about yeah, I just mentioned subpoena because and I asked him whether he got similar subpoena as well, and after that I got contacted from the plaintiff lawyers. Q. Did you A. Apparently, he conveyed this message, I guess. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents. Q. Can you please briefly summarize your education background. A. I am electrical engineer, and I graduated at University of Nis, undergrad. I got master's degree from Columbia University in New York, and I got Ph.D. from University of Nis in Serbia.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and about yeah, I just mentioned subpoena because and I asked him whether he got similar subpoena as well, and after that I got contacted from the plaintiff lawyers. Q. Did you A. Apparently, he conveyed this message, I guess. Q. Did you meet with plaintiff's lawyers 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents. Q. Can you please briefly summarize your education background. A. I am electrical engineer, and I graduated at University of Nis, undergrad. I got master's degree from Columbia University in New York, and I got Ph.D. from University of Nis in Serbia. Q. Did you attend those universities
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and about yeah, I just mentioned subpoena because and I asked him whether he got similar subpoena as well, and after that I got contacted from the plaintiff lawyers. Q. Did you A. Apparently, he conveyed this message, I guess. Q. Did you meet with plaintiff's lawyers at all prior to the deposition? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents. Q. Can you please briefly summarize your education background. A. I am electrical engineer, and I graduated at University of Nis, undergrad. I got master's degree from Columbia University in New York, and I got Ph.D. from University of Nis in Serbia. Q. Did you attend those universities consecutively or did you work in between?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and about yeah, I just mentioned subpoena because and I asked him whether he got similar subpoena as well, and after that I got contacted from the plaintiff lawyers. Q. Did you A. Apparently, he conveyed this message, I guess. Q. Did you meet with plaintiff's lawyers at all prior to the deposition? MR. ENNIS: Before you answer, I just want to you can say whether we met or not, but don't reveal any of our conversations. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents. Q. Can you please briefly summarize your education background. A. I am electrical engineer, and I graduated at University of Nis, undergrad. I got master's degree from Columbia University in New York, and I got Ph.D. from University of Nis in Serbia. Q. Did you attend those universities consecutively or did you work in between? A. No, it was consecutive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and about yeah, I just mentioned subpoena because and I asked him whether he got similar subpoena as well, and after that I got contacted from the plaintiff lawyers. Q. Did you A. Apparently, he conveyed this message, I guess. Q. Did you meet with plaintiff's lawyers at all prior to the deposition? MR. ENNIS: Before you answer, I just want to you can say whether we met or not, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents. Q. Can you please briefly summarize your education background. A. I am electrical engineer, and I graduated at University of Nis, undergrad. I got master's degree from Columbia University in New York, and I got Ph.D. from University of Nis in Serbia. Q. Did you attend those universities consecutively or did you work in between? A. No, it was consecutive. Q. So how long did it take you from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and about yeah, I just mentioned subpoena because and I asked him whether he got similar subpoena as well, and after that I got contacted from the plaintiff lawyers. Q. Did you A. Apparently, he conveyed this message, I guess. Q. Did you meet with plaintiff's lawyers at all prior to the deposition? MR. ENNIS: Before you answer, I just want to you can say whether we met or not, but don't reveal any of our conversations. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents. Q. Can you please briefly summarize your education background. A. I am electrical engineer, and I graduated at University of Nis, undergrad. I got master's degree from Columbia University in New York, and I got Ph.D. from University of Nis in Serbia. Q. Did you attend those universities consecutively or did you work in between? A. No, it was consecutive. Q. So how long did it take you from start to finish through your undergrad through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 know details of the patents. Q. Okay. Other than the Apple lawyers that you spoke to, did you speak with anyone else in preparation for today's deposition? A. No. Q. So you had mentioned previously that you had exchanged e-mails with William Hayes. Did you have any conversations with him? A. Just e-mails. Didn't have any conversation. And it was not about patents and about yeah, I just mentioned subpoena because and I asked him whether he got similar subpoena as well, and after that I got contacted from the plaintiff lawyers. Q. Did you A. Apparently, he conveyed this message, I guess. Q. Did you meet with plaintiff's lawyers at all prior to the deposition? MR. ENNIS: Before you answer, I just want to you can say whether we met or not, but don't reveal any of our conversations. THE WITNESS: Excuse me? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 attorney-client conversations. THE WITNESS: Yes. I met last night and this morning. Q. Okay. How long would you say you met for? A. We had a dinner. It was about two hours. Q. And you didn't review any documents during that meeting? A. No, we didn't review documents. Q. Can you please briefly summarize your education background. A. I am electrical engineer, and I graduated at University of Nis, undergrad. I got master's degree from Columbia University in New York, and I got Ph.D. from University of Nis in Serbia. Q. Did you attend those universities consecutively or did you work in between? A. No, it was consecutive. Q. So how long did it take you from start to finish through your undergrad through your Ph.D.?

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.