

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent of: Sung Jun Park, et al.  
U.S. Patent No.: 7,881,236 Attorney Docket No.: 00035-0009IP1  
Issue Date: February 1, 2011  
Appl. Serial No.: 12/538,514  
Filing Date: August 10, 2009  
Title: Data Transmission Method and User Equipment for the Same

**Mail Stop Patent Board**

Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT  
NO. 7,881,236 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42**

Evolved Wireless  
Exhibit 2009  
ZTE/HTC/Samsung v. Evolved Wireless  
IPR2016-00757

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I.</b>	<b>MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1)</b> .....	1
	<b>A.</b> Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
	<b>B.</b> Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	1
	<b>C.</b> Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	2
	<b>D.</b> Service Information .....	2
<b>II.</b>	<b>PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.103</b> .....	2
<b>III.</b>	<b>GROUND FOR STANDING AND CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104</b> .....	3
	<b>A.</b> Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	3
	<b>B.</b> Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested .....	3
<b>IV.</b>	<b>THE '236 PATENT</b> .....	11
	<b>A.</b> Brief Description of the '236 Patent.....	11
	<b>B.</b> Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) of the '236 Patent.....	13
	<b>C.</b> Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(3).....	15
	1. Claim scope of the '236 patent.....	17
	2. Claim 7 .....	21
	<b>D.</b> Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art as of the Critical Date .....	22
<b>V.</b>	<b>MANNER OF APPLYING CITED PRIOR ART TO EVERY CLAIM FOR WHICH AN IPR IS REQUESTED, THUS ESTABLISHING A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '236 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE</b> .....	23
	<b>A.</b> Kitazoe Overview .....	24
	<b>B.</b> AAPA Overview.....	27
	<b>C.</b> 3GPP TS-36.321 Overview .....	28
	<b>D.</b> The Combination of Kitazoe, AAPA, and 3GPP TS-36.321 .....	30
	<b>E.</b> Reasons to Combine Kitazoe, AAPA, and 3GPP TS-36.321.....	32
	<b>F.</b> [GROUND 1] – Claims 1-4, 6-10, and 12-13 is obvious over Kitazoe, the AAPA of the '236 patent, and 3GPP TS-36.321 under 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	34
	<b>G.</b> [GROUND 2] – Claim 5 is obvious over Kitazoe, the AAPA of the '236 patent, and 3GPP TS-36.321, further in view of Kitazoe-II under 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	62
<b>IV.</b>	<b>REDUNDANCY</b> .....	65
<b>VI.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b> .....	66

## EXHIBITS

APPLE-1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,881,236 to Park, et al. (“the ’236 patent”)
APPLE-1002	Prosecution History of the ’236 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)
APPLE-1003	Declaration of Jonathan Wells (“Declaration”)
APPLE-1004	Curriculum Vitae of Jonathan Wells
APPLE-1005	U.S. Patent No. 8,180,058 (“Kitazoe”)
APPLE-1006	Reserved
APPLE-1007	<i>3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) Medium Access Control (MAC) protocol specification (Release 8), 3GPP TS-36.321 V8.1.0, (March 2008) (“3GPP TS-36.321”)</i>
APPLE-1008	U.S. Patent No. 6,634,020 (“Bates”)
APPLE-1009	U.S. Publication No. 20090163211 (“Kitazoe-II”)
APPLE-1010	U.S. Publication 20080059658 (“Williams”)
APPLE-1011	Van den Brand et al., <i>Streaming consistency: a model for efficient MPSoC design</i> , 10th Euromicro Conference on Digital System Design Architectures, Methods and Tools (2007) (“Van den Brand”)
APPLE-1012	U.S. Patent No. 6,161,160 (“Niu”)
APPLE-1013	U.S. Provisional No. 60/955,867 (“Kitazoe Provisional”)
APPLE-1014	U.S. Patent No. 6,772,417 (“Ko”)

- APPLE-1015 U.S. Patent No. 7,675,905 (“Delaney”)
- APPLE-1016 U.S. Provisional No. 61/015,159 (“Kitazoe-II Provisional”)
- APPLE-1017 Joint Claim Construction Statement from Case Nos. 15-542-SLR-SRF, 15-543-SLR-SRF, 15-544-SLR-SRF, 15-545-SLR-SRF, 15-546-SLR-SRF, 15-547-SLR-SRF filed in N.D. Del. On May 17, 2016 (“Joint Claim Construction Statement”)
- APPLE-1018 “3GPP FAQs,” available at <http://www.3gpp.org/about-3gpp/3gpp-faqs> (retrieved June 20, 2016)
- APPLE-1019 “3GPP specification: 36.321,” available at <http://www.3gpp.org/DynaReport/36321.htm> (retrieved June 20, 2016)
- APPLE-1020 “3GPP specification: 36.321,” archived by the Internet Archive Wayback Machine on May 5, 2008, available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20080505041953/http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/Specs/htmlinfo/36321.htm> (retrieved June 20, 2016)
- APPLE-1021 Declaration of Anne Koch Baland

Apple Inc., Microsoft Corporation, Microsoft Mobile Oy, and Microsoft Mobile Inc. (f/k/a Nokia Inc.) (collectively “Petitioner”) petition for *Inter Partes* Review (“IPR”) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42 of claims 1-10, and 12-13 (“the Challenged Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 7,881,236 (“the ’236 patent”). The Challenged Claims are unpatentable based on teachings set forth in at least the references presented in this petition. An IPR should therefore be instituted, and the Challenged Claims canceled as unpatentable.

**I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1)**

**A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)**

Apple Inc., Microsoft Corporation, Microsoft Mobile Oy, Microsoft Mobile Inc. (f/k/a Nokia Inc.), Microsoft Luxembourg International Mobile SARL and Microsoft Luxembourg USA Mobile SARL are the real parties-in-interest. The Microsoft entities have numerous affiliated and/or related entities. However, no unnamed Microsoft entity is funding or controlling this Petition or any resulting IPR.

**B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)**

The ’236 patent is the subject of a number of civil actions including: *Evolved Wireless, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00542-SLR; *Evolved Wireless, Inc. v. HTC Corporation et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00543-SLR; *Evolved Wireless, Inc. v. Lenovo Group Ltd. et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00544-SLR; *Evolved Wireless, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al.*, Civil

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.