IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

PERSONALIZED MEDIA)
COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,)
) Case No. 2:15-cv-D1366-JRG-RSP
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
)
APPLE, INC.,)
)
Defendant.)

JOINT NOTICE IN COMPLIANCE WITH STANDING ORDER REGARDING MOTONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 101

Pursuant to the Court's Standing Order Regarding Motions Under 35 U.S.C. § 101,

Plaintiff Personalized Media Communications, LLC ("PMC") and Defendant Apple Inc.

("Apple") submit the attached letter as Ex. A outlining the parties' respective positions.

Dated: December 14, 2015 /s/ Douglas A. Kline

Douglas A. Kline Lana S. Shiferman

GOODWIN PROCTER, LLP

Exchange Place

Boston, MA 02109-2802

P: (617) 570-1000

F: (617) 523-1231

email: dkline@goodwinprocter.com

email: lshiferman@goodwinprocter.com

Jennifer A. Albert

Stephen T. Schreiner

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP

901 New York Ave., N.W.

Washington, DC 20001

P: (202) 346-4000

F: (202) 346-4444

email: jalbert@goodwinprocter.com

email: sschreiner@goodwinprocter.com



S. Calvin Capshaw (State Bar No. 03788390) Elizabeth L. DeRieux (State Bar No. 05770585) CAPSHAW DERIEUX, LLP 114 E. Commerce Ave. Gladewater, TX 75647 P: (903) 845-5770 email: ccapshaw@capshawlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

email: ederieux@capshawlaw.com

Auorneys for Flathity Personalized Media Communications, LLC

/s/ Melissa R. Smith

Marcus E. Sernel, P.C. (admitted pro hac vice)

Joel R. Merkin (admitted pro hac vice)

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

300 N. LaSalle Street

Chicago, IL 60654

P: (312) 862-2000

F: (312) 862-2200

email: marc.sernel@kirkland.com email: joel.merkin@kirkland.com

Gregory S. Arovas, P.C. (admitted pro hac vice)

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

601 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022

P: (212) 446-4800

F: (212) 446-4900

email: greg.arovas@kirkland.com

Melissa R. Smith (State Bar No. 24001351)

GILLAM & SMITH LLP

303 S. Washington Avenue

Marshall, TX 75670

P: (903) 934-8450

F: (903) 934-9257

email: Melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served this 14th day of December, 2015, with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3). Any other counsel of record will be served by electronic mail, facsimile transmission and/or first class mail on this same date.

/s/Douglas A. Kline
Douglas A. Kline



Case 2:15-cv-01366-JRG-RSP Document 42-1 Filed 12/14/15 Page 1 of 6 PageID #: 1643

Exhibit A

December 14, 2015

Page 1

December 14, 2015

VIA ECF

The Honorable Rodney Gilstrap Sam B. Hall, Jr. Federal Building and United States Courthouse 100 East Houston Street Marshall, Texas 75670

Re: Personalized Media Communications, LLC v. Apple Inc.

Case No. 2:15-cv-01366-JRG-RSP

Dear Judge Gilstrap:

Plaintiff Personalized Media Communications, LLC and Defendant Apple Inc. submit this joint letter pursuant to the Court's Standing Order Regarding Motions Under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

I. PMC: Claim Construction Is Necessary To Inform the Court's § 101 Analysis

PMC accuses Apple of infringing thirty-one claims from four PMC patents (U.S. Patents Nos. 8,191,091, 8,559,635, 7,752,649, and 8,752,088). Apple has moved to dismiss PMC's complaint arguing that PMC's claims are drawn to unpatentable subject matter. Apple contends on that basis that PMC's patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101. PMC respectfully submits that there are twenty-seven terms within the thirty-one asserted claims that require construction prior to resolution of Apple's motion to dismiss.

Apple contends that the asserted claims of PMC's '091 and '635 Patents "are directed to the abstract idea of converting information from one format to another (*i.e.*, decrypting information)." D.I. 34 at 16-17. Apple's definition of "decrypting" is unreasonably broad. The claimed inventions are directed to methods that are far more specific than merely "converting information from one format to another." The inventions are directed to management of "decryption keys" and their distribution in a digital network to control access to, and "decryption" of, "encrypted" digital content delivered over the network. '091 Pat., 1:25-28. Based on the dispute between the parties concerning the definition of "decrypting," construction is needed of '091 and '635 Patent claim terms including "encrypted digital information transmission," "decrypting," "decryption key," "to decrypt in a specific fashion on the basis of said code," and "control signal," among others. ¹

The layered signal decryption technology claimed the '091 and '635 Patents – which allows

¹ Other terms that require construction for the '635 and '091 Patents are: instruct-to-enable signal, programming, processor instructions, tuning said receiver station to a channel, remote source, enabling information, encryption, remote transmitter station, unaccompanied by any non-digital information transmission and downloadable code. Other terms that require construction for the '649 Patent claims are: stored function invoking data, digital television signals, control processor and digital video signals.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

