# Amazon.com, Inc., et al. vs. Personalized Media

Videotaped Deposition of ANTHONY WECHSELBERGER June 02, 2014 June 03, 2014 August 25, 2014







# Amazon.com, Inc., et al. vs. Personalized Media

	Page 1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	1	UNITED	STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	Page 2
2		2			
_	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD		BEFORE	THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	
3	<del></del>	3 4			
5	AMAZON.COM, INC., and AMAZON WEB SERVICES, LLC,	5	AMAZON CON	I, INC., and AMAZON WEB SERVICES, LI	.C
6	Petitioners	6	HIMZON, COP	Petitioners	,
7	vs.	7		vs.	
8	PERSONALIZED MEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, LLC.,	8	PERSONAL	IZED MEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, LLC.,	
9	Patent Owner	9		Patent Owner	
10		10			
11	IPR2014-01532	11		IPR2014-01532	
12	United States Patent No. 7,801.304	12	Uni	ted States Patent No. 7,801.304	
13		13			
14		14			
15	DEPOSITION OF ANTHONY J. WECHSELBERGER	15			
16	IRVINE, CALIFORNIA	16			
17	Tuesday, JUNE 9, 2015	17			
18		18		n of ANTHONY J. WECHSELBERGER, take	n on
19		19		nt Owner Personalized Media	
20		20		, LLC at 2040 Main Street, 14th Flo	
21		21		ernia, commencing at 9:14 a.m., and	ending
22	Reported By:	22		on Tuesday, June 9, 2015, before	1065
23 24	Kyung Lee-Green CSR No. 112655, CLR	24		N, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. of California.	12055
25	Job No.: 10016808	25	TOT the State	or carrotina.	
	Page 3				Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:	1	MITTING . Amel	INDEX	DAGE
2	FOR THE PETITIONERS:	2	Examination by	ony J. Wechselberger	PAGE 6, 235
3	KNOBBE MARTENS	4	Examination by		234
4	BY: COLIN HEIDEMAN, ESQ.	5			
5		1			
	925 Fourth Avenue	6		EXHIBITS	
6	Suite 2500	6 7	MARKED		
6 7	Suite 2500 Seattle, Washington 98104	6 7 8	Exhibit 2011	Excerpt from US 7,801,304	46
	Suite 2500	6 7 8 9	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860	46 47
7	Suite 2500 Seattle, Washington 98104	6 7 8	Exhibit 2011	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of	46 47
7 8	Suite 2500 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016	6 7 8 9	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860	46 47
7 8 9 10	Suite 2500 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016 colin.heideman@knobbe.com	6 7 8 9	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012 Exhibit 2013	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of	46 47 47
7 8 9 10	Suite 2500 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016 colin.heideman@knobbe.com -and-	6 7 8 9	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012 Exhibit 2013	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger Encryption: A Cable TV Primer, Bates-stamped PMC3654014 through	46 47 47
7 8 9 10 11	Suite 2500 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016 colin.heideman@knobbe.com -and- BY: KENT N. SHUM, ESQ.	6 7 8 9 10	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012 Exhibit 2013	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger Encryption: A Cable TV Primer,	46 47 47
7 8 9	Suite 2500 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016 colin.heideman@knobbe.com -and- BY: KENT N. SHUM, ESQ. 2040 Main Street	6 7 8 9 10	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012 Exhibit 2013 Exhibit 2013A	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger Encryption: A Cable TV Primer, Bates-stamped PMC3654014 through PMC3654019	PAGE 46 47 47
7 8 9 10 11 12	Suite 2500 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016 colin.heideman@knobbe.com -and- BY: KENT N. SHUM, ESQ. 2040 Main Street 14th Floor	6 7 8 9 10	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012 Exhibit 2013	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger  Encryption: A Cable TV Primer, Bates-stamped PMC3654014 through PMC3654019  Excerpt from the sworn declaration	46 47 47
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Suite 2500 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016 colin.heideman@knobbe.com -and- BY: KENT N. SHUM, ESQ. 2040 Main Street 14th Floor Irvine, California 92614	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012 Exhibit 2013  Exhibit 2013A  Exhibit 2014	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger Encryption: A Cable TV Primer, Bates-stamped PMC3654014 through PMC3654019	46 47 47 47
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Suite 2500 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016 colin.heideman@knobbe.com -and- BY: KENT N. SHUM, ESQ. 2040 Main Street 14th Floor Irvine, California 92614 (949)760-0404	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012 Exhibit 2013  Exhibit 2013A  Exhibit 2014	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger  Encryption: A Cable TV Primer, Bates-stamped PMC3654014 through PMC3654019  Excerpt from the sworn declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger's Preliminary Patent Owner Response to Petition for Inter Partes	46 47 47
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Suite 2500 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016 colin.heideman@knobbe.com -and- BY: KENT N. SHUM, ESQ. 2040 Main Street 14th Floor Irvine, California 92614 (949)760-0404	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012 Exhibit 2013  Exhibit 2013A  Exhibit 2014 Exhibit 2014A	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger  Encryption: A Cable TV Primer, Bates-stamped PMC3654014 through PMC3654019  Excerpt from the sworn declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger's Preliminary Patent Owner Response to Petition for Inter Partes Review	46 47 47 47
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Suite 2500 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016 colin.heideman@knobbe.com -and- BY: KENT N. SHUM, ESQ. 2040 Main Street 14th Floor Irvine, California 92614 (949)760-0404 kent.shum@knobbe.com	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012 Exhibit 2013  Exhibit 2013A  Exhibit 2014  Exhibit 2014A	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger  Encryption: A Cable TV Primer, Bates-stamped PMC3654014 through PMC3654019  Excerpt from the sworn declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger's Preliminary Patent Owner Response to Petition for Inter Partes Review Wikipedia printout	46 47 47 47
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Suite 2500 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016 colin.heideman@knobbe.com -and- BY: KENT N. SHUM, ESQ. 2040 Main Street 14th Floor Irvine, California 92614 (949)760-0404 kent.shum@knobbe.com	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012 Exhibit 2013  Exhibit 2013A  Exhibit 2014 Exhibit 2014A	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger  Encryption: A Cable TV Primer, Bates-stamped PMC3654014 through PMC3654019  Excerpt from the sworn declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger's Preliminary Patent Owner Response to Petition for Inter Partes Review	46 47 47 45 115
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Suite 2500  Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016 colin.heideman@knobbe.com -and- BY: KENT N. SHUM, ESQ. 2040 Main Street 14th Floor Irvine, California 92614 (949)760-0404 kent.shum@knobbe.com  For the Patent Owner: GOODWIN PROCTER	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012 Exhibit 2013  Exhibit 2013A  Exhibit 2014  Exhibit 2014A	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger  Encryption: A Cable TV Primer, Bates-stamped PMC3654014 through PMC3654019  Excerpt from the sworn declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger's Preliminary Patent Owner Response to Petition for Inter Partes Review Wikipedia printout	46 47 47 45
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Suite 2500  Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016 colin.heideman@knobbe.com -and- BY: KENT N. SHUM, ESQ. 2040 Main Street 14th Floor Irvine, California 92614 (949)760-0404 kent.shum@knobbe.com  For the Patent Owner: GOODWIN PROCTER BY: STEPHEN T. SCHREINER, ESQ.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012 Exhibit 2013  Exhibit 2013A  Exhibit 2014  Exhibit 2014A	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger  Encryption: A Cable TV Primer, Bates-stamped PMC3654014 through PMC3654019  Excerpt from the sworn declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger's Preliminary Patent Owner Response to Petition for Inter Partes Review Wikipedia printout	46 47 47 45
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Suite 2500  Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016 colin.heideman@knobbe.com -and- BY: KENT N. SHUM, ESQ. 2040 Main Street 14th Floor Irvine, California 92614 (949)760-0404 kent.shum@knobbe.com  For the Patent Owner: GOODWIN PROCTER BY: STEPHEN T. SCHREINER, ESQ. 901 New York Avenue, NW	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012 Exhibit 2013  Exhibit 2013A  Exhibit 2014  Exhibit 2014A	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger  Encryption: A Cable TV Primer, Bates-stamped PMC3654014 through PMC3654019  Excerpt from the sworn declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger's Preliminary Patent Owner Response to Petition for Inter Partes Review Wikipedia printout	46 47 47 47
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Suite 2500  Seattle, Washington 98104 (206)405-2016 colin.heideman@knobbe.com -and- BY: KENT N. SHUM, ESQ. 2040 Main Street 14th Floor Irvine, California 92614 (949)760-0404 kent.shum@knobbe.com  For the Patent Owner: GOODWIN PROCTER BY: STEPHEN T. SCHREINER, ESQ. 901 New York Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20001	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 2011 Exhibit 2012 Exhibit 2013  Exhibit 2013A  Exhibit 2014  Exhibit 2014A	Excerpt from US 7,801,304 UK Patent Application GB 2 132 860 Expert Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger  Encryption: A Cable TV Primer, Bates-stamped PMC3654014 through PMC3654019  Excerpt from the sworn declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger's Preliminary Patent Owner Response to Petition for Inter Partes Review Wikipedia printout	46 47 47 45

Page 1..4

www.aptusCR.com

PMC Exhibit 2003



### Amazon.com, Inc., et al. vs. Personalized Media

	thony Wechselberger		Amazon.com, Inc., et al. vs. Personalized Media
1	Page 5 PRIOR EXHIBITS REFERENCED	1	Page 6 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA;
2	EXHIBIT PAGE	2	TUESDAY, JUNE 9, 2015; 9:14 A.M.
3	1001 8	3	1020B/11, 00142 3, 2013, 3.14 /1.ivi.
4	1004 9	4	ANTHONY J. WECHSELBERGER,
5	1006 45	5	having been administered an oath to tell the truth, the
6	1007 135	6	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as
7	1008 135	7	follows:
8	1009 136	8	ioliows.
9		9	EXAMINATION
10	QUESTIONS WITNESS INSTRUCTED NOT TO ANSWER	10	BY MR. SCHREINER:
11	PAGE LINE	11	Q Good morning.
12	27 10	12	A Good morning.
13		13	Q How are you today, Mr. Wechselberger?
14	INFORMATION REQUESTED	14	A Fine.
15	(None.)	15	Q Please state your full name for the record.
16	(	16	
17		17	A Anthony J. Wechselberger.  Q And do you go by Tony; is that correct?
18		18	
19		19	A Yes. <b>Q Okay.</b>
20		20	-
21		21	And what is your current home address?  A 3447 Bernardo Lane B-e-r-n-a-r-d-o:
22		22	
23			Escondido, E-s-c-o-n-d-i-d-o California 92029.
24		23	Q Okay. And how long have you lived at that residence?
25		24	
23		25	A Since 1988.
1	Page 7 Q Pretty good stretch?	1	Page 8 A No.
2	A Yes.	2	Q How did you prepare for today's deposition?
3	Q Okay.	3	A I reviewed the prior art I first, I
4	And where did you reside prior to that?	4	re I reviewed my declaration. I reviewed the prior
5	A It was also Escondido.	5	art references that I used in that declaration. I
6	Q Okay. That's a different address in	-	reviewed the '304 patent, the '940 patent. I read the
7	Escondido?	7	petition on defendant's position petition. I read
8	A Yeah.		the preliminary response from PMC and about and
9	Q And I'm going to be asking you a series of	9	and and, at one point, I also read the your re
10	a find in going to be doming you a contact of		
	questions today. Lask you that you give me full and		
	questions today. I ask you that you give me full and	10	PMC's request for a rehearing.
11	complete answers. Be responsive to my questions. If I	10 11	PMC's request for a rehearing.  And then also had, I believe, three different
11 12	complete answers. Be responsive to my questions. If I give you any questions that you don't understand, just	10 11 12	PMC's request for a rehearing.  And then also had, I believe, three different dial-in telephone conferences with Amazon's attorneys.
11 12 13	complete answers. Be responsive to my questions. If I give you any questions that you don't understand, just let me know and I'll try to rephrase them.	10 11 12 13	PMC's request for a rehearing.  And then also had, I believe, three different dial-in telephone conferences with Amazon's attorneys.  And then finally I was here for a day of depo prep
11 12 13 14	complete answers. Be responsive to my questions. If I give you any questions that you don't understand, just let me know and I'll try to rephrase them.  My goal here isn't to trick you, but it's to	10 11 12 13 14	PMC's request for a rehearing.  And then also had, I believe, three different dial-in telephone conferences with Amazon's attorneys.  And then finally I was here for a day of depo prep yesterday.
11 12 13 14 15	complete answers. Be responsive to my questions. If I give you any questions that you don't understand, just let me know and I'll try to rephrase them.  My goal here isn't to trick you, but it's to give you questions that you can understand and elicit	10 11 12 13 14 <b>15</b>	PMC's request for a rehearing.  And then also had, I believe, three different dial-in telephone conferences with Amazon's attorneys.  And then finally I was here for a day of depo prep yesterday.  Q And when you refer to the when you refer to
11 12 13 14 15 16	complete answers. Be responsive to my questions. If I give you any questions that you don't understand, just let me know and I'll try to rephrase them.  My goal here isn't to trick you, but it's to give you questions that you can understand and elicit answers based on your expert background. Does that make	10 11 12 13 14 <b>15</b> <b>16</b>	PMC's request for a rehearing.  And then also had, I believe, three different dial-in telephone conferences with Amazon's attorneys.  And then finally I was here for a day of depo prep yesterday.  Q And when you refer to the when you refer to the you said the '940 patent. I think you might have
11 12 13 14 15 16	complete answers. Be responsive to my questions. If I give you any questions that you don't understand, just let me know and I'll try to rephrase them.  My goal here isn't to trick you, but it's to give you questions that you can understand and elicit answers based on your expert background. Does that make sense?	10 11 12 13 14 <b>15</b> 16 17	PMC's request for a rehearing.  And then also had, I believe, three different dial-in telephone conferences with Amazon's attorneys.  And then finally I was here for a day of depo prep yesterday.  Q And when you refer to the when you refer to the you said the '940 patent. I think you might have meant the '490 patent?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	complete answers. Be responsive to my questions. If I give you any questions that you don't understand, just let me know and I'll try to rephrase them.  My goal here isn't to trick you, but it's to give you questions that you can understand and elicit answers based on your expert background. Does that make sense?  A Yes.	10 11 12 13 14 <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> 18	PMC's request for a rehearing.  And then also had, I believe, three different dial-in telephone conferences with Amazon's attorneys. And then finally I was here for a day of depo prep yesterday.  Q And when you refer to the when you refer to the you said the '940 patent. I think you might have meant the '490 patent?  A I'm sorry. '490 patent, yes, the original
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	complete answers. Be responsive to my questions. If I give you any questions that you don't understand, just let me know and I'll try to rephrase them.  My goal here isn't to trick you, but it's to give you questions that you can understand and elicit answers based on your expert background. Does that make sense?  A Yes.  Q Are you under the influence of any sickness or	10 11 12 13 14 <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> 18	PMC's request for a rehearing.  And then also had, I believe, three different dial-in telephone conferences with Amazon's attorneys.  And then finally I was here for a day of depo prep yesterday.  Q And when you refer to the when you refer to the you said the '940 patent. I think you might have meant the '490 patent?  A I'm sorry. '490 patent, yes, the original November 1981 Harvey patent.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	complete answers. Be responsive to my questions. If I give you any questions that you don't understand, just let me know and I'll try to rephrase them.  My goal here isn't to trick you, but it's to give you questions that you can understand and elicit answers based on your expert background. Does that make sense?  A Yes.  Q Are you under the influence of any sickness or illness that would impair your ability to testify	10 11 12 13 14 <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> 18 19 <b>20</b>	PMC's request for a rehearing.  And then also had, I believe, three different dial-in telephone conferences with Amazon's attorneys.  And then finally I was here for a day of depo prep yesterday.  Q And when you refer to the when you refer to the you said the '940 patent. I think you might have meant the '490 patent?  A I'm sorry. '490 patent, yes, the original November 1981 Harvey patent.  Q That would be just for the record, that
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	complete answers. Be responsive to my questions. If I give you any questions that you don't understand, just let me know and I'll try to rephrase them.  My goal here isn't to trick you, but it's to give you questions that you can understand and elicit answers based on your expert background. Does that make sense?  A Yes.  Q Are you under the influence of any sickness or illness that would impair your ability to testify truthfully and completely today?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PMC's request for a rehearing.  And then also had, I believe, three different dial-in telephone conferences with Amazon's attorneys.  And then finally I was here for a day of depo prep yesterday.  Q And when you refer to the when you refer to the you said the '940 patent. I think you might have meant the '490 patent?  A I'm sorry. '490 patent, yes, the original November 1981 Harvey patent.  Q That would be just for the record, that would be PMC's patent 4,694,490, which is Amazon
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	complete answers. Be responsive to my questions. If I give you any questions that you don't understand, just let me know and I'll try to rephrase them.  My goal here isn't to trick you, but it's to give you questions that you can understand and elicit answers based on your expert background. Does that make sense?  A Yes.  Q Are you under the influence of any sickness or illness that would impair your ability to testify truthfully and completely today?  A No.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PMC's request for a rehearing. And then also had, I believe, three different dial-in telephone conferences with Amazon's attorneys. And then finally I was here for a day of depo prep yesterday.  Q And when you refer to the when you refer to the you said the '940 patent. I think you might have meant the '490 patent?  A I'm sorry. '490 patent, yes, the original November 1981 Harvey patent. Q That would be just for the record, that would be PMC's patent 4,694,490, which is Amazon Exhibit 1001. We'll enter that into the record in a
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	complete answers. Be responsive to my questions. If I give you any questions that you don't understand, just let me know and I'll try to rephrase them.  My goal here isn't to trick you, but it's to give you questions that you can understand and elicit answers based on your expert background. Does that make sense?  A Yes.  Q Are you under the influence of any sickness or illness that would impair your ability to testify truthfully and completely today?  A No.  Q Are you under the influence of any medications	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PMC's request for a rehearing.  And then also had, I believe, three different dial-in telephone conferences with Amazon's attorneys. And then finally I was here for a day of depo prep yesterday.  Q And when you refer to the when you refer to the you said the '940 patent. I think you might have meant the '490 patent?  A I'm sorry. '490 patent, yes, the original November 1981 Harvey patent.  Q That would be just for the record, that would be PMC's patent 4,694,490, which is Amazon Exhibit 1001. We'll enter that into the record in a moment.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	complete answers. Be responsive to my questions. If I give you any questions that you don't understand, just let me know and I'll try to rephrase them.  My goal here isn't to trick you, but it's to give you questions that you can understand and elicit answers based on your expert background. Does that make sense?  A Yes.  Q Are you under the influence of any sickness or illness that would impair your ability to testify truthfully and completely today?  A No.  Q Are you under the influence of any medications or substances that would impair your ability to testify	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PMC's request for a rehearing. And then also had, I believe, three different dial-in telephone conferences with Amazon's attorneys. And then finally I was here for a day of depo prep yesterday.  Q And when you refer to the when you refer to the you said the '940 patent. I think you might have meant the '490 patent?  A I'm sorry. '490 patent, yes, the original November 1981 Harvey patent. Q That would be just for the record, that would be PMC's patent 4,694,490, which is Amazon Exhibit 1001. We'll enter that into the record in a

Page 5..8







## Amazon.com, Inc., et al. vs. Personalized Media

An	thony Wechselberger	4	Amazon.com, Inc., et al. vs. Personalized Media
1	Page 9 patent 7,801,304?	1	Page 10 preparation approximately?
2	(Reporter clarification.)	2	A Approximately, I would say, on the average,
3	BY MR. SCHREINER:	3	they each ran about an hour and a half.
4	Q Oh, I'm sorry.	4	Q So something on the order of four and a half,
5	PMC's patent 7,801,304, is that the	5	five hours of preparation via phone calls?
6	'304 patent that you reviewed?	6	A Yes.
7	A Correct.	7	Q Okay.
8	Q And that's Amazon's Exhibit 1004, which we'll	8	And when you mentioned the documents that you
9	enter shortly.	9	had reviewed, you you mention the your
10	During your dial-in calls, who who did you	10	declaration, the prior art references, the '304 patent,
11	speak with?	11	the '490 patent, the petition, the preliminary response,
12	A Two gentlemen to my left.	12	and PMC's request for rehearing.
13	Q And those gentlemen are who?	13	Approximately how long did you spend reviewing
14	A Colin	14	those documents as part of your preparation for this
15	MR. HEIDEMAN: Heideman.	15	deposition?
16	THE WITNESS: Heideman. Mr. Colin Heideman	16	A I would guess 10, 12 hours.
17	and Mr. Kent Shum.	17	Q Okay.
18	BY MR. SCHREINER:	18	And you did that where? At your at your
19	Q And Mr. Heideman and Shum were they were	19	home? At your office? At the offices here at
20	both of them were all on three calls you mentioned?	20	Knobbe Marten?
21	A Mr. Heideman was not on all three. He may	21	A At my home office.
22	have made appearances on one or two partially. But	22	Q Okay.
23	Mr. Shum was on all of them.	23	And you indicated that you did one day of
24	Q Okay. What was the total length of the	24	deposition preparation at your counsel's office
25	three calls that you had as part of your deposition	25	yesterday; is that correct?
_	Page 11	_	Page 12
1	A Yes.	1	
2	Q And how much time did you spend?	2	A No.
3	A Yesterday?	3	Q Prior to your deposition strike that.
4	Q Yes, sir.	4	As part of your involvement in Amazon's
5	A We started at 9:00, broke for lunch for perhaps an hour, and then quit about I believe about	5	petition regarding the '304 patent, including the
6	·	6	preparation of your declaration, did you have contact
7 8	5:00 p.m.  Q Okay. So on the order of seven hours?	7	with Amazon's other experts in the other IPRs?  A No.
	-	8	
9 <b>10</b>	A Seems right.	9	Q Okay. So you never spoke with
	Q And who did you meet with during that	11	Charles Neuhauser?
12	deposition preparation?  A Colin and Kent to my left.	12	
13	Q Mr. Heideman and Mr. Shum?	13	•
14	A Mr. Shum, yeah.	14	
15	Q Okay.	15	
16	Did you speak you're aware that there are	16	
17	several other IPR proceedings that are at issue	17	
18	involving Amazon and PMC?	18	
19	A I am aware, yes.	19	•
20	Q And you're aware that there's other experts	20	
21	who've been engaged by Amazon to assist them in those	21	5
22	IPRs; correct?	22	
23	A That's my understanding, yes.	23	
24	Q Okay.		for IPR on another patent that involves decryption,
25	As part of your deposition preparation, did		which is 7,805,749 or the '749 patent?
23	As part of your deposition preparation, did	23	minor is 1,000,170 of the 170 patent:

Page 9..12







### Amazon.com, Inc., et al. vs. Personalized Media

Page 14

Page 13 A My understanding is that they've submitted 2 petitions for all of the asserted patents. And earlier 3 in my work on this project, I had the opportunity to at 4 least be told and read what the set of asserted claims 5 were way -- a long time ago. 6 Now, if -- if some of those claims have been 7 dropped, I don't know about it because I was -- when it 8 came back -- time to actually prepare for the petitions, 9 I was asked to focus only on the '304. So it seemed to 10 me one of those might have had something to do with 11 encryption or decryption, but I don't actually recall. 12 Q Were you asked to look at the '749 patent? 13

A As I said, I was given a list of the complete 14 set of asserted claims as those would have existed 15 several months ago. Now, as we all know, they all share 16 the same specification. So, you know, the name of

17 the -- the issues are the claims, not necessarily the 18 specific patent number itself.

19 Q So you -- so you looked at the claims of --20 strike that.

21 You looked at the claims for all the patents 22 that were asserted in the district court litigation between Amazon and PMC; is that correct? 24 MR. HEIDEMAN: Objection. Mischaracterizes

25 the testimony.

1 A Well, in that case, I would definitely have 2 known. I said I don't know how I ended up working on

3 '304. I was just asked. Q Okay. So the answer is you worked on the

5 '304 patent because you were asked to work on the '304 patent; is that correct?

7 Α Yes.

8 Q Were you asked to work on any of the other patents that were at issue in the litigation?

10 A Not with respect to the -- any IPR activity.

11 Q Okay. Have you ever -- strike that.

12 You're here as an expert in connection with 13 this IPR proceeding on the '304 patent; is that correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Have you ever been an expert in an IPR 16 proceeding?

17 A Yes.

18

And describe for me the circumstances of that.

19 A Can you explain a little better what you mean

20 by "circumstances"?

21 Q You said you were an expert in a prior IPR

22 proceeding; correct?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Okay. What did you do in the prior IPR

25 proceeding?

1 BY MR. SCHREINER:

Q Please answer.

Well, I'm trying to remember. 3 Α

4

2

16

21

5 A Yeah. It was before the IPRs had -- had

6 gotten started so I guess it was just the district court

action, so -- and I was given what I -- what I believe

is the complete set of asserted claims.

9 Q How was it decided that you would assist with 10 the '304 patent as opposed to the other patents at issue

11 in that suit?

12 MR. HEIDEMAN: Objection. Lacks foundation.

13 Calls for speculation.

BY MR. SCHREINER: 14

15 Q Let's -- let's rephrase that.

Actually, no. Please answer the question.

17 How was it decided that you would assist with

18 the '304 patent as opposed to the other patents at issue

19 in this -- that suit?

20 MR. HEIDEMAN: Same objections.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

22 BY MR. SCHREINER:

23 Q Did you decide yourself that you were going to 24 work on the '304 patent and -- and you went to counsel

25 and said, this is the one I'm going to work on?

Page 15 Page 16 1 A I was contacted by the defendant attorneys who

were going to write the petition. They had already made

up their mind that -- you know, that -- that this was

going to happen. They were looking for somebody with a

5 technology background that was appropriate.

I fit that background. And I was asked then 6

7 to become familiar with the patents-in-suit. Patent or

patents, I don't actually remember now. And the prior 8

art associated with it. And working with the law firm, 9

10 I prepared a declaration, which has been submitted.

11 My understanding is that PTAB decision is due

12 within the next month. This was some months ago. And

since that flurry of activity, which probably took place 13

over a period of four to six weeks, I have not been 14

15 involved with that case.

16 Q Okay. And who are the parties in that case?

17 I actually don't remember.

18 Who -- who is -- are you working on behalf of O

19 the patent holder, on behalf of the petitioner

20 challenging the patent?

21 A Petitioner.

22 Okay. Who's the petitioner?

23 A I don't remember who the parties are. Sorry.

24 Q Okay. You don't remember who the patent

25 holder is or who the petitioner is?

Page 13..16







# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

