

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/485,507	06/07/1995	JOHN C. HARVEY	5634.304	5691
70813 GOODWIN PR	7590 11/21/201 COCTER LLP	EXAMINER		
	K AVENUE, N.W.	MOORE JR, MICHAEL J		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2467	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/21/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

AAlpha-Kpetewama@goodwinprocter.com patentdc@goodwinprocter.com fmckeon@goodwinprocter.com



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
08/485,507	HARVEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL J. MOORE, JR.	2467	

M	ICHAEL J. MOORE, JR.	2467					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of							
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following							
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice							
a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	with 37 CFN 1.114. The reply in	ust be filed within one	of the following				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date.	ate of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later		•					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	* *	136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensional and a second of the second of th							
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that							
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ğ	, ,	• •				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension</li> </ol>							
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with			e appear. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but	prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consi							
<ul><li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li></ul>							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a cor	responding number of finally rei	icated alaima					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116		ected ciaims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphane / informatione	(1 102 024).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ed below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>33-63</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but b	efore or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will no	at he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•		·				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a N							
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation o							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	The states of the stamp after o	inity to below of attack	ica.				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but d See Continuation Sheet.	oes NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PT	O/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13.  Other:							
	/Michael J. Moore, Jr./						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2467					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20111109



## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 08/485,507

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The current amendments made by Applicant to claims 45 and 52 and claims depending therefrom raise new issues that require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding claims 45-50 and 52-56, Applicant argues that while Mason (U.S. 4,736,422) uses the term encryption, it does not contemplate digital transmissions and does not address encryption. However, as shown in Figure 1 of Mason, the encryption system of Mason utilizes encryption keys that are processed via digital circuit components such as digital logic gates and comparators. Further, Figure 3a of Mason shows a 64 bit digital block encryption algorithm where bits of a signal are processed. Further, Figure 3b of Mason shows digital bit processing of a signal utilizing registers, switches, and digital logic gates. Therefore, it is maintained that Mason is applicable to digital transmissions as the digital circuitry shown would be used to encrypt/decrypt digital signals.

Regarding claim 58, Applicant argues that Pitts et al. (U.S. 4,893,248) does not teach "receiving enabling information based on said step of tuning". Applicant further argues that the command signal sent via the parallel input/output interface 26 and the data buffer 20 of Pitts is not received from a remote source. However, the current claim language does not indicate who is receiving the "enabling information" or where the "enabling information" is being received from. As provided in the Final Office Action, Pitts teaches the sending of a command signal (enabling information) via the parallel input/output interface 26 and the data buffer 20 to cause the converter 14 to decrypt the inputted pay per view TV program signal with the corresponding embedded tag number (upon receiving the command signal) as spoken of on column 13, lines 21-39. This step is performed in response to the converter 14 receiving a TV program signal (information transmission including encrypted information) embedded with a tag number (instruct-to-enable signal) identifying (designating) a particular pay per view program and corresponding channel as spoken of on column 13, lines 15-21.

Regarding claim 60, Applicant again argues that Mason is not applicable to digital television signals. However, as provided above, it is maintained that Mason is applicable to digital television signals and therefore is applicable to the teachings of Pitts.

