

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.  
Petitioner

v.

PERSONALIZED MEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case No.: IPR2016-00755  
Patent No.: 8,191,091

---

**PETITIONER'S OPPOSITION  
TO PATENT OWNER'S CONTINGENT MOTION TO AMEND**

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I.</b>	<b>The Substitute Claims Do Not Satisfy § 101.</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>The Substitute Claims Do Not Satisfy § 112.</b>	<b>5</b>
A.	PMC Did Not Satisfy 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(b)(1).	5
B.	The Substitute Claims Are Not Supported By The Specification Because It Does Not Disclose The “Receiving” Limitation.	7
C.	Substitute Claims 32-36 Are Not Supported by the Specification.	8
D.	Substitute Claims 37-40 Are Not Supported by the Specification.	9
E.	Substitute Claims 41-43 Are Not Supported by the Specification.	10
<b>III.</b>	<b>The Substitute Claims Would Have Been Obvious to a Person of Ordinary Skill In the Art.</b>	<b>11</b>
A.	PMC Failed to Show That Its Substitute Claims Are Patentable.	11
B.	Claim Construction	11
C.	Substitute Claims 32-40 Are Unpatentable Over Gilhousen.	11
1.	Substitute Claim 32 Is Obvious Over Gilhousen.	11
2.	Substitute Claim 33 Is Obvious Over Gilhousen.	14
3.	Substitute Claim 37 Is Obvious Over Gilhousen.	14
4.	Substitute Claims 34 and 39 Are Obvious Over Gilhousen	17
5.	Substitute Claims 35 and 38 Are Obvious Over Gilhousen	17
6.	Substitute Claims 36 and 40 Are Obvious Over Gilhousen	18
D.	Substitute Claims 32-43 Are Unpatentable Over Seth-Smith.	18
1.	Substitute Claim 32 Is Obvious Over Seth-Smith	18
2.	Substitute Claim 33 Is Obvious Over Seth-Smith	21
3.	Substitute Claim 37 Is Obvious Over Seth-Smith	21

4.	Substitute Claims 34 and 39 Are Obvious Over Seth-Smith .....	23
5.	Substitute Claim 41 Is Obvious Over Seth-Smith .....	23
6.	Substitute Claims 35, 38 and 42 Are Obvious Over Seth-Smith .....	25
7.	Substitute Claim 36, 40 And 43 Are Obvious Over Seth-Smith .....	25
<b>IV.</b>	<b>PMC Has Not Met Its Burden.....</b>	<b>25</b>

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

**Page(s)**

### **Cases**

<i>Affinity Labs of Tex., LLC v. DIRECTV, LLC</i> , 838 F.3d 1253 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	4
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int’l</i> , 134 S.Ct 2347 (2014).....	5
<i>B.E. Tech., L.L.C. v. Google, Inc.</i> , No. 2015-1827, 2016 WL 6803057 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 17, 2016) .....	6
<i>Digitech Image Techs., LLC v. Elecs. for Imaging, Inc.</i> , 758 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	4
<i>Elec. Power Grp., LLC v. Alstom S.A.</i> , 830 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	3, 5
<i>Facebook, Inc. v. EveryMD LLC</i> , IPR2014-00242, 2015 WL 2268210 (P.T.A.B. May 12, 2015) .....	5, 6
<i>Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 838 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	3, 4
<i>MasterImage 3D, Inc. v. RealD Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00040, 2015 WL 4383224 (P.T.A.B. July 15, 2015).....	11
<i>Nichia Corp. v. Emcore Corp.</i> , IPR2012-00005, 2013 WL 8352845 (P.T.A.B. June 3, 2013) .....	5, 7
<i>Novozymes A/S v. DuPont Nutrition Biosciences APS</i> , 723 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	7
<i>Personalized Media Comm’ns, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , 161 F.Supp.3d 325 (D. Del. 2015), <i>aff’d</i> Case No. 2015-2008, 2016 WL 7118532 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 7, 2016) .....	1, 2
<i>Respironics, Inc. v. Zoll Med. Corp.</i> , IPR2013-00322, 2014 WL 4715644 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 17, 2014) .....	6, 7

*Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC*,  
772 F.3d 709 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....4, 5

**Statutes**

37 C.F.R. § 42.121(b)(1).....5, 6

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.