

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.

Petitioner

v.

PERSONALIZED MEDIA COMMUNICATIONS LLC

Patent Owner

Case No.: IPR2016-00754

Patent No.: 8,559,635

For: Signal Processing Apparatus and Methods

**PATENT OWNER PERSONALIZED
MEDIA COMMUNICATIONS'
RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THE '635 PATENT	2
III. GROUNDS AT ISSUE	4
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND THE PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	5
A. “Decrypt” and related terms (All Challenged Claims)	6
1. Overwhelming Support In The Specifications	7
2. The Controversial Sentence, When Read in Context, Supports PMC’s Construction	9
3. The '490 Patent Describes Decryption as a Digital Process to Decipher Digital Data	11
4. Unequivocal Statements Made During Prosecution, Reexamination and Other Proceedings Support PMC’s Construction	12
5. BPAI and Judicial Acknowledgements of Prosecution Disclaimers	14
6. Support in Wechselberger’s Prior Declaration & Article	15
B. “Encrypted Video” (Claim 4).....	18
C. “Processor” (Claims 21).....	22
D. “Executable Instructions” (Claim 13)	27
V. PETITIONER FAILS TO SHOW BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE THAT THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	29
A. Aminetzah Fails to Render Claims 21, 28, 29, and 30 Obvious	29
1. Aminetzah Fails to Teach or Suggest Decryption of Programming (Independent Claims 21 and Dependent Claims 28, 29, and 30)	32
2. Aminetzah Fails To Teach or Suggest “Receiving A Transmission Comprising	

	Encrypted Materials” (Independent Claim 21)	34
3.	Aminetzah Fails To Teach Or Suggest “Decrypting Under First Processor Control” and “Decrypting Under Second Processor Control a Second Portion” (Independent Claim 21).....	39
4.	Aminetzah Fails To Teach or Suggest the Receiver Station Receiving a Signal Necessary for Decryption and a Transmission from Different Sources (Dependent Claim 29)	41
5.	Aminetzah Fails To Teach or Suggest “Contacting A Remote Transmitter Station to Receive One of Said Transmission And Said Signal Necessary for Decryption” (Dependent Claim 30)	41
B.	Aminetzah, in view of Bitzer, Fails to Render Claim 4 Obvious	43
1.	Aminetzah, In View Of Bitzer, Fails To Teach or Suggest Transmitting Encrypted Video (Dependent Claim 4)	43
C.	Guillou Fails to Anticipate Claims 7, 21 and 29.....	49
1.	Guillou Fails To Expressly or Inherently Disclose the Subscriber Station “Detect[ing], ... A Second Control Signal Portion Used To Decrypt the First Control Signal Portion” (Claim 7)	50
2.	Guillou Fails To Expressly or Inherently Disclose “Decrypting Under First Processor Control” and “Decrypting Under Second Processor Control” (Claims 21 and 29).....	51
D.	Guillou Fails to Render Obvious Claims 4, 13, 28 and 30	55
1.	Guillou Fails to Teach or Suggest Receiving Programming that Includes Encrypted Video (Dependent Claim 4)	55
2.	Guillou Fails To Teach or Suggest Receiving a Plurality of Digital Signals that Include	

	Embedded Executable Instructions and Controlling a Controllable Device On The Basis Of Said Embedded Executable Instructions (Independent Claim 13).....	57
3.	Guillou Fails to Teach or Suggest Receiving and Decrypting Encrypted Materials that Includes a Portion of a Television Program (Dependent Claim 28)	60
4.	Guillou Fails To Teach or Suggest “Contacting A Remote Transmitter Station to Receive One of Said Transmission And Said Signal Necessary for Decryption” (Dependent Claim 30)	61
VI.	OBJECTIVE EVIDENCE OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS	63
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	63

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.</i> , 288 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	5
<i>Cisco Systems, Inc., V. C-Cation Technologies, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454 (PTAB August 29, 2014)	35
<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. National Graphics, Inc.</i> , 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	29
<i>Ex Parte Burgess</i> , No. 2008-2820, 2009 WL 291172 (B.P.A.I. Feb 06, 2009)	29
<i>Ex parte Tessler</i> , IPR2012-006616 (PTAB Oct. 2, 2014)	36
<i>Fidelity National Information Services, Inc., v. Datatransury Corp.</i> , IPR2014-00489 (PTAB August 13, 2014)	35
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kan. City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	63
<i>Heart Failure Techs., LLC v. Cardiokinetix, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00183 (PTAB July 31, 2013)	45
<i>In re Fritch</i> , 972 F.2d 1260 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	47
<i>In re Nuvasive, Inc.</i> , 2016 WL 7118526 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	30
<i>In Re: Magnum Oil Tools International</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	35
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	29, 30
<i>MBO Laboratories, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.</i> , 474 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.