IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Inter Partes Review of:

Trial Number: To Be Assigned

U.S. Patent No. 8,559,635

Filed: May 24, 1995

Issued: October 15, 2013

Inventor(s): John Christopher Harvey, James William Cuddihy

Assignee: Personalized Media Communications, LLC

Title: Signal processing apparatus and methods Panel: To Be Assigned

Mail Stop *Inter Partes* Review Commissions for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DOCKET

DECLARATION OF ANTHONY J. WECHSELBERGER UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF <u>U.S. PATENT NO. 8,559,635</u>

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction1					
II.	Background and Qualifications					
III.	Unde	Understanding of Patent Law11				
IV.	Background13					
	A.	Background of the Field Relevant to the '635 Patent				
		1.	NTSC Television Transmission Technology	14		
		2.	Embedded Digital Information in NTSC Television Transmissions	18		
		3.	Pay TV and Content Protection			
		4.	Decryption and Descrambling			
	B.	Sum	mary of the '635 Patent			
	C.	Sum	mary of the Prosecution History	35		
V.	Leve	el of Ordinary Skill in the Pertinent Art35				
VI.	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation					
		1.	"Decrypting"			
		2.	"Processor"			
		3.	"Encrypted digital information transmission unaccompanied by any non-digital information transmission"			
VII.	Back	groun	d on Prior Art References			
, 11 ,	A. Background on Guillou					
	B.					
	С.		sground on Bitzer			

V		1-4, 7, 13, 18, 20, 21, 28-30, 32, and 33 Are Invalid Over
	1.	Claim 1 Is Anticipated By Guillou43
	2.	Claim 2 Is Anticipated By Guillou52
	3.	Claim 3 Is Anticipated By Guillou58
	4.	Claim 4 Is Obvious Over Guillou66
	5.	Claim 7 Is Anticipated By Guillou67
	6.	Claim 13 Is Obvious Over Guillou
	7.	Claim 18 Is Obvious Over Guillou77
	8.	Claim 20 Is Obvious Over Guillou
	9.	Claim 21 Is Anticipated By Guillou
	10.	Claim 28 Is Obvious Over Guillou95
	11.	Claim 29 Is Anticipated By Guillou96
	12.	Claim 30 Is Obvious Over Guillou97
	13.	Claim 32 Is Obvious Over Guillou100
	14.	Claim 33 Is Obvious Over Guillou102
IX.	Claims 1-4	, 7, 18, 20, 21, 28-30, and 33 Are Invalid Over Aminetzah105
	1.	Claim 1 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah in View of Bitzer106
	2.	Claim 2 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah in View of Bitzer117
	3.	Claim 3 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah121
	4.	Claim 4 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah in View of Bitzer128
	5.	Claim 7 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah in View of Bitzer129
	6.	Claim 18 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah in View of Bitzer130

	7.	Claim 20 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah in View of Bitzer136	
	8.	Claim 21 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah	
	9.	Claim 28 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah141	
	10.	Claim 29 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah142	
	11.	Claim 30 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah143	
	12.	Claim 33 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah in View of Bitzer144	
X.	Secondary	Considerations of Non-Obviousness146	
XI.	Conclusion		

Declaration of Anthony J. Wechselberger Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,559,635

I, Anthony J. Wechselberger, do hereby declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- I have been retained as an expert witness on behalf of Apple, Inc. ("Apple") for the above-captioned Petition for *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") of U.S. Patent No. 8,559,635 ("the '635 patent"). I am being compensated for my time in connection with this IPR at my standard consulting rate of \$350 per hour. My compensation is not affected by the outcome of this matter.
- I have been asked to provide my opinions regarding whether Claims 1-4, 7, 13, 18, 20, 21, 28-30, 32, and 33 of the '635 patent ("the Challenged Claims") are invalid as anticipated or would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the alleged invention.
- 3. The '635 patent issued on October 15, 2013, from U.S. Patent Appl. No. 08/449,413 ("the '413 application"), filed on May 24, 1995. (Ex. 1003 at cover.) The '635 patent alleges to be a continuation of a series of applications dating back to U.S. Patent Appl. No. 07/096,096 filed on September 11, 1987, now U.S. Patent No. 4,965,825 ("the '096 Application"). The '096 Application alleges to be a continuation-in-part of a series of applications dating back to U.S. Patent Appl. No. 06/317,510 filed November 3, 1981, now U.S. Patent No. 4,694,490 ("the '510 Application").

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.