

Filed on behalf of TRACBEAM, LLC

By: Sean Luner

DOVEL & LUNER

201 Santa Monica Blvd, Suite 600

Santa Monica, CA 90401

Telephone (310) 656-7066

sean@dovel.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

T-MOBILE US, INC., T-MOBILE USA, INC.,
TELECOMMUNICATION SYSTEMS, INC., ERICSSON INC., and
TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON
Petitioners,

v.

TRACBEAM, LLC,
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2016-00728
Patent 7,525,484

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO THE PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,525,484 UNDER
35 U.S.C. § 313 PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction.....1
- II. This Petition is time barred.....2
 - A. This Petition was filed more than one year after Petitioners were served with a complaint alleging infringement of the ‘484 patent.3
 - B. Petitioners cannot circumvent Section 315(b)’s one-year statutory bar by trying to join their own Petition.6
- III. Relevant factors that the Board considers before exercising its discretion to permit Petitioners’ second-try Petition demonstrate that the Board should deny this Petition.....8
- IV. Conclusion30

Table of Authorities

Cases

ATopTech, Inc. v. Synopsys, Inc.,
IPR2015-00760, Paper 15 (July 21, 2015)..... 17, 27, 29

Butamax Advanced v. Gevo,
IPR2014-00581, Paper 8 (Oct. 14, 2014)..... passim

Conopco, Inc. dba Unilever v. The Procter & Gamble Company,
IPR2014-00506, Paper 25 (March 20, 2015)..... 11, 13, 18, 26

Conopco, Inc. dba Unilever v. The Procter & Gamble Company,
IPR2014-00628, Paper 21 (Oct. 20, 2014)..... 15, 16, 18, 19

Eizo Corporation v. Barco N.V.,
IPR2014-00778, Paper 18 (Oct. 10, 2014).....6, 7

Intelligent Bio Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.,
IPR2013-00324, Paper 19 (Nov. 21, 2013)..... 8, 17, 25

Johnson Health Tech Co. v. Icon Health & Fitness, Inc.,
IPR2014-01242, Paper 16 (Feb. 11, 2015).....3

LG Electronics, Inc. v. ATI Technologies ULC,
IPR2015-01620, Paper 10 (Feb. 2, 2016)..... 10, 29

LG Electronics, Inc. v. Mondis Technology, Ltd.,
IPR2015-00937, Paper 8 (Sept. 17, 2015)3, 5

Medtronic Inc. v. Nuvasive, Inc.,
IPR2014-00487, Paper 8 (Sep. 11, 2014).....19

Medtronic Inc. v. Robert Bosch Healthcare Systems, Inc.,
IPR2014-00436, Paper 17 (June 19, 2014) 15, 17

Microsoft Corp. v. Enfish, LLC.,
IPR2014-00574, Paper 13 (Sept. 29, 2014)14

Microsoft Corp. v. Surfcast, Inc.,
IPR2014-00271, Paper 20 (June 13, 2014)16

Microsoft v. Biscotti, Inc.,
IPR2015-01054, Paper 10 (Oct. 22, 2015).....26

Oracle Corp. v. Crossroads Systems, Inc.
IPR2015-01066, Paper 11 (Oct. 7, 2015).....7

Prism Pharma v. Choongwae Pharma Corp.,
IPR2014-00315, Paper 14 (July 8, 2014).....16

Samsung Elecs. Co. Ltd. v. Virginia Innovations Scis., Inc.,
IPR2014-00557, Paper 10 (June 13, 2014)29

Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. et al. v. Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC,
IPR2015-00820, Paper 12 (May 15, 2015) 14, 20, 26, 28

SAS Institute, Inc. v. ComplementSoft, LLC,
IPR2013-00581, Paper 15 (Dec. 30, 2013)15

SkyHawke Technologies, Inc. v. L&H Concepts, LLC,
IPR2014-01485, Paper 13 (March 20, 2015)6

Target Corp. v. Destination Maternity Corp.,
IPR2014-00508, Paper 18 (Sept. 25, 2014)7

Terremark North America LLC et al. v. Joao Control & Monitoring Systems, LLC,
IPR2015-01482, Paper 10 (Dec. 28, 2015)5

Travelocity.com et al. v. Cronos Technologies,
CBM2015-00047, Paper 7 (June 15, 2015).....21

Tristar Products, Inc. v. Choon’s Design, LLC,
IPR2015-00838, Paper 8 (Aug. 26, 2015).....2

Zimmer Holdings Inc. v. Bonutti Skeletal Innovations LLC,
IPR2014-01080, Paper 17 (Oct. 31, 2014).....8

ZTE Corp. v. Contentguard Holdings, Inc.,
IPR2013-00454, Paper 12 (Sept. 25, 2013) passim

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 315(b)2, 7

35 U.S.C. § 315(c)6

35 U.S.C. § 325(d)14

Other Authorities

157 Cong. Rec. (daily ed. Sept. 8, 2011)28

77 Fed. Reg. 4861221

77 Fed. Reg. 4875628

77 Fed. Reg. 4876721

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.101(b)2, 5
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)21

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.