

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Activision Blizzard, Inc., Electronic Arts Inc., Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc.,
2K Sports, Inc., and Rockstar Games, Inc.,
Petitioners

v.

Acceleration Bay, LLC,
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2016-00726

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 6,910,069**

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Submitted Electronically via the Patent Review Processing System

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER § 42.8	2
III.	PETITIONERS HAVE STANDING	3
	A. Grounds For Standing Under § 42.104(A).....	3
	B. Claims And Statutory Grounds Under §§ 42.22 And 42.104(B).....	3
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE '069 PATENT AND ITS TECHNICAL FIELD.....	4
	A. Overview Of The '069 Patent	4
	B. Overview Of The Prosecution History.....	6
	C. Overview Of The Technical Field And Brief Discussion Of Some Of The Relevant Prior Art.....	8
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER § 42.104(B)(3)	13
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	14
VII.	THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT PETITIONERS WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE CLAIM	14
	A. Overview Of Obraczka.....	14
	B. Overview Of Denes	16
	C. Overview Of Shoubridge	17
	D. Combination Of The Teachings Of Obraczka, Denes, And Shoubridge.....	18
	E. Ground 1: Claims 1-3, 7-9, And 11-17	23
	1. Independent Claim 1	23
	2. Dependent Claim 2	31
	3. Dependent Claim 3	33
	4. Dependent Claim 7	34
	5. Dependent Claim 8	35
	6. Dependent Claim 9	36
	7. Dependent Claims 11-12.....	38
	8. Dependent Claim 13	39
	9. Independent Claim 14.....	40
	10. Dependent Claim 15	49

11.	Dependent Claim 16	50
12.	Dependent Claim 17	52
F.	Ground 2: Claims 4-6 And 9-10.....	53
1.	Dependent Claims 4-5.....	54
2.	Dependent Claim 6	56
3.	Dependent Claim 9	57
4.	Dependent Claim 10	58
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	59

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,732,147 (“the ’147 patent”)
Ex. 1002	U.S. Patent No. 6,732,147 File History
Ex. 1003	Expert Declaration of David R. Karger (“Karger”)
Ex. 1004	Declaration of Scott Bennett, Ph.D
Ex. 1005	Peter J. Shoubridge & Arek Dadej, “Hybrid Routing in Dynamic Networks,” IEEE International Conference on Communications, Montreal, 1997 (“Shoubridge”)
Ex. 1006	Declaration of Steven Silvio Pietrobon attaching as Exhibit F Peter J. Shoubridge, “Adaptive Strategies for Routing in Dynamic Networks” (Ph.D. Thesis, University of South Australia, December 1996) (“Shoubridge Thesis”)
Ex. 1007	John M. McQuillan, <i>et al.</i> , “The New Routing Algorithm for the ARPANET,” IEEE Transactions Comms., Vol. 28, No. 5, 1980 (“McQuillan”)
Ex. 1008	Yogen Kantilal Dalal, “Broadcast Protocols in Packet Switched Computer Networks,” (Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford University 1977) (“Dalal”)
Ex. 1009	Katia Obraczka, <i>et al.</i> , “A Tool for Massively Replicating Internet Archives: Design, Implementation, and Experience,” Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems, 27-30 May 1996, Hong Kong (New York, NY: 1996), 657-664 (“Obraczka Paper”)
Ex. 1010	Katia Obraczka, “Massively Replicating Services In Wide-Area Internetworks,” (Ph.D. Thesis, University of Southern California December 1994) (“Obraczka”)
Ex. 1011	Jose Rufino, <i>et al.</i> , “A Study On The Inaccessibility Characteristics Of ISO 8802/4 Token-Bus LANs,” IEEE INFOCOM ’92: The Conference on Computer Communications. One World through Communications. Eleventh Annual Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communication Societies, Florence, Italy, Vol. 2 (Picataway, NJ: IEEE Service Center, 1992), 0958-0967 (“Rufino”)
Ex. 1012	U.S. Patent No. 6,829,634 (“the ’634 patent”)
Ex. 1013	Kuo-Jui Raymond Lin, “Routing and Broadcasting in Two-dimensional Linear Congruential Graphs of Degree Four,” (Master’s Thesis, Concordia University, June 1994) (“Kuo-Jui Lin”)
Ex. 1014	William S. Davis and David C. Yen, <u>The Information System Consultant’s Handbook: Systems Analysis and Design</u> , CRC Press, 1998 (“Davis”)

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 1015	Topological Design Considerations in Computer Communication Networks, Computer Communication Networks (V.G. Cerf, D.D. Cown, R.C. Mullin), 1975 (“Cerf”)
Ex. 1016	Stephen M. Grimes certification of English translation attaching English translation and original text of Tamás Dénes, “The ‘Evolution’ of Regular Graphs of Even Order by their Verticies,” Matematikai Lapok, 27, 3-4 (1976/1979): 365-377 (“Denes”)
Ex. 1017	English language translation from Exhibit 1016: Tamás Dénes, “The ‘Evolution’ of Regular Graphs of Even Order by their Verticies,” Matematikai Lapok, 27, 3-4 (1976/1979): 365-377 (“Denes”)
Ex. 1018	S. Toida, “Construction of Quartic Graphs,” Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 16.2 (April 1974): 124-133 (“Toida”)
Ex. 1019	T. Todd, “The Token Grid Network,” IEEE/ACM Transactions On Networking, 2.3 (June 1994): 279-287 (“Todd”)
Ex. 1020	Declaration of Peter John Shoubridge and, as Exhibit A, Peter J. Shoubridge, Adaptive Strategies for Routing in Dynamic Networks, Ph.D. Thesis (Univ. S. Austl., 1996) (“Shoubridge Thesis”), and as Exhibit B, Peter J. Shoubridge & Arek Dadej, Hybrid Routing in Dynamic Networks, in 3 IEEE INT’L CONF. ON COMMC’NS CONF. REC. 1381-86 (Montreal, 1997) (“Shoubridge”).
Ex. 1021	U.S. Patent No. 5,802,285 to Hirviniemi (“Hirviniemi”)
Ex. 1022	U.S. Patent No. 4,700,185 to Balph (“Balph”)
Ex. 1023	U.S. Patent No. 6,603,742 to Steele <i>et al.</i> (“Steele”)
Ex. 1024	U.S. Patent No. 6,732,147 File History Excerpt: November 5, 2003 Office Action
Ex. 1025	U.S. Patent No. 6,732,147 File History Excerpt: December 17, 2003 Amendment and Response
Ex. 1026	U.S. Patent No. 6,732,147 File History Excerpt: January 23, 2004 Notice of Allowance
Ex. 1027	L.G. Valiant, “Optimality of a Two-Phase Strategy for Routing in Interconnection Networks,” IEEE Transactions on Computers, C-32.9 (September 1983): 861-863 (“Valiant”)
Ex. 1028	U.S. Patent No. 6,490,247 to Gilbert <i>et al.</i> (“Gilbert”)
Ex. 1029	U.S. Patent No. 6,122,277 to Garmire <i>et al.</i> (“Garmire”)
Ex. 1030	U.S. Patent No. 5,181,017 to Frey <i>et al.</i> (“Frey”)
Ex. 1031	J. Van Leeuwen, <i>et al.</i> , “Interval Routing,” The Computer Journal, 30.4 (August 1987): 298-307 (“Van Leeuwen”).
<i>Ex. 1032-1100: Not Used</i>	

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.