ı	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/629,577	HOLT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Lazaro	2155
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS therewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apply or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
 This communication is responsive to the amendment filed The allowed claim(s) is/are 9-12,15-19,22-25 and 28-30. The drawings filed on 07/31/00 are accepted by the Exam Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una)	iner.	·
 Certified copies of the priority documents have 	e been received.	
Certified copies of the priority documents have		
Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in this	national stage application from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
 5. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under reference was included in the first sentence of the specific (a) The translation of the foreign language provisional and acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under the first sentence of the specification or in an Application 	ation or in an Application Data Sheet application has been received. under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 si	t. 37 CFR 1.78.
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of	of this communication to file a reply control of this application. THIS THREE-MO	omplying with the requirements noted NTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE
7. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No 		948) attached
(b) including changes required by the proposed drawing	correction filed, which has be	een approved by the Examiner.
(c) including changes required by the attached Examiner	's Amendment / Comment or in the C	Office action of Paper No
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in		
9. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR		

Attachment(s)

1 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No.

4 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit

of Biological Material

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6⊠ Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No.8 .

7⊠ Examiner's Amendment/Comment

8⊠ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

9☐ Other

PATRICE WINDER PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-37 (Rev. 11-03)

Notice of Allowability

Part of Paper No. 8

Ex. 1026



Application/Control Number: 09/629,577

Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

RESTRICTIONS

 This application is in condition for allowance except for the presence of Claims 1-8 to an invention non-elected without traverse. Accordingly, claims have been cancelled.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Chun Ng (Reg. No. 36,878) on 01/23/04.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the claims:

Claims 1-8 are cancelled.

In Claim 9, line 8, after "message", please insert --on the broadcast channel--.
In Claim 9, line 9, after "connect", please insert --in order to maintain an m-regular graph--.

In Claim 23, line 9, after "message", please insert --on the broadcast channel--.
In Claim 23, line 10, after "connect", please insert --in order to maintain an m-regular graph--.



3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 9-12, 15-19, 22-25 and 28-30 are allowable over the prior art of record based on the argument set forth by the applicant in Paper 3 starting on page 10 as directed to the presently amended claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lazaro whose telephone number is 703-305-4868. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on 703-308-6662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

David Lazaro January 23, 2004

PATRICE WINDER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Interview Summary	09/629,577	HOLT ET AL.		
merview dammary	Examiner	Art Unit		
•	David Lazaro	2155		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>David Lazaro</u> .	(3) (4)	•		
(2) <u>Chun Ng</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 23 January 2004,				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∏ applicant's repre	esentative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	•		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>9 and 23</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 9 and 23 were discussed in order to expedite prosecution of the application. Applicant's representative agreed to an examiners amendment so that the body of both Claims 9 and 23 would reflect an explicit linking to the broadcast channel and its m-regular structure. The examiner's amendment will make the application allowable. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
	•			
		1 -		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Exami	ner's signature, if required		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 8



Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)
In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies

which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the

substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

