

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WHATSAPP INC. and FACEBOOK, INC.

Petitioner

v.

TRIPLAY, INC.

Patent Owner

IPR2016-00718

Patent 8,874,677 B2

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	- 1 -
II.	STATEMENT OF FACTS	- 5 -
A.	U.S. Patent No. 8,874,677	- 5 -
B.	Scope Of Prior Art.....	- 9 -
1.	Summary chart of Ground One obviousness combinations	- 9 -
2.	Coulombe (Ex. 1103).....	- 12 -
a)	Session-based SIP communications	- 13 -
b)	Non-session-based SIP communications.....	- 14 -
c)	The Coulombe solution	- 15 -
d)	Session-based SIP, video delivery and adaptation.....	- 16 -
3.	Friedman [Ex. 1105]	- 18 -
a)	The prior art problem.....	- 19 -
b)	Friedman’s “improved” client for processing attachments	- 21 -
4.	Bellordre [Ex. 1104]	- 24 -
III.	THE LAW GOVERNING OBVIOUSNESS	- 26 -
IV.	PETITIONER HAS NOT PROVED OBVIOUSNESS	- 30 -
A.	Petitioner Does Not Offer a Sufficient Motivation to Modify Coulombe in Accordance with Bellordre to Deliver and Adapt Video Because Petitioner Fails to Consider That Coulombe Already Supported Video Delivery and Adaptation	- 30 -
1.	Petitioner fails to offer a sufficient motivation to modify Coulombe in accordance with Bellordre to arrive at claim limitation 6d	- 31 -
2.	Petitioner fails to offer a sufficient motivation to modify Coulombe in accordance with Bellordre to arrive at claim limitation 6f.....	- 40 -
B.	Petitioner Fails to Offer a Sufficient Motivation to Combine Coulombe with Friedman’s Attachment Processing Methods..	- 44 -

C.	Petitioner’s Conclusory Motivations Do Not Support Combining Coulombe, Friedman and Bellordre to Arrive at the Full Scope of Limitation 6f	- 51 -
D.	The Petition Offers No Motivations to Combine Coulombe, Friedman and Bellordre to Arrive at Limitation 6g	- 57 -
E.	The Petition Reconstructs the Challenged Claims using Hindsight	- 59 -
V.	CONCLUSION.....	- 61 -

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
2107	Declaration of Rajeev Surati, Ph.D. (“Surati”)
2108	November 10, 2016 Deposition of Mr. David Klausner (“Klausner Dep. Tr.”)
2109	Declaration of Mr. David Klausner with respect to IPR2016-01659 and IPR2016-01660 Petitions relating to U.S. Patent No. 9,049,574 (“Klausner Declaration re: 574-Petitions”)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Cardiocom, LLC v. Robert Bosch Healthcare Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00439, Paper 26 (Jan. 16, 2014).....	31
<i>Hughes Network Sys., LLC v. Elbit Sys. Land and C4I Ltd.</i> , IPR2016-00496, Paper 7 (July 14, 2016).....	48, 49
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977, 988 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	26
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Commc 'ns, Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	29, 39, 45
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	25, 26, 46
<i>LG Display Co., Ltd. v. Innovative Display Techs. LLC</i> , IPR2015-00487, Paper 36 (July 15, 2016).....	32
<i>Lupin Ltd. v. Senju Pharm. Co., Ltd.</i> , IPR2015-01100, Paper 70 (Sept. 15, 2016).....	34
<i>Minerva Surgical, Inc. v. Hologic, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00680, Paper 8 (Sept. 12, 2016).....	32, 51
<i>Praxair Distribution, Inc. v. INO Therapeutics, LLC</i> , IPR2015-00889, Paper 54 (Sept. 15, 2016).....	28, 39, 45
<i>Runway Safe LLC v. Engineered Arresting Systems</i> , IPR2015-01921, Paper 9 (Feb. 29, 2016).....	27, 32, 39
<i>Silver Star Capital, LLC v. Power Integrations, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00736, Paper 11 (Aug. 26, 2016).....	26, 31
<i>Southside Bancshares, Inc. v. St. Isidore Research, LLC</i> , CBM2016-00027, Paper 28 (Aug. 1, 2016).....	56
<i>WhatsApp, Inc. v. TriPlay Commc 'ns Ltd.</i> , Case Nos. IPR2016-01659 & -01660.....	3
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e).....	59
37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b).....	35

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.