Cancer Chemother Pharmacol (1995) 36: 451-458

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Mark J. McKeage · Prakash Mistry · Janet Ward Frances E. Boxall · Swee Loh · Ciaran O'Neill Paul Ellis · Lloyd R. Kelland · Sarah E. Morgan Barry Murrer · Pedro Santabarbara Kenneth R. Harrap · Ian R. Judson

A phase I and pharmacology study of an oral platinum complex, JM216: dose-dependent pharmacokinetics with single-dose administration

Received: 27 September 1994/Accepted: 3 February 1995

Abstract JM216 [bis-acetato-ammine-dichloro-cyclohexylamine-platinum (IV)] is an oral platinum complex with in vivo activity against murine and human tumor models and a lack of nephro- and neurotoxicity in rodents. During a phase I study of a single-dose schedule, JM216 was given in dry-filled hard gelatin capsules by mouth without hydration or diuresis. In all, 37 patients were given a total of 88 courses at doses ranging from 60 to 700 mg/m². The study was stopped before the MTD was reached because of nonlinear pharmacokinetics. Myelosuppression was manifest by leucopenia or thrombocytopenia and showed marked variability at 420-700 mg/m². Vomiting was mild and controllable by antiemetics in approximately 50% of courses. The onset of vomiting was delayed to 4 h after during ingestion. There was no nephro-, oto- or neurotoxicity. A partial response was recorded in a patient with recurrent ovarian cancer, and significant falls in plasma tumour markers (CA125) were seen in two further cases. Plasma pharmacokinetics were linear and showed moderate interpatient variability at dose levels of $\leq 120 \text{ mg/m}^2$. At dose levels of $\geq 200 \text{ mg/m}^2$, C_{max} and AUC increased less than proportionally to dose. This was associated with greater interpatient

M.J. McKeage (\boxtimes)¹ · P. Mistry · J. Ward · F.E. Boxall · S. Loh · C. O'Neill · P. Ellis · L.R. Kelland · S.E. Morgan · K.R. Harrap · I.R. Judson

Drug Development Section, Institute of Cancer Research and Royal Marsden Hospital, Sutton, Surrey SM2 5NG, UK

B. Murrer

Johnson Matthey Technology Centre, Reading, UK

P. Santabarbara Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceutical Research Institute, Syracuse, New York, USA

Present address:

DOCKF

¹Oncology Research Centre, Institute of Oncology, The Prince of Wales Hospital, High Street, Randwick, Sydney NSW 2031, Australia

pharmacokinetic variability and reduced urinary platinum recovery. A significant sigmoidal relationship existed between ultrafilterable plasma AUC and the percentage of reduction in platelet count ($r^2 = 0.78$). Nonlinear absorption was a limitation to this singledose schedule of oral NM216; however, little nonhaematological toxicity was seen at doses associated with myelosuppression and antitumour activity. Clinical studies of divided dose schedules using doses within the range of pharmacokinetic linearity ($\leq 120 \text{ mg/m}^2$) are now being investigated.

Key words Phase I · Oral administration · Platinum · Dose-dependent pharmacokinetics · JM216

Abbreviations AUC Area under the plasma concentration versus time curve $\cdot C_{max}$ peak plasma concentration $\cdot cxs$ courses $\cdot FAAS$ flameless atomic absorption spectrophotometry $\cdot Gd$ CTC toxicity severity grade \cdot JM216 bis-acetato-ammine-dichloro-cyclohexylamineplatinum(IV) $\cdot MRT$ mean residence time $\cdot MTD$ maximally tolerable dose $\cdot pts$ patients $\cdot T_{max}$ time of peak plasma concentration

Introduction

Cisplatin and its less toxic analogue carboplatin are the existing clinical platinum agents. Cisplatin causes severe gastrointestinal, renal and neurological toxicity. Carboplatin causes myelosuppression and less severe emesis than cisplatin. Both agents are given by the intravenous route. They display cross-resistance and similar susceptibility to multifocal resistance mechanisms [7]. Decreased uptake of drug through the cell membrane has been a consistent biochemical abnormality found in studies of cisplatin-resistant tumor cells [13]. The development of new platinum drugs that are (a) given orally, (b) lack the severe nonhaematological toxicity of cisplatin, or (c) overcome transport-mediated platinum resistance to cisplatin and carboplatin is now an important goal. The diaminocyclohexane platinum complexes circumvent transport-mediated cisplatin resistance in murine leukaemia models [1]. Two examples (tetraplatin and oxaliplatin) have recently entered clinical trials; however, severe neurotoxicity has impeded their further clinical development [4, 19].

The ammine/amine platinum(IV) dicarboxylates were synthesised in the expectation of improving the limited gastrointestinal (GI) absorption of cisplatin and carboplatin [10]. The axial dicarboxylate groups and one of the ammine ligands carry lipophilic groups [5], and the platinum(IV) oxidation state confers stability within the GI tract [5]. These compounds are well absorbed from the GI tract in mice and exhibit antitumour activity superior to that of cisplatin in in vivo models [10]. They possess emetogenic properties comparable with or less potent than those of cisplatin [10]. They lack cross-resistance with cisplatin in vitro and susceptibility to transport-mediated resistance [11].

We report a phase I clinical and pharmacology study of a platinum complex given by the oral route. Bisacetato-ammine-dichloro-cyclohexylamine-platinum (IV) (JM216; Fig. 1) is the lead compound of the ammine/amine platinum(IV) dicarboxylate class. This compound has shown cytotoxicity similar to that of cisplatin against seven human ovarian carcinoma cells in vitro [12]. JM216 has exhibited non-cross-resistance in a panel of six pairs of acquired cisplatin-resistant and parent human tumour cell lines, particularly in examples of transport-mediated resistance [12]. Its antitumour activity and selectivity in vivo following oral administration to mice bearing murine ADJ/PC6 plasmacytomas was superior to that of parenteral cisplatin, carboplatin and tetraplatin [12]. Oral JM216 has shown activity that is broadly comparable with that of cisplatin and carboplatin but superior to that of tetraplatin against four human ovarian carcinoma xenografts [12]. In rodents the dose-limiting toxicity of this oral platinum complex is myelosuppression [15]. This agent has shown a lack of neurotoxicity [16] and nephrotoxicity [14] at maximally tolerable doses (MTDs) in rodents. JM216-induced decrements in jejunal mucosa maltase activity in mice have been lower than those produced by intravenous cisplatin and carboplatin [15]. Cytotoxic plasma platinum concentrations are achieved in mice after its oral administration [17]. Upon absorption the parent complex is rapidly and

completely metabolised to at least six platinum species [20]. This agent's metabolism complicated the assessment of its oral bioavailability and precluded the use of pharmacokinetically guided dose-escalation strategies in this clinical study.

In this phase I trial, JM216 was given by mouth as a single dose once every 3–4 weeks without hydration or diuresis. Neurological and renal function were monitored by vibration sensation threshold and [${}^{51}Cr$] -ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid ([${}^{51}Cr$]-EDTA) clearance [2, 3]. We found nonlinear absorption, myelosuppression and a lack of nonhaematological toxicity with single-dose administration.

Patients and Methods

Patients

All patients were adults aged 18–75 years of either sex with pathologically (histologically or cytologically) proven cancer. Tumours were not amenable to conventional local or systemic therapy. There was a requirement for a treatment-free interval of at least 4 weeks, extended to 6 weeks for mitomycin C or nitrosourea and to 8 weeks for large-field radiotherapy. Patients were ineligible if GI abnormalities likely to compromise absorption, persisting toxicity from past therapy, or previously severe nonhaematological toxicity from past therapy, or previously severe nonhaematological toxicity from platinum-based drugs were present. Adequate bone marrow (WBC, $\geq 3 \times 10^9$ /l; neutrophils, $\geq 2 \times 10^9$ /l; platelets, $\geq 100 \times 10^9$ /l), liver (bilirubin, $\leq 25 \mu$ M; alanine aminotransferase, $\leq 100 \text{ IU/l}$; alkaline phosphatase, $\leq 200 \text{ IU/l}$ and renal function ([⁵¹Cr]-EDTA clearance, $\geq 60 \text{ ml/min}$) were required. Eligible patients had a Zubrod performance status of 0–2 and a life expectancy of $\geq 3 \text{ months}$. This study was approved by the local ethics committee and written informed consent was obtained in all instances.

Drug

JM216 was supplied as a gift by the Johnson Matthey Technology Centre (Reading, Berkshire, UK). It was formulated by Bristol-Myers Squibb (Syracuse, N.Y., USA) as 10-, 50- and 200-mg hard gelatin capsules with excipients (microcrystalline cellulose, sodium starch glycolate, lactose anhydrous and magnesium stearate). The drug was stored in the light-resistant packaging and was stable at temperatures ranging from 30° to 50°C and at a humidity of 80% (37°C; personal communication, Dr. A. Crosswell). Prior to drug administration, patients fasted from midnight but had free access to fluids. JM216 was given by mouth at between 1000 and 1200 hours under direct supervision. The starting dose was one-tenth of the mouse MTD (60 mg/m^2) [15]. Dose escalation was undertaken according to a modified Fibonacci search (dose levels: 60.120, 200, 300, 420, 540 and 700 mg/m^2). Three or more patients were treated at each dose level. Accrual to a new dose level proceeded after more than one patient had been observed for a ≥ 1 month at the previous dose level. Intra-patient dose escalation was not used. Treatment was given as a single dose repeated once every 3 weeks or more to a maximum of six treatment courses.

Endpoints

The MTD was defined as the dose producing \geq Gd 3 haematologi-



DOCKE

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

neurological toxicity in two of three patients. Toxicity was graded according to the Common Toxicity Criteria (CTC). Patients were asked to complete a diary card of symptoms. Patients were assessed weekly by clinical examination and by blood, serum and urinary studies to evaluate possible bone marrow, kidney and liver toxicity. Evaluations of [51Cr]-EDTA clearance and vibration sensation threshold were undertaken prior to each course and after the final treatment course. Chest radiography, electrocardiography and audiometry were undertaken prior to treatment and thereafter as indicated. The response to treatment was assessed clinically and/or radiologically once every two to three treatment courses. Tumour responses were classified as complete responses (disappearance of all detectable disease), partial responses (decrease of $\geq 50\%$ in the sum of the products of perpendicular diameters of all lesions), no change (decrease or increase falling short of a partial response or progressive disease without new lesions) or progressive disease (increase of $\geq 25\%$ in one or more evaluable lesions or the appearance of a new lesion) and were scored on the basis of at least two observations spaced at least 4 weeks apart.

Supportive measures

No antiemetic was given prior to treatment at $60-300 \text{ mg/m}^2$ except to patients who had experienced nausea or vomiting on previous courses of JM216. All patients treated at $420-700 \text{ mg/m}^2$ received oral antiemetics prior to JM216. Intravenous antiemetics were used for the symptomatic management of emesis. Antiemetic protocols comprised intravenous or oral administration of (a) metoclopramide (20 mg given once every 4 h for 24 h) and dexamethasone (8 mg given pre-treatment and then 4 mg given once every 6 h for 24 h) or (b) ondansetron (8 mg given pre-treatment and then 4 mg given once every 6 h for 24 h). Ondansetron was used in patients with a history of dystonic reactions to metoclopramide or in those failing metoclopramide prophylaxis. No concurrent intravenous or oral hydration was used.

Pharmacokinetics

Pharmacokinetics were studied in all patients on the first treatment course except for one patient each treated at 300 and 540 mg/m². when studies were undertaken on the second treatment course, and one patient treated at 540 mg/m², who was not studied because of poor venous access. Venous blood (5 ml) was collected at 0, 10, 20, 30, 45 and 60 min and at 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24 h into tubes containing heparin for plasma total and ultrafiltrate platinum analysis. The clock-time of each sample acquisition was recorded. Blood samples were centrifuged (2000 g for 5 min at 4° C) immediately after collection to prepare plasma, and aliquots $(2 \times 300 \ \mu l)$ were placed in tubes for plasma total platinum analysis and stored in liquid nitrogen. Plasma ultrafiltrate samples were prepared immediately from the remaining plasma using two Amicon Centrifree filters (30,000-Da cutoff; Amicon Division, W.R. Grace Co., Beverly, Mass., USA) per sample. The filters were centrifuged at 2000 g for 40 min at $4^{\circ}C$ and the ultrafiltrates were combined and stored as two aliquots (approximately 250 µl) in liquid nitrogen. Urine was collected from 0 to 8,8 to 16 and 16 to 24 h after drug ingestion. The urine was chilled during the collection period and the total volume was recorded. Aliquots from each collection period were frozen for total platinum analysis.

Platinum analysis was undertaken by flameless atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS) using a Perkin Elmer Spectrometer (Perkin Elmer models 1100B and HGA700, Ueberlingen, Germany). Absorption was measured at 265.9 nm. All plasma and urine samples were diluted at least 10-fold or 20-fold, respectively, with water prior to analysis. Platinum concentrations were calculated by an external

matrix. The correlation coefficients for all standard curves were > 0.997 and the detection limits for plasma total, ultrafilterable and urinary platinum were 50, 10 and 100 ng platinum/ml, respectively. The area under the platinum concentration versus time curve (AUC_{0-24h}) and the area under the first moment curve $(AUMC_{0-24h})$ were calculated by the trapezoid rule when successive values were increasing and by the logarithmic trapezoid rule when successive values were decreasing to 24 h. Renal clearance was estimated by dividing the amount of platinum excreted in urine in 24 h by the free plasma platinum AUC_{0-24h} . The mean residence time (MRT) was calculated by dividing the AUMC by the AUC and correcting for mean absorption time by subtracting the inverse of the absorption rate constant. The strength of relationships was assessed by linear regression, and the difference between means was assessed by unpaired and paired *t*-tests. The relationship between platinum exposure and myelosuppression was investigated by fitting a sigmoid Emax model to the data using nonlinear least-squares regression analysis (InPlot, GraphPad Software Inc.), where y was the percentage of reduction in platelet or white cell count and x was the ultrafilterable plasma platinum AUC.

Results

Patients

Between August 12, 1992, and June 22, 1993, 31 patients were enrolled whose details are shown in Table 1. Of 34 patients assessed, 3 were ineligible because of either poor renal function, poor performance status or severe anaphylaxis to previous platinum therapy. A total of 88 courses of oral JM216 were given. A median of 2 courses/patient were given (range, 1–6 courses/patient). The numbers of patients and courses at each dose level are shown in Table 2. Dose escalation stopped at 700 mg/m² and before the MTD was reached

Total number F/M Age (years):	Median Range	31 17/14 48 18-72			
Performance status (Zubrod):	Median Range	$^{1}_{0-2}$			
Previous treatment:	None Chemotherapy Cisplatin/ carboplatin	1 27 17			
	Radiotherapy Chemo- + radiotherapy	10 8			
Tumour type:	Ovary Soft-tissue	10			
	sarcoma	6			
	NSCLC	5			
	Mesothelioma	3			
	Colorectal	2			
	Unknown				
	primary	2			
	Breast	1			
	Lymphoma	1			

Table 2 Haematological toxicity

Dose level (mg/m ²)	Number of patients (courses)	Nadir count (10 ⁹ /l) ^a		Leukopenia grade (patients)				Thrombocytopenia grade (patients)					
		WBC	Platelets	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4
60	3(16)	5.5 (3.7–7.3)	193 (122–407)	2	1				2	1			
120	4(11)	5.0 (2.3–17.7)	248 (162–457)	3		1			4				
200	5(13)	8.2 (5.3–13.1)	335 (117–384)	5					4	1			
300	5(17)	5.6 (3.1–8.7)	269 (141–474)	4	1				4	1			
420	5(10)	8.3 (2.3–9.7)	212 (17–347)	4	2				3	1			1
540	6(16)	4.1 (1.7–8.6)	154 (35–517)	1	4		1		2	3		1	
700	3(8)	4.8 (0.6–9.5)	128 (15–423)	1		1		1	1		1		1

^a Median (range)

because of nonlinear pharmacokinetics and unpredictable myelosuppression.

Haematological toxicity

Myelosuppression was manifest by either leucopenia (35% of pts), thrombocytopenia (35% of pts), or both (25% of pts). The nadir counts and severity grades are shown in Table 2. The leucocyte nadir occurred at 14 days (range, 7-23 days), with recovery being observed at 22 days (range, 16-42 days). The platelet nadir occurred at 21 days (range, 2-43 days), with recovery being noted at 28 days (range, 8-42 days). There was considerable variability in the severity of haematological toxicity in the 420- to 700-mg/m² dose range that was not attributable to patient factors. There was no cumulative myelosuppression [treatment courses associated with most severe haematological toxicity: first course (25% of pts); intermediary course (40% of pts); last course (30% of pts)]. One patient required platelet support for thrombocytopenia complicated by menorrhagia and another required broad-spectrum antibiotics for neutropenic sepsis.

Nonhaematological toxicity

The incidence and severity of vomiting associated with oral JM216 are shown in Fig. 2. Vomiting in courses (dose levels, $60-300 \text{ mg/m}^2$) given without antiemetics prior to JM216 was (a) common (92% of cxs), (b) mild to moderately severe [grade 2 (range, grades 0-3)],



Fig. 2 Clinical grade of emesis related to dose level and use of prophylactic antiemetics (*dex* Dexamethasone)

short duration [1.5 h (range, 0.16–96 h)] and (e) frequently associated with mild nausea [83% of cxs; grade 1 (range, grades 0–1)] and mild diarrhoea [58% of cxs; median Gd 1 (range, Gd 0–2)]. These patients were given intravenous antiemetics before subsequent courses of JM216, and vomiting [48% of cxs, grade 1 (range, grades 0–3)], nausea [53% of cxs, grade 1 (range, grades 0–3)], nausea [53% of courses, grade 0 (range, grades 0–3)] were less severe and frequent. Oral antiemetics were given prior to JM216 for all courses at 420–700 mg/m². In these patients, GI toxicity was infrequent (< 50% of cxs) and mild

⁵¹Cr]-EDTA clearance was measured prior to each treatment course (Fig. 3). Paired t-tests of [⁵¹Cr]-EDTA clearence before and after treatment at each dose level showed no statistically significant change. Two patients had reductions is glomerular filtration rate attributable to obstructive uropathy. There was no clinical evidence of neurotoxicity. Serial vibration-sensation threshold determinations showed no evidence of subclinical neuropathy [vibration sensation threshold (μ): upper limb, pre-treatment, 0.51 \pm 0.22, post-treatement, 0.47 ± 0.24 , P > 0.37; lower limb, pre-treatment, 2.06 ± 2.0 , post-treatment, 1.25 ± 1.14 , P > 0.05). Tinnitus occurred in one patient only, but a repeat audiogram showed no change in comparison with the baseline. There were four early deaths as defined by death occurring within 3 weeks of treatment, three being attributable to progressive cancer and one, to thromboembolism. The latter patient died at home 9 days after the third treatment course and thrombotic occlusion of both pulmonary arteries was found post-mortem. There were further instances of deep venous thrombosis in three patients, two of which were complicated by pulmonary thrombo-embolism. Two of these three patients had a history of thrombo-embolic phenomena before study enrollment, and all received further JM216 while on anticoagulant therapy without experiencing further complications. Stomatitis was recorded on two treatment courses, each episode for lasting 7 days and being of Gd 1 and 2 severity, respectively.

Antitumour activity

Tumour responses to JM216 were partial (1 pt), no change (9 pts), progressive disease (16 pts) and not evaluable (3 pts). One patient aged 46 years had a > 50% regression in an ovarian carcinoma hepatic metastasis associated with a 20-fold fall in plasma tumour markers (CA125; from 4950 to 209 IU/ml) after two treatment cycles at 120 mg/m². She had previously received cisplatin, after which she experienced a 4-month progression-free interval. This patient received



a total of six courses of JM216 treatment and the response duration was > 4 months. Two other women with recurrent ovarian carcinoma had falls in serum CA125 levels without objective changes in measurable tumour (from 5181 to 1198 IU/ml; from 1174 to 471 IU/ml).

Pharmacokinetics

Plasma ultrafiltrate and total platinum time-course profiles obtained in three patients on their first treatment course at the first dose level are shown in Fig. 4. The lag time in appearance of platinum in plasma after oral ingestion of JM216 was 10-20 min. At the first dose level there was modest interpatient variability in the pharmacokinetic parameters of total platinum [percentage of coefficient of variation (%CV): C_{max}, 5.8%; AUC, 7.6%] and ultrafilterable platinum (%CV: C_{max} , 28%; AUC, 42%). At the first dose escalation, C_{max} and AUC increased in proportion to dose (Table 3, Fig. 5). With further dose escalation, C_{max} and AUC increased less than proportionally to dose and reached a plateau after 200 mg/m². Greater interpatient pharmacokinetic variability was seen at higher doses (%CV: total plasma C_{max} , 21%-63%); total plasma AUC, 26%–63%; ultrafiltrate C_{max} , 35%–83%; ultrafiltrate AUC, (51%–103%). T_{max} showed no dose-related trend. Urinary platinum recovery accounted for 8.3% of the dose at the first dose level. With dose escalation, urinary recovery fell and accounted for only 1.6% of the dose at 700 mg/m^2 .





Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

