- see a s 265276 CONTENTS Entered 1. Applications papers. ð 0 12 1982 3 3 0 1983 Keectic 84 1984 March 8 Mar. (N.E.) 15. 1984 3 30 14 'NI 6 30 NAa 21 grant 6) mi ne 19 20. 21 22 23. 24. -25. 26. 27. 28. . 29. 30. Mylan v. Genentech 31. IPR2016-00710 32. Page 1 Genentech Exhibit 2035 ☆ U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1980-322-922

## United States Patent [19]

## Bujard et al.

#### [54] CLONED HIGH SIGNAL STRENGTH PROMOTERS

- [75] Inventors: Hermann G. Bujard, Heidelberg, Fed. Rep. of Germany; Annie C. Y. Chang, Palo Alto; Stanley N. Cohen, Portola Valley, both of Calif.
- [73] Assignee: The Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Jr. University, Stanford, Calif.
- [21] Appl. No.: 265,276
- [22] Filed: May 20, 1981
- [51] Int. Cl.<sup>3</sup> ...... C12Q 1/68; C12Q 1/02; C12P 21/00; C12P 19/34; C12N 15/00

- [56] References Cited

## PUBLICATIONS

Stuber et al., PNAS, 78(1), 167-171, (Jan. 1981).

## [11] Patent Number: 4,495,280

## [45] Date of Patent: Jan. 22, 1985

Casadaban et al., J. Mol. Biol., 138, 179-207, (1980). West et al., Gene, 9, 175-193, (1980). Gabain et al., PNAS, 76(1), 189-193, (1979). Stüber et al., Molec. Gen. Genet., 166, 141-149, (1978). Stüber et al., PNAS USA, 78(1), 167-171, (1981).

Primary Examiner-Esther M. Kepplinger Attorney, Agent, or Firm-Bertram I. Rowland

#### [57] ABSTRACT

Method for preparing high signal strength promoters and terminators and DNA compositions employing such promoters and terminators. T5 phage is cleaved to provide for DNA sequences having intact promoters. These promoters are inserted into vectors separated from a balanced terminator by a gene of interest and the terminator is desirably followed by a marker allowing for selection of transformants. High efficiencies in transcription of DNA can be achieved with the highly active TS promoters. The promoters and terminators are used in hybrid DNA for efficient expression of structural genes and transcription to provide RNA sequences.

#### 15 Claims, 1 Drawing Figure



U.S. Patent

Jan. 22, 1985

4,495,280

#### CLONED HIGH SIGNAL STRENGTH **PROMOTERS**

The government has rights in the invention pursuant 5 to Grant Nos. AI 08619 and GM 27241 awarded by the National Institute of Health.

The research was supported in part by a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

#### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

Having established the feasibility of producing a wide variety of naturally occurring and synthetic polypeptides by means of hybrid DNA technology, there are <sup>15</sup> continuing and extensive efforts to provide for more efficient and economic methods for producing the polypeptides. In developing a process for the commercial production of polypeptides, many factors will be involved in optimizing the economic and efficient pro- 20 for efficient transcription of RNA or gene expression. duction of the polypeptides. Included among these factors are regulatory signals, which are DNA sequences involved with the regulation of replicaton, transcription and translation.

One area of interest is at the level of transcription. <sup>25</sup> Transcription involves the enzyme RNA polymerase. The RNA polymerase binds to a site called a promoter. It has been observed that promoters vary in their activity, as evidenced by the number of initiations of RNA 30 per unit time or the strength of binding of the enzyme to the promoter site. The promoter may have one or more sequences that bind, which may or may not be contiguous. The more active promoters are referred to as strong promoters.

It was found that when introducing a strong promoter into a vector and employing the resulting plasmid for transformation, one could not select transformants based on expression of markers which allowed for selection. Therefore, cloning of the strong promoters was 40 not feasible. It is therefore desirable that methods be provided which would allow for the screening of strong promoters and terminators and their subsequent cloning to be used in conjunction with the replication, transcription and translation of the genes for production of 45 which marker has a relatively low level of expression in DNA, RNA, and polypeptides.

2. Description of the Prior Art

Promoters from bacterial and viral sources have been cloned in E coli, and their signal strength in vitro has been studied using expression from distal promoterless 50 sequences encoding  $\beta$ -galactosidase or other proteins (Casadaban and Cohen (1980) J. Mol. Biol 138, 179-207; West and Rodriguez (1980) Gene 9, 175-193). Attempts to clone small DNA fragments carrying the strong promoters of bacteriophage T5 have been unsuccessful 55 (v. Gabain and Bujard (1979) PNAS USA 76, 189, 193), Fragments of T5 DNA having both a strong promoter and a strong termination signal have been cloned. (Breunig (1979) Dissertation (Universitat Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany)) Analysis has shown that tran- 60 scriptional regions of several E. coli plasmids are organized in units where initiation and termination signals, are balanced. (Stuber and Bujard (1981) PNAS USA 78: 167-171) P25 and P26 promoters of the T5 bacteriophage are reported as among the most efficient RNA polymer- 65 ase binding sequences. (Stuber et al (1978) Mol. gen. Genet. 166 141-149; Niemann (1981) Diplomarbeit (Universitat Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany)).

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Methods for cloning, sequencing and using strong promoters and terminators are provided, as well as compositions resulting from the methods. By cleaving T5 phage and selecting fragments specifically binding to RNA polymerase, fragments containing promoters are isolated. A vector is constructed having a strong promoter, followed by a DNA sequence of interest. 10 optionally followed by one or more translational stop codons in one or more reading frames, followed by a balanced terminator, followed by a marker allowing for selection of transformants. Upon introducing the resulting plasmid into a microorganism host, efficient transcription of the gene is obtained with substantially lesser expression of the marker as compared to the gene. The level of expression of the marker permits selection of transformants having the above described construct. The construct or regulatory portions thereof are used

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

FIG. 1 is a flow chart of the preparation of a plasmid for cloning strong promoters and terminators.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIFIC **EMBODIMENTS**

Methods and compositions are provided for preparing and cloning strong promoter and terminator regulatory signals and utilization of the strong regulatory sequences in the transcription and expression of genes of interest.

Particularly, T5 phage promoters are isolated, cloned in conjunction with a strong terminator, and appropri-35 ate vectors developed for insertion of DNA sequences of interest, usually structual genes, to provide for high and efficient transcription and/or expression of the sequence.

The compositions of this invention are characterized as having in the downstream direction of transcription the following units: a strong T5 phage promoter; optionally a structural gene which may be a marker; a balancing terminator; and optionally a marker allowing for selection of transformants containing the construct, comparison with the amount of RNA polymerase initiation at the promoter. In the absence of a promoter in the construct, the construct can be used for the cloning and characterization of promoters of different strengths.

In referring to strong promoters, it is intended that the binding affinity of RNA polymerase is stronger than the commonly employed promoters such as lac and trp and at least comparable to and normally greater than the combination of lac and trp promoters. For the most part, the strongest promoters among prokaryotes are the T5 phage promoters and these will be employed as exemplary of naturally occurring or synthetic strong promoters. It is to be understood, that other prokaryotic and eukaryotic promoters, either naturally occurring or synthetic, could find application in the subject invention.

The compositions of this invention will include linear segments for insertion of DNA having the strong regulatory signal sequences (i.e., the promoter and terminator) adjacent opposite ends of the linear segment and plasmids formed by introducing a DNA sequence from a source other than the source of the promoter as a bridge between the strong regulatory signal sequences. The termini may be blunt or staggered ended, having the same or different termini to allow for directed positioning of inserted sequences.

The compositions which are employed as already indicated have a promoter, a balanced terminator, and 5 desirably a marker which are in the direction of transcription when the signal sequences are joined. The terminator is balanced, so that a small but useful amount of expression of the marker can occur. Normally, in most situations, a gene will bridge the promoter and 10 terminator to provide circular DNA. The gene may be a non-structural gene or a structural gene providing RNA e.g. ribosomal or messenger, or providing a poly(amino acid).

of translational stop codons e.g. oop or nonsense codons, or preferably a plurality, usually up to about six, more usually from about two to five, where there is at least one stop codon in each reading frame. The stop codons aid in the efficiency of termination, both at the 20 level of transcription and expression.

Next in the direction of transcription is the terminator sequence. The terminator sequence is balanced with the promoter in the sense that the marker is transcribed not more than about one-fourth of the times that a gene 25 upstream from the terminator is transcribed, where both genes are under the control of the same promoter. Usually, it will be desirable that a sufficient amount of the marker is transcribed to allow for selection of transformants. In view of the fact that the marker is transcribed 30 from a strong promoter, it will usually be sufficient that the marker is transcribed in relation to the transcription of the gene intermediate the promoter and terminator in only about 1 to 20, usually only 5 to 15 number % of the gene. The strength of the promoter is reflected in the 35 level of expression of the marker which is transcribed from the same promoter as the gene whose expression is desired.

In addition to the DNA sequences indicated above, there will normally be other regulatory signals neces- 40 sary for expression involved with the DNA sequence, such as translational start and stop sites. In addition to the foregoing regulatory signals, other regulatory signals may be included, such as additional promoters, operators, initiators, catabolite activator protein binding 45 a1-Lipoprotein sites, etc. Furthermore, the promoter and terminator may be separated by more than one gene, that is, a plurality of genes, including multimers and operons.

The above DNA sequence construct will have a replicating system or be cleaved and be inserted into a 50 vector to provide a plasmid. The vector is distinguished by having one or more DNA sequences which serve to insure stable replication of the plasmid and may also provide opportunities for high copy numbers of the plasmid in the microorganism host. The vectors may be 55 derived from chromosomal or extrachromosomal sources. The sources include plasmids, viruses (phage), chromosomes, or the like. In addition, the vector or the essential portions thereof may be prepared synthetically. 60

The plasmids may then be used for transformation of an appropriate microorganism host. Methods of introducing DNA into an appropriate host are well known. Illustrative of such methods, but not exhaustive of such methods, are transformation e.g. calcium shock, trans- 65 fection, and conjugation. Descriptions of these methods may be found in Genetic Engineering, ed. Setlaw and Hollaender, Vol. 1, Plenum Press, New York and Lon-

don, 1979; Molecular Cloning of Recombinant DNA, ed. Scott and Werner, Vol. 13, Academic Press, Inc. New York, 1973, and references cited therein.

In order to allow for flexibility in preparing the construct and self-replicating sequence or plasmid containing the construct restriction sites should be present to allow for unique insertions and isolation of the various elements. The restriction sites may be naturally present, introduced by linkers, result by partial sequential nucleotide removal from a chain using an exonuclease, or the like. Desirably, the restriction sites will provide for different ends to permit only the proper orientation of the inserted fragment.

A wide variety of structural genes are of interest for Desirably, the gene is followed by one or a plurality 15 production of proteins, including but not limited to proteins of physiological interest, proteins as chemicals, and enzymes which may be of direct interest or of interest in transforming another product, which may be proteinaceous or non-proteinaceous. The proteins may be prepared as a single unit or as individual subunits and then joined together in appropriate ways. Furthermore, as appropriate, the protein products may be modified by glycosylation, acylation with aliphatic acids, e.g. lipid acids, phosphorolation, sulfonation or the like. The different classes of proteins which may be prepared include protamines, histones, albumins globulins, scleroproteins, phosphoproteins, mucoproteins, chromoproteins, lipoproteins, nucleoproteins, and the remaining proteins which are unclassified.

> The following is a representative list of proteins of interest.

> Insulin; growth hormone; interferon e.g. leukocyte, lumphoblastoid, T-immune and fibroblast; B-lipotropin; B-endorphin; dynorphin; histocompatability proteins; immunoglobulins e.g. IgA, IgD, IgE, IgG and IgM and fragments thereof; hemoglobin, somatomedins; lymphokines; growth factors e.g. epidermal, fibroblast, platelet-derived, multiplication stimulating and nerve; hematoporetic-stimulating factors e.g. erythropoietin, colony-stimulating, erythroid potentating activity or burst-promoting activity and lymphopoietins; albumin and prealbumin;

Prealbumin

Albumin

a1-Acid glycoprotein

- a<sub>1</sub>-Antitrypsin
- a1-Glycoprotein
- Transcortin
- 4.6S-Postalbumin
- Tryptophan-poor
- a<sub>1</sub>-glycoprotein
- a1-x-Glycoprotein
- Thyroxin-binding globulin Inter-a-trypsin-inhibitor
- Gc-globulin:
- (Gc 1-1),
- (Gc 2-1),
- (Gc 2-2),
- Haptoglobin:
- (Hp 1-1),
- (Hp 2-1),
- (Hp 2-2),
- Ceruloplasmin
- Cholinesterase a2-Lipoprotein(s)
- $\alpha_2$ -Macroglobulin a2-HS-Glycoprotein

Zn-a2-glycoprotein a2-Neuramino-glycoprotein Erythropoietin  $\beta$ -lipoprotein Transferrin Hemopexin Fibrinogen Plasminogen a2-glycoprotein I a2-glycoprotein II Immunoglobulin G (IgG) or yG-globulin Mol. formula: γ2K2 OF γ2λ2 Immunoglobulin A (IgA) or yA-globulin Mol. formula:  $(a_2\kappa_2)^n$  or  $(a_2\lambda_2)^n$ Immunoglobulin M (IgM) or  $\gamma$ M-globulin Mol. formula: (μ<sub>2</sub>κ<sub>2</sub>)<sup>5</sup> or (μ<sub>2</sub>λ<sub>2</sub>)<sup>5</sup> Immunoglobulin D (IgD) or  $\gamma$ D-Globulin ( $\gamma$ D) Mol. formula:  $(\delta_2 \kappa_2)$  or  $(\delta_2 \lambda_2)$ Immunoglobulin E (IgE) or  $\gamma$ E-Globulin ( $\gamma$ E) Mol. formula:  $(\epsilon_2\kappa_2)$  or  $(\epsilon_2\lambda_2)$ Free light chains **Complement** factors: C'1 C'1q C'1r C'1s C'2 C'3 BIA a2D C'4 C'5 C'6 C'7 C'8 C'9. Important protein hormones include: Peptide and Protein Hormones Parathyroid hormone: (parathormone)

Thyrocalcitonin Insulin Glucagon Relaxin Erythropoietin Melanotropin: (Melanocyte-stimulating hormone; intermedin) Somatotropin: (growth hormone)

Corticotropin: (adrenocorticotropic hormone)

Thyrotropin

Follicle-stimulating hormone

Leuteinizing hormone: (interstitial cell-simulating hormone)

Luteomammotropic hormone: (Luteotropin, prolactin) <sup>60</sup> Gonadotropin: (chorionic gonadotropin).

## **Tissue Hormones**

Secretin Gastrin Angiotensin I and II Bradykinin Human placental lactogen Peptide Hormones from the Neurohypophysis

Oxytocin

Vasopressin 5 Releasing factors (RF): CRF, LRF, TRF, Somatotropin-RF, GRF, FSHRF, PIF, MIF.

In addition to various non-enzymatic proteins of physiological interest, enzymes can also be produced as 10 an end product or for intracellular transformation of a substrate present in the host or substrate introduced

- extracellularly, or for enzymatic transformation in vitro. In accordance with the LU.B. classification, the en-15 zymes fall into varying categories such as 1. oxidoreductases; 2. transferases; 3. hydrolases; 4. lyases; 5. isomerases; 6. ligases. Enzymes of particular interest will be hydrolases and oxidoreductases for use in commercial
- processing, for example, hydrolases for hydrolysing 20 polysaccharides, lipids and polypeptides; oxidoreductases for oxidation of alcohols and aldehydes, epoxidation, and the like.

The microorganism host may be bacteria, such as Escherichia, Bacillus, Aerobacter, Klebsiella, Proteus,

25 Pseudomonas, Streptococcus, Staphylococcus, Clostridium, Mycobacterium, Streptomyces and Actinomyces; Fungi e.g. Gymnomycota, Dimastygomycota, Eumycota, Zygomycetes, Ascomycetes and Basidomycetes, such as, Candida, Aspergillus, Rhizobus, Micro-

30 sporum, and Fonsecaea; Protozoa e.g. Mastigophora, Sarcodina, Sporozoa and Celiophora, such as, Trypanosoma, Codosiga, Protospongra and Entameba, and Alga e.g. Dinoflagellates, Euglenoids, and Diatoms.

Higher cells, e.g., mammalian, may also be employed 35 as hosts, where viral, e.g., bovine papilloma virus or other DNA sequence is available which has plasmidlike activity.

Depending upon the nature of the host, a wide variety of vectors may be employed. The vector will have 40 an intact replicon and be capable of replication in the host. In addition, replicons can be developed which may have one or more other regulatory signals. Regulatory signals can include temperature sensitive replicons, runaway-replication sequences, temperature sensitive

45 operators, and the like. Various additional DNA sequences may be present providing for restriction sites, markers, termination sequences, or the like. Desirably, the vector should be of a substantially different size from the construct to allow for excision of the construct 50 and ease of separation of the construct from the vector by molecular weight separation techniques e.g. electro-

phoresis and density gradient centrifugation. The subject invention also provides for a technique

for screening the strength of promoters and terminators, 55 thus allowing for the determination of the use of a promoter or terminator in a particular application, where it may be desirable to have promoters or terminators or combinations thereof of varying strength. In screening promoters and/or terminators one employs a promoter or terminator of known activity. A DNA construct is made having the sequence described previously, where appropriate restriction sites are provided for introducing the various elements. The construct provides in the direction of transcription the promoter, a first gene 65 marker, optionally termination codons, such as nonsense codons and oop terminator, the terminator, and a

second gene marker. This DNA construct is inserted into an appropriate vector. Where the promoter and

terminator are properly balanced, there will be a substantial differentiation between expression of the first gene marker and expression of the second gene marker. The ratio of expression between the first and second marker will provide for a comparative evaluation of the 5 activity of the promoter or terminator, depending upon which is of known value. Thus, one can degrade a DNA sequence such as a chromosome, or an extrachromosomal element, such as a plasmid or double minute, isolate the promoters by selective binding with RNA 10 polymerase and insert the DNA fragments which bind to the RNA polymerase into the previously described construction. By determining the relative proportion of expression of the first and second gene markers, one can determine the strength of promoters in relation to a 15 fixed terminator. Similarly, one can isolate DNA sequences having terminator sequences, insert the sequences into the above described construct at the appropriate site and then measure the relative expression of the two gene markers. 20

Various markers can be chosen for evaluating the relative activities of promoters and terminators. Conveniently, markers which allow for selection such as resistance to antibiotics, toxins or heavy metals can be used. By varying the concentration of the selective agents in 25 the nutrient medium, one can determine the relative proportions of the enzyme expressed by the genes in relation to the growth of the host. Alternatively, one can use growth factors e.g. having a gene which complements a mutant gene in an auxotrophic host, where 30 the gene expresses a product necessary for a biosynthetic pathway. A third marker provides virus incompatibility, preventing plaque formation. Other markers which allow for comparison will come readily to mind.

The terminators may be evaluated in the presence 35 and absence of rho, so that one can determine the dependency of the terminator on rho, as well as the effectiveness of the terminator in relation to the concentration of rho.

The methods for preparing the subject compositions 40 will be conventional. The various DNA fragments and sequences can be obtained from a variety of sources by restriction mapping and endonuclease cleavage to provide fragments having the desired intact sequence or gene. The fragments can be further processed employ-15 ing endo- or exonucleases to remove nucleotides unrelated to desired regulatory sequences or structural genes. By appropriate choice of restriction enzymes, cohesive or blunt ended fragments can be generated. Furthermore, chains can be extended with single nucleotides or oligonucleotides, linkers can be added, or otherwise processing to provide for termini having desired properties.

Desirably, a vector is employed having appropriate restriction sites, a competent replication system for the 55 intended host, and optionally one or more markers which allow for selection. For hybrid DNA technology it would be useful to have a plasmid having a unique restriction site between a T5 promoter and a terminator, desirably having at least one stop codon on the up-60 stream side of the terminator. In this manner, one or more structural genes may be introduced between the promoter and terminator.

As appropriate, downstream from the promoter, but remaining proximal to the promoter, may be an opera-65 tor, activator, ribosomal start signal sequence, or the like, to allow for controlled expression of the inserted gene(s).

The strategy described above provides a vehicle which can be used with one or more hosts for gene expression, where the gene after processing in a predetermined way can be directly inserted into the vehicle to provide a competent plasmid for expression of the desired gene(s).

Alternatively, the gene(s) of interest may be ligated to the appropriate regulatory signal sequences before insertion into the vehicle. In this instance, only the promoter and terminator regulatory signals need be present.

To provide for enhanced flexibility, the region between the promoter and terminator may be designed so as to provide for a plurality of restriction cleavage sites, allowing for the introduction and removal of DNA fragments without interruption of the remainder of the vehicle. Thus, by having a plurality of unique restriction sites or restriction sites limited to the region between the promoter and terminator in the downstream direction of transcription, regulatory signals and genes may be readily inserted and removed.

Another strategy is to prepare a construct having all of the desired DNA sequences for transcription and expression in appropriate sequence, with the construct having predetermined termini and inserting the construct into an appropriate vector which has been linearized to provide complementary termini.

In developing the construct, a vector will normally be used in order to clone the various sequences. The construct will allow for the insertion of the different sequences in the correct direction and desirably only in the proper orientation. Therefore, it will usually be desirable to have the sequence and insertion site be asymmetric in having different termini with the termini of the sequence and insertion site being complementary.

The particular restriction enzymes will vary widely with the various sequences, there being a large number of restriction enzymes of known base or sequence specificities commercially available.

The following examples are offered by way of illustration and not by way of limitation.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

Materials and Methods

Restriction endonucleases HindIII, HincII, SalI, BamHI and T4 Ligase were from New England Biolabs (Beverly, Mass., USA), Sau3A was from BRL (Neu-Isenburg, Germany) and EcoRI and HpaII from (Boehringer Mannheim, Federal Republic of Germany). DNA polymerase I, phage fd DNA (replicative form), plasmid AD16/30 containing a 28 bp HindIII/BamHI adapter sequence and, lac represser were supplied by private sources. The isolation of bacteriophage T5 DNA, plasmid DNA *E. coli* RNA polymerase and *E. coli* termination factor rho have been described previously, (v. Gabian and Bujard, Mol. gen. Genet. (1977) 157, 301-311; Clewel and Helinski (1969) PNAS USA 62, 1157-1166; and Knopf and Bujard (1975) Eur. J. Biochem. 53, 371-385).

A 780bp DNA fragment carrying the *E. coli* lac regulatory region (promoter/operator: P/O), an N-terminal portion of the  $\beta$ -galactosidase structural gene sufficient for intracistronic complementation of the M15 deletion, as well as a portion of the i-gene(i) was isolated from a HincII digest of a pACYC 214 plasmid (a plasmid related to pACYC 184 by insertion at a BamHI site of a BamHI restriction cleavage fragment from F'-lac carrying the lac gene) by repressor binding and subsequent

adsorption to nitrocellose. This fragment was then employed in the construction of an exemplary plasmid for analyzing strong promoters and strong terminators as depicted in FIG. 1.

Utilizing the HpaII cleavage site within the  $\beta$ -gal 5 structural genes the fragment was reduced in size and provided with BamHI and Sall cleavage sites by various subcloning. The resulting fragment (left most part of Figure) contains the intact control region of the lac operon and an N-terminal portion of the  $\beta$ -gal struc- 10 a 160bp fragment of unknown origin, containing two to tural gene coding for 66 amino acids (a). Introduction of this fragment by blunt end ligation into the HindIII site of pACYC184 (Chang and Cohen (1978) J. Bacteriol. 134, 1141-1156) yielded pBU1O, a vector suitable for terminator cloning. The major terminator of the 15 coliphage fd genome was isolated as a 338bp Sau3A fragment (Beck et al. (1978) Nucl. Acids Res. 5, 4495-4503), ligated with a BamHI/HindIII adaptor sequence and integrated into pBU10 to yield pLBU1.

Cleavage of the lac sequence in pBU10 by HpaII 20 destroys the lac promoter and liberates upon cleavage with HindIII a fragment containing the lac operator and a region coding for a functional a-fragment. Integrating this DNA sequence into pBR322 leads to pBU12a. Finally, replacement of the HindIII/Sall portion of 25 pBU12a by a partial digest of the HindIII/Sall fragment of pLBU1 containing the fd terminator resulted in pLBU3, a vector suitable for integration of efficient promoters at the EcoRI site. The regions encoding chloramphenicol (Cm), ampicillin (Ap) and tetracycline 30 (Tc) resistance are indicated as cat, bla and tet respectively.

The plasmid, pBU10, had the following properties: (i) it contains the  $\alpha$  fragment of  $\beta$ -galactosidase ( $\alpha$ -protein) and complemented the M15 deletion of the lac operon; 35 (ii) the Tc resistance it specified was under the control of the lac promoter, as shown in M15 I9 strains; (iii) the HindIII site between the lac gene fragment and the tet gene was restored; the stop codon immediately following the HindIII site limited the length of the lacZ gene 40 product to 68 amino acids.

Insertion of the fd terminator upstream to the tet gene resulted in a 90% reduction in the level of Tc resistance, but no detectable change in the levels of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity in M15 deletion strains. The results obtained as 45 to the properties imparted to various E. coli strains by various plasmids is set forth in the following table:

Seven independent plasmid isolates from clones contained the expected 352bp HindIII-generated fragment containing the fd terminator. Electrophoretic analysis 50 of all seven BamHI-cleaved isolates and DNA sequence analysis of one of these showed that in all instances the fd terminator had been integrated in an orientation opposite the direction of transcription within the fd phage genome. Sequence analysis also revealed a translational 55 T5. stop codon in frame with the a-protein less than 10bp down stream from the HindIII cleavage forming the conjunction between the lac-derived segment and the fd-derived DNA fragment; a translational stop signal on this position would be expected to result in an  $\alpha$ -frag- 60 ment containing 71 amino acids.

For the cloning of exogenous promoters, the lac promoter on the lac/tet construct had to be removed or destroyed in such a way that a site for the subsequent integration of promoters was retained. To do this, the 65 HpaII cleavage site at position -17 of lac was employed, as described above. Colonies that showed both a reduced level of Tc resistance and the presence of a

lac operator sequence, which could be detected on the multicopy plasmid by its ability to bind the lac represser and induce chromosomal  $\beta$ -gal synthesis, were identified. Endonuclease analysis (HindIII/EcoRI double digest) of plasmids recovered from several isolates yielded two types of vectors: one of these represented by pBU12 harbored the expected 253bp lac fragment; the other represented by pBU12a yielded a 420bp fragment. DNA sequence analysis showed that in pBU12a, three stop codons in each of the possible translational reading frames, had been integrated between the EcoRI site and position -15 of the lac promoter. The presence of the stop codons made the fragment an efficient terminator of any translation that occurred upstream of the translational initiation site for the a-fragment.

When the HindIII/Sall segment of pBU12a was replaced with an identically generated fragment of pLBUI carrying the fd terminator, the plasmid pLBU3 was obtained, which conferred neither Tc resistance nor  $\beta$ -gal activity to E. coli M15 strain. Although this plasmid contained a tet region and a DNA sequence encoding the a-fragment of lac, it conferred neither resistance nor  $\beta$ -gal activity to the *E. coli* M15 strain. It was therefore chosen as the T5 promoter cloning vehi-

A population of about 200 short fragments of T5 DNA was obtained by double digestion of the 120kb phage genome with HaeIII and AluI endonucleases. These fragments were ligated with excess synthetic EcoRI links and the resulting molecules were cleaved with EcoRI endonuclease and ligated into the EcoRI cleavage site of pLBU3. Transformation of E. coli C600 and selection for  $\beta$ -gal activity plus high level Tc-resistance yielded 35 colonies resistant to Tc-concentrations between 8 and 70 µg/ml. Plasmids were isolated from 13 colonies resistant to 70 µg/ml, which earlier experiments using multicopy plasmids had suggested was the highest level detectable in E. coli K12 (Cabello et al. (1976) Nature 259, 285-290).

Digestion of the various isolates with EcoRI endonuclease liberated between one and ten fragments of various sizes from each constructed plasmid. Complexing of such fragment mixtures with RNA polymerase, followed by filter binding analysis, identified between one and three fragments of each plasmid that interacted very efficiently with the enzyme; these fragments were isolated from polyacrylamide gels and individually recloned in pLBU3. In each case, they gave rise to colonies resistant to 70 µg/ml Tc. Plasmids isolated from each of these clones carried the expected DNA fragments, as shown by EcoRI cleavage and gel electrophoresis. The promoter library which was obtained contained about 25 different strong promoters of coliphage

A plasmid pGBU207 containing an EcoRI-generated fragment of 212bp was selected for further study. In vitro transcription on the plasmid was mapped by analysis of RNA transcripts made on fragments of the plasmid produced by cleavage with different restriction endonucleases. Cleavage of the plasmid with EcoRI endonuclease yielded principally a single RNA species about 130 nt in length. The size of the transcript increased to 550 nt when a HindIII digest of pGBU207 was used as a template. BamHI digested DNA yielded transcripts of about 740 and 900 nt in length. Correlation of transcript length with the distance of the DNA cleavage site from a fixed point insert indicated that in

all of these instances in vitro transcription was initiated at the same promoter and that it progressed toward the tet region of the plasmid. The experiments also showed the functioning of the termination signal introduced between the lac fragment and the tet gene. Under the 5 high salt conditions used for this in vitro transcription experiment, termination of about 50% of transcription within the fd DNA fragment gave rise to the 740 nt transcript, while a read- through transcript extended to the BamHI cleavage site located 890bp from the pro- 10 1, 2 or 3, wherein said marker is a DNA sequence havmoter. The data strongly suggested that RNA termination occurring in the fd terminator placed in the reverse orientation is rho dependent, in contrast to the rhoindependent termination that occurs when the termina-15 tor is in its normal orientation.

Since the rate of complex formation between RNA polymerase and promoter signals is a reflection of the strength of the promoter, the relative rate of complex formation of the 212bp fragment of pGBU207 was compared with complex formation involving the previously-20 studied T5 promoters P25 and P26 (Stuber and Bujard (1981), supra). The results showed that the promoter used to express downstream genetic functions in pGBU207 has a signal strength similar to that of P25 and P26, which are among the most efficient RNA polymer- 25 ase binding sequences identified from any source. (Niemann (1981), supra).

The above results demonstrate that novel DNA sequences can be prepared from the strong T5 promoters, which can then be used for the expression of a wide 30 variety of poly(amino acids). Furthermore, by employing a promoter, optionally a structural gene, a terminator, and a marker, test plasmid structures are provided which allow for screening of the effectiveness of a promoter and/or a terminator, particularly as they interre- 35 late with each other. Therefore, combinations can be prepared which allow for highly efficient transcription of a wide variety of structural genes, with concommitant selection of the transformants by employing an appropriate marker downstream from the balanced 40 terminator.

Although the foregoing invention has been described in some detail by way of illustration and example for purposes of clarity of understanding, it will be obvious that certain changes and modifications may be practiced 45 within the scope of the appended claims.

We claim:

1. A linear DNA sequence having proximal to one end a strong T5 phage promoter, proximal to the other end a strong transcriptional terminator balanced with 50 mediate said gene and said terminator are a plurality of said strong T5 promoter, and having intermediate said promoter and terminator at least one of (1) a marker for selection adjacent to said terminator or (2) a replication system foreign to T5, wherein the direction of said promoter is away from said terminator and said marker 55 is expressed at a frequency of less than about one-fourth the frequency of a structural gene, when said structural gene is inserted between said promoter and terminator, so as to be under the transcriptional control of said promoter and to bridge said linear DNA sequence to 60 provide a circular DNA sequence.

2. A linear DNA sequence according to claim 1, having intermediate said other end and said strong terminator at least one stop codon in at least one reading frame.

3. A linear DNA sequence according to claim 2, having a plurality of stop codons with at least one in each reading frame.

4. A linear DNA sequence according to any of claims 1, 2 or 3, wherein said marker is a gene imparting biocidal resistance.

5. A linear DNA sequence according to any of claims

- ing at least one gene in a metabolic synthetic pathway. 6. A linear DNA sequence according to any of claims
- 1, 2 or 3, having a marker intermediate said promoter and said terminator.

7. A linear DNA sequence according to claim 6, wherein said marker provides biocidal resistance.

8. A linear DNA sequence according to claim 6, wherein said marker has at least one gene for an enzyme in a metabolic synthetic pathway.

9. A linear DNA sequence according to any of claims 1, 2 or 3, wherein said replication system is for a prokaryote.

10. A linear DNA sequence according to any of claims 1, 2 or 3, wherein said replication system is for a eukaryote.

11. A method for determining the strength of a promoter which comprises:

- inserting said promoter into a linear DNA sequence having in the downstream direction for expression proximal to one end; a gene allowing for detection of expression; a transcriptional terminator of known strength; a marker allowing for determination of expression; and a replication system recognized by a predetermined host; whereby a circular DNA sequence is obtained:
- transforming said host with said circular DNA sequence;
- growing said host in nutrient medium under conditions allowing for determination of the extent of expression of said gene and said marker; and
- determining the strength of said promoter is determined by the relative degree of transcription of said gene and said marker.

12. A method according to claim 11, wherein said host is auxotrophic and said gene provides prototrophy.

13. A method according to any of claims 11 or 12, wherein said marker provides biocidal resistance.

14. A method according to claim 13, wherein interstop codons, with at least one stop codon in each reading frame.

15. A circular DNA sequence having in downstream order of transcription a strong T5 phage promoter, a structural gene foreign to T5 phage under transcriptional control of said promoter, a transcriptional terminator which is balanced with said promoter and a replication system and having a marker for selection downstream from said terminator, wherein said marker is expressed at a frequency of less than about one-fourth the frequency of which the structural gene is expressed and is under transcriptional control of said promoter.

\* ÷.

265276

TOWNSEND and TOWNS Steuart Street Tower Market Plaza Sanarancisco. CA 94105 MAI4415) 143-9600 22 1981 5 4 RIDENING

Case	Docket	No.	5	49	90-	35	 _

Date May 19, 1981

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS & TRADEMARKS Washington, D. C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of

Inventor: Herrman Bujard, Annie C.Y. Chang, Stanley N. Cohen-

For: Cloned High Signal Strength Promoters

Enclosed are:

X

An assignment of the invention to The Board of Trustees of the Leland

\_\_\_\_\_application.

Stanford Junior University, a California corporation

A certified copy of a

Associate power of attorney.

****		CLAIMS	S AS FILED		
	(1) FOR	(2) NUMBER FILED	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) RATE	(5) BASIC FEE \$65,00
	TOTAL CLAIMS	26 ~10**	16	× \$2.00	32.00
	INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	4 -3**	3	x \$10.00	30.00
			TOTAL	FILING	127.00

X

X

Please charge my Deposit Account No. <u>20-1430</u> in the amount of \$ 127.00 A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Account No. 20-1430 A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

A

T&T 25 REV.

A check in the amount of \_\_\_\_\_\_to cover the filing fee is enclosed..





NP

NК

54

P

20

25

30

5490-35/BOTS02D CLONED HIGH SIGNAL STRENGTH PROMOTERS

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

.1

## 5 Field of the Invention

and translation.

Having established the feasibility of producing a wide variety of naturally occurring and synthetic polypeptides by means of hybrid DNA technology, there are continuing and extensive efforts to provide for more efficient and economic methods for producing the polypeptides. In developing a process for the commercial production of polypeptides, many factors will be involved in optimizing the economic and efficient production of the polypeptides. Included among these factors are regulatory signals, which are DNA sequences involved with the regulation of replication, transcription

One area of interest is at the level of transcription. Transcription involves the enzyme RNA polymerase. The RNA polymerase binds to a site called a promoter. It has

been observed that promoters vary in their activity, as evidenced by the number of initiations of RNA per unit time or the strength of binding of the enzyme to the promoter site. The promoter may have one or more sequences that bind, which may or may not be contiguous. The more active promoters are referred to as strong promoters.

It was found that when introducing a strong. promoter into a vector and employing the resulting plasmid for transformation, one could not select transformants based SAE25 07/16/B1 265/76 20-1430 1 102 36.00CH on expression of markers which allowed for selection. Therefore, cloning of the strong promoters was not feasible. It

P4584 05/29/81	265276	20-1430 2 101	88.00CM
P4585 05/29/81	265276	20-1430 2 102	62.00CH

is therefore desirable that methods be provided which would allow for the screening of strong promoters and terminators and their subsequent cloning to be used in conjunction with the replication, transcription and translation of the genes for production of DNA, RNA, and polypeptides.

2

#### Description of the Prior Art

5

1-IO

F

(r 15

20

L

25

6

D.

P

r

62

 $^{*}H$ 

11

, I

14

8.

 $^{\circ}\mu$ 

Promoters from bacterial and viral sources have been cloned in E. coli, and their signal strength in vitro has been studied using expression from distal promoterless sequences encoding fgalactosidase or other proteins (Casadaban and Cohen (1980), Mol. Biol. <u>138</u>, <del>179207</del>; West and Rodriguez (1980) Gene <u>9</u>, 175193). Attempts to clone small DNA fragments carrying the strong promoters of bacteriophage T5 have been unsuccessful. (v. Gabain and Bujard (1979) PNAS USA <u>76</u>, <del>189993</del>), Fragments of T5 DNA having both a strong promoter and a strong termination signal have been cloned. (Breunig (1979) Dissertation (Universitat Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany)) Analysis has shown that transcriptional regions of several E. coli plasmids are organized in units where initiation and termination signals are balanced. (Stuber and Bujard (1981) PNAS USA P25 and P26 promoters of the T5 bacteriophage are reported as among the most efficient RNA polymerase binding sequences. (Stuber et al (1978) Mol. gen. Genet. 166 141-149; Niemann (1981) Diplomarbeit (Universitat Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany)).

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Methods for cloning, sequencing and using strong promoters and terminators are provided, as well as composi-30 tions resulting from the methods. By cleaving T5 phage and selecting fragments specifically binding to RNA polymerase, fragments containing promoters are isolated. A vector is constructed having a strong promoter, followed by a DNA sequence of interest, optionally followed by one or more 35 translational stop codons in one or more reading frames, followed by a balanced terminator, followed by a marker allowing for selection of transformants. Upon introducing the resulting plasmid into a microorganism host, efficient

transcription of the gene is obtained with substantially lesser expression of the marker as compared to the gene. The level of expression of the marker permits selection of transformants having the above described construct. The construct or regulatory portions thereof are used for efficient transcription of RNA or gene expression.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

Fig. 1 is a flow chart of the preparation of a plasmid for cloning strong promoters and terminators. DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIFIC EMBODIMENTS

Methods and compositions are provided for preparing and cloning strong promoter and terminator regulatory signals and utilization of the strong regulatory sequences in the transcription and expression of genes of interest.

Particularly, T5 phage promoters are isolated, cloned in conjunction with a strong terminator, and appropriate vectors developed for insertion of DNA sequences of interest, usually structual genes, to provide for high and efficient transcription and/or expression of the sequence.

The compositions of this invention are characterized as having in the downstream direction of transcription the following units: a strong T5 phage promoter; optionally a structural gene which may be a marker; a balancing terminator; and optionally a marker allowing for selection of transformants containing the construct, which marker has a relatively low level of expression in comparison with the amount of RNA polymerase initiation at the promoter. In the absence

of a promoter in the construct, the construct can be used for the cloning and characterization of promoters of different strengths.

In referring to strong promoters, it is intended that the binding affinity of RNA polymerase is stronger than the commonly employed promoters such as <u>lac</u> and <u>trp</u> and at least comparable to and normally greater than the combination of <u>lac</u> and <u>trp</u> promoters. For the most part, the strongest promoters among prokaryotes are the T5 phage promoters and these will be employed as exemplary of naturally occurring or synthetic strong promoters. It is to be understood, that

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

6/2/14

DR:

ſ

DEL

ſ

other prokaryotic and eukaryotic promoters, either naturally occurring or synthetic, could find application in the subject invention.

The compositions of this invention will include linear segments for insertion of DNA having the strong regulatory signal sequences (i.e., the promoter and terminator) adjacent opposite ends of the linear segment and plasmids formed by introducing a DNA sequence from a source other than the source of the promoter as a bridge between the strong regulatory signal sequences. The termini may be blunt or staggered ended, having the same or different termini to

allow for directed positioning of inserted sequences.

The compositions which are employed as already indicated have a promoter, a balanced terminator, and desirably a marker which are in the direction of transcription when the signal sequences are joined. The terminator is balanced, so that a small but useful amount of expression of the marker can occur. Normally, in most situations, a gene will bridge the promoter and terminator to provide circular 20 DNA. The gene may be a non-structural gene or a structural

DNA. The gene may be a non-structural gene or a structural gene providing RNA e.g. ribosomal or messenger, or providing a poly(amino acid).

Desirably, the gene is followed by one or a plurality of translational stop codons e.g. oop or nonsense codons, or preferably a plurality, usually up to about six, more usually from about two to five, where there is at least one stop codon in each reading frame. The stop codons aid in the efficiency of termination, both at the level of transcription and expression.

30 Next in the direction of transcription is the terminator sequence. The terminator sequence is balanced with the promoter in the sense that the marker is transcribed not more than about onefourth of the times that a gene upstream from the terminator is transcribed, where both genes are under the control of the same promoter. Usually, it will be desirable that a sufficient amount of the marker is transcribed to allow for selection of transformants. In view of the fact that the marker is transcribed from a strong pro-

moter, it will usually be sufficient that the marker is transcribed in relation to the transcription of the gene intermediate the promoter and terminator in only about 1 to 20, usually only 5 to 15 number % of the gene. The strength of the promoter is reflected in the level of expression of the marker which is transcribed from the same promoter as the gene whose expression is desired.

In addition to the DNA sequences indicated above, there will normally be other regulatory signals necessary for expression involved with the DNA sequence, such as translational start and stop sites. In addition to the foregoing regulatory signals, other regulatory signals may be included, such as additional promoters, operators, initiators, catabolite activator protein binding sites, etc. Further-

15 more, the promoter and terminator may be separated by more than one gene, that is, a plurality of genes, including multimers and operons.

The above DNA sequence construct will have a replicating system or be cleaved and be inserted into a vector to 20 provide a plasmid. The vector is distinguished by having one or more DNA sequences which serve to insure stable replication of the plasmid and may also provide opportunities for high copy numbers of the plasmid in the microoganism host. The vectors may be derived from chromosomal or extrachromo-

25 somal sources. The sources include plasmids, viruses (phage), chromosomes, or the like. In addition, the vector or the essential portions thereof may be prepared synthetically.

The plasmids may then be used for transformation of 30 an appropriate microorganism host. Methods of introducing DNA into an appropriate host are well known. Illustrative of such methods, but not exhaustive of such methods, are transformation e.g. calcium shock, transfection, and conjugation. Descriptions of these methods may be found in Genetic

35 Engineering, ed. Setlaw and Hollaender, Vol. 1, Plenum Press, New York and London, 1979; Molecular Cloning of Recombinant DNA, ed. Scott and Werner, Vol. 13, Academic Press, Inc. New York, 1973, and references cited therein.

5

In order to allow for flexibility in preparing the construct and self-replicating sequence or plasmid containing the construct restriction sites should be present to allow for unique insertions and isolation of the various elements.

5 The restriction sites may be naturally present, introduced by linkers, result by partial sequential nucleotide removal from a chain using an exonuclease, or the like. Desirably, the restriction sites will provide for different ends to permit only the proper orientation of the inserted fragment.

10 A wide variety of structural genes are of interest for production of proteins, including but not limited to proteins of physiological interest, proteins as chemicals, and enzymes which may be of direct interest or of interest in transforming another product, which may be proteinaceous or

15 non-proteinaceous. The proteins may be prepared as a single unit or as individual subunits and then joined together in appropriate ways. Furthermore, as appropriate, the protein products may be modified by glycosylation, acylation with aliphatic acids, e.g. lipid acids, phosphorolation, sulfona-

20 tion or the like. The different classes of proteins which may be prepared include protamines, histones, albumins globulins, scleroproteins, phosphoproteins, mucoproteins, chromoproteins, lipoproteins, nucleoproteins, and the remaining proteins which are unclassified.

The following is a representative list of proteins of interest.

Insulin; growth hormone; interferon e.g. leukocyte, lumphoblastoid, T-immune and fibroblast; β-lipotropin;
β-endorphin; dynorphin; histocompatability proteins; immunoglobulins e.g. IgA, IgD, IgE, IgG and IgM and fragments
thereof; hemoglobin, somatomedins; lymphokines; growth
factors e.g. epidermal, fibroblast, platelet-derived,
multiplication stimulating and nerve; hematoporetic-stimulating factors e.g. erythropoietin, colony-stimulating,

35 erythroid potentating activity or burst-promoting activity and lymphopoietins; albumin and prealbumin;

б

₽

10

t : 62

<u>0</u> .		, 7
1 ' (		Prealbumin
		Albumin
∲sis> 17		α,-Lipoprotein
		α,-Acid glycoprotein
	5	a, Antitrypsin
		α,-Glycoprotein
۴i		Transcortin
Ê÷€		4.6S-Postalbumin
ş. :		Tryptophan-poor
×.44	10	a,-glycoprotein
的相關。		a,-x-Glycoprotein
PI		Thyroxin-binding globulin
Pile:		Inter-a-trypsin-inhibitor
11		Gc-globulin:
19. F 17. 1	15	(Gc 1-1),
and and a second		(Gc 2-1),
14 L.		(Gc 2-2),
11		Haptoglobin:
12 6		(Hp 1-1),
	20	(Hp 2-1),
		(Hp 2-2),
P1		Ceruloplasmin
in.		Cholinesterase
1 415		α <sub>2</sub> -Lipoprotein(s)
	2 5	aMacroglobulin
		α2-HS-Glycoprotein
PH (		Zn-a2-glycoprotein
Frites		α <sub>2</sub> -Neuramino-glycoprotein
fi		Erythropoietin
f0 ég	30	β-lipoprotein
11		Transferrin
nangeogra a		Hemopexin
		Fibrinogen
e l'an De		Plasminogen
们和此。	35	$\beta_2$ -glycoprotein I
		β <sub>2</sub> -glycoprotein II
Γi		Immunoglobulin G
f 12e		(IgG) or γG-globulin

,

8  $\hat{\Gamma}$ Mol. formula: 14.79  $\gamma_2 \kappa_2$  or  $\gamma_2 \lambda_2$ Ĩ. Immunoglobulin A (IgA) Ŀ or yA-globulin Ê 5 Mol. formula: 17 --11 >- $(\alpha_2 \kappa_2)^n$  or  $(\alpha_2 \lambda_2)^n$ P1 : Immunoglobulin M (IgM) or yM-globulin Mol. formula:  $(\mu_2 \kappa_2)^5$  or  $(\mu_2 \lambda_2)^5$ Immunoglobulin D (IgD) Ê1 Palle 8 10 11 ÷ or yD-Globulin (yD) ĥ Mol. formula: PARA N  $(\delta_2 \kappa_2)$  or  $(\delta_2 \lambda_2)$ sterie Ma Immunoglobulin E (IgE) 15 C) Pi or  $\gamma E$ -Globulin ( $\gamma E$ ) Mol. formula: f2.H) 7465 1: - $(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_2)$  or  $(\varepsilon_2 \lambda_2)$ Free light chains 20 Complement factors: 114. C'1 12,80 C'1g minimum 3 a oblighteries C'1<u>r</u> C'ls 140 25 C12 11 C'3 F2.46 β<sub>1</sub>A Repto  $\alpha_2 D$ f140 C'4 C15 - tra 30 C16 C17 C18 C19. h <u>÷</u> 35 Importain protein hormones include: Con Ru Peptide and Protein Hormones PO:: Parathyroid hormone (parathormone)

		, ,
TC -		Thyrocalcitonin
2 (4.5		Insulin
		Glucagon
- }		Relaxin
L	5	Ervthropoietin
12:00		Melanotropin
		(Melanocyte-stimulating hormone: intermedin)
${\rm P}_{\rm e} < 10$		Somatotropin
v		(growth hormone)
$P(z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$	10	Corticotropin
		(adrenocorticotropic hormone)
Ĩ,		Thyrotropin
L		Follicle-stimulating hormone
No in		Leuteinizing hormone
	15	(interstitial cell-simulating hormone)
Priz.		Luteomammotropic hormone
		(Luteotropin, prolactin)
FC #		Gonadotropin
		(chorionic gonadotropin).
جمالہ م	20	Tissue Hormones
10		Secretin
		Gastrin
		Angiotensin I and II
* A		Bradykinin
Same Same	25	Human placental lactogen
ς μ		Peptide Hormones from the Neurohypophysis
PC .		Oxytocin
L		Vasopressin
Po 🏄		Releasing factors (RF)
•	30	CRF, LRF, TRF, Somatotropin-RF, GRF, FSH-
r. 		RF, PIF, MIF.
0		
1		In addition to various non-enzymatic proteins of
		physiological interest, enzymes can also be produced as an
	05	end product or for intracellular transformation of a sub-
	35	sulate present in the nost of substrate introduced excla-
		certurally, or for enzymatic transformation in vitto.

9

In accordance with the I.U.B. classification, the enzymes fall into varying categories such as 1. oxidoreductases; 2. transferases; 3. hydrolases; 4. lyases; 5. isomerases; 6. ligases. Enzymes of particular interest will be hydrolases and oxidoreductases for use in commercial processing, for example, hydrolases for hydrolysing polysaccharides, lipids and polypeptides; oxidoreductases for oxidation of alcohols and aldehydes, epoxidation, and the like.

The microorganism host may be bacteria, such as 10 Escherichia, Bacillus, Aerobacter, Klebsiella, Proteus, Pseudomonas, Streptococcus, Staphylococcus, Clostridium, Mycobacterium, Streptomyces and Actinomyces; Fungi e.g. Gymnomycota, Dimastygomycota, Eumycota, Zygomycetes, Ascomycetes and Basidomycetes, such as, Candida, Aspergillus,

15 Rhizobus, Microsporum, and Fonsecaea; Protozoa e.g. Mastigophora, Sarcodina, Sporozoa and Celiophora, such as, Trypanosoma, Codosiga, Protospongra and Entameba, and Alga e.g. Dinoflagellates, Euglenoids, and Diatoms.

Higher cells, e.g., mammalian, may also be 20 employed as hosts, where viral, e.g., bovine papilloma virus or other DNA sequence is available which has plasmid-like activity.

Depending upon the nature of the host, a wide variety of vectors may be employed. The vector will have an 25 intact replicon and be capable of replication in the host. In addition, replicons can be developed which may have one or more other regulatory signals. Regulatory signals can include temperature sensitive replicons, runaway-replication sequences, temperature sensitive operators, and the like.

- 30 Various additional DNA sequences may be present providing for restriction sites, markers, termination sequences, or the like. Desirably, the vector should be of a substantially different size from the construct to allow for excision of the construct and ease of separation of the construct from
- 35 the vector by molecular weight separation techniques e.g. electrophoresis and density gradient centrifugation.

The subject invention also provides for a technique for screening the strength of promoters and terminators, thus

10

P

B

allowing for the determination of the use of a promoter or terminator in a particular application, where it may be desirable to have promoters or terminators or combinations thereof of varying strength. In screening promoters and/or terminators one employs a promoter or terminator of known activity. A DNA construct is made having the sequence described previously, where appropriate restriction sites are provided for introducing the various elements. The construct provides in the direction of transcription the promoter, a

10 first gene marker, optionally termination codons, such as nonsense codons and oop terminator, the terminator, and a second gene marker. This DNA construct is inserted into an appropriate vector. Where the promoter and terminator are properly balanced, there will be a substantial differentia-

15 tion between expression of the first gene marker and expression of the second gene marker. The ratio of expression between the first and second marker will provide for a comparative evaluation of the activity of the promoter or terminator, depending upon which is of known value. Thus,

20 one can degrade a DNA sequence such as a chromosome, or an extrachromosomal element, such as a plasmid or double minute, isolate the promoters by selective binding with RNA polymerase and insert the DNA fragments which bind to the RNA polymerase into the previously described construction. By

25 determining the relative proportion of expression of the first and second gene markers, one can determine the strength of promoters in relation to a fixed terminator. Similarly, one can isolate DNA sequences having terminator sequences, insert the sequences into the above described construct at 30 the appropriate site and then measure the relative expression

of the two gene markers.

5

Various markers can be chosen for evaluating the relative activities of promoters and terminators. Conveniently, markers which allow for selection such as resistance to antibiotics, toxins or heavy metals can be used. By

varying the concentration of the selective agents in the nutrient medium, one can determine the relative proportions of the enzyme expressed by the genes in relation to the

11

growth of the host. Alternatively, one can use growth factors e.g. having a gene which complements a mutant gene in an auxotrophic host, where the gene expresses a product necessary for a biosynthetic pathway. A third marker provides virus incompatibility, preventing plaque formation. Other markers which allow for comparison will come readily to mind.

The terminators may be evaluated in the presence and absence of rho, so that one can determine the dependency of the terminator on rho, as well as the effectiveness of the terminator in relation to the concentration of rho.

The methods for preparing the subject compositions will be conventional. The various DNA fragments and sequences can be obtained from a variety of sources by restriction mapping and endonuclease cleavage to provide

15 fragments having the desired intact sequence or gene. The fragments can be further processed employing endo- or exonucleases to remove nucleotides unrelated to desired regulatory sequences or structural genes. By appropriate choice of restriction enzymes, cohesive or blunt ended frag-20 ments can be generated. Furthermore, chains can be extended

with single nucleotides or oligonucleotides, linkers can be added, or otherwise processing to provide for termini having desired properties.

Desirably, a vector is employed having appropriate restriction sites, a competent replication system for the intended host, and optionally one or more markers which allow for selection. For hybrid DNA technology it would be useful to have a plasmid having a unique restriction site between a T5 promoter and a terminator, desirably having at least one stop codon on the upstream side of the terminator. In this manner, one or more structural genes may be introduced between the promoter and terminator.

As appropriate, downstream from the promoter, but remaining proximal to the promoter, may be an operator, 35 activator, ribosomal start signal sequence, or the like, to allow for controlled expression of the inserted gene(s). The strategy described above provides a vehicle

which can be used with one or more hosts for gene expression,

Page 22

5

where the gene after processing in a predetermined way can be directly inserted into the vehicle to provide a competent plasmid for expression of the desired gene(s).

Alternatively, the gene(s) of interest may be 5 ligated to the appropriate regulatory signal sequences before insertion into the vehicle. In this instance, only the promoter and terminator regulatory signals need be present.

To provide for enhanced flexibility, the region between the promoter and terminator may be designed so as to

provide for a plurality of restriction cleavage sites, allowing for the introduction and removal of DNA fragments without interruption of the remainder of the vehicle. Thus, by having a plurality of unique restriction sites or restriction sites limited to the region between the promoter and terminator in the downstream direction of transcription, regulatory 15

signals and genes may be readily inserted and removed.

Another strategy is to prepare a construct having all of the desired DNA sequences for transcription and expression in appropriate sequence, with the construct having predetermined termini and inserting the construct into an appropriate vector which has been linearized to provide complementary termini.

In developing the construct, a vector will normally be used in order to clone the various sequences. The con-25 struct will allow for the insertion of the different sequences in the correct direction and desirably only in the proper orientation. Therefore, it will usually be desirable ' to have the sequence and insertion site be asymmetric in having different termini with the termini of the sequence and insertion site being complementary. 30

The particular restriction enzymes will vary widely with the various sequences, there being a large number of restriction enzymes of known base or sequence specificities commercially available.

35

10

20

The following examples are offered by way of illustration and not by way of limitation.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

14

#### Materials and Methods

Restriction endonucleases <u>HindIII</u>, <u>HincII</u>, <u>SalI</u>, <u>Bam</u>HI and T4 Ligase were from New England Biolabs (Beverly, Mass., USA), Sau3A was from BRL (Neu-Isenburg, Germany) and <u>EcoRI</u> and <u>HpaII</u> from (Boehrigher Mannheim, Federal Republic of Germany). DNA polymerase I, phage <u>fd</u> DNA (replicative form), plasmid AD16/30 containing a 28 bp <u>HindIII/BamHI</u> adapter sequence and, lac represser were supplied by private sources. The isolation of bacteriophage T5 DNA, plasmid DNA <u>E. coli</u> RNA polymerase and <u>E. coli</u> termination factor rho have been described previously, (v. Gabian and Bujard, Mol. gen. Genet. (1977) <u>157</u>, 301-311; Clewel and Helinski (1969) PNAS USA <u>62</u>, 1157-1166; and Knopf and Bujard (1975) Eur. J. Biochem. <u>53</u>, 371-385).

A 780bp DNA fragment carrying the <u>E. coli lac</u> regulatory region (promoter/operator: P/O), an N-terminal portion of the  $\beta$ -galactosidase structural gene sufficient for intracistronic complementation of the M15 deletion, as well as a portion of the i-gene(i) was isolated from a <u>HincII</u> digest of a pACYC 214 plasmid (a plasmid related to pACYC 184  $\lambda$  by insertion at a <u>Bam</u>HI site of a <u>Bam</u>HI <u>restruction</u> cleavage

fragment from F'-lac carrying the lac gene) by repressor binding and subsequent adsorption to nitrocellose. This 25 fragment was then employed in the construction of an exemplary plasmid for analyzing strong promoters and strong terminators as depicted in the Figure.

Utilizing the <u>Hpa</u>II cleavage site within the  $\beta$ -gal structural genes the fragment was reduced in size and provided with <u>Bam</u>HI and <u>Sal</u>I cleavage sites by various subcloning. The resulting fragment (left most part of Figure) contains the intact control region of the <u>lac</u> operon and an N-terminal portion of the  $\beta$ -gal structural gene coding for 66 amino acids ( $\alpha$ ). Introduction of this fragment by blunt end ligation into the <u>Hind</u>III site of pACYC184 (Chang and Cohen (1978) J. Bacteriol. <u>134</u>, 1141-1156) yielded pBUl0, a vector suitable for terminator cloning. The major terminator of the coliphage fd genome was isolated as a 338bp <u>Sau</u>3A fragment

Page 24

ø

I

8 %

614

LL

62

4.:

102-13

6.2

61

2

PIE

5

10

15

20

30

α (Beck et al. (1978) Nucl. Acids Res. 5, 44954503), ligated with a BamHI/HindIII adaptor sequence and integrated into pBU10 to yield pLBU1.

15

4495-4503

Cleavage of the Lac sequence in pBU10 by HpaII destroys the lac promoter and liberates upon cleavage with HindIII a fragment containing the lac operator and a region coding for a functional q-fragment. Integrating this DNA sequence into pBR322 leads to pBUl2a. Finally, replacement of the HindIII/SalI portion of pBU12a by a partial digest of the HindIII/SalI fragment of pLBUl containing the fd termi-

nator resulted in pLBU3, a vector suitable for integration of efficient promoters at the EcoRI site. The regions encoding chloramphenicol (Cm), ampicillin (Ap) and tetracycline (Tc) resistance are indicated as cat, bla and tet respectively.

15 The plasmid, pBUl0, had the following properties: and (i) it contains the  $\alpha$  fragment of  $\beta$ -galactosidase ( $\alpha$ -protein) complemented the M15 deletion of the lac operon; (ii) the Tc resistance it specified was under the control of the lac promoter, as shown in M15 I<sup>Q</sup> strains; (iii) the <u>HindIII</u> site between the lac gene fragment and the tet gene was restored; 20 the stop codon immediately following the HindIII site limited the length of the lacZ gene product to 68 amino acids. Insertion of the fd terminator upstream to the tet gene resulted in a 90% reduction in the level of Tc resis-25

tance, but no detectable change in the levels of B-galactosidase activity in M15 deletion strains. The results obtained as to the properties imparted to various E. coli strains by various plasmids is set forth in the following table:

Seven independent plasmid isolates from clones contained the expected 352bp HindIII-generated fragment containing the fd terminator. Electrophoretic analysis of all seven BamHI-cleaved isolates and DNA sequence analysis of one of these showed that in all instances the fd terminator had been integrated in an orientation opposite the direction of transcription within the fd phage genome. Sequence analysis also revealed a translational stop codon in frame

with the a-protein less than 10bp down stream from the

I

r •

30

35

5

10

F

HindIII cleavage forming the conjunction between the lac-derived segment and the fd-derived DNA fragment; a translational stop signal on this position would be expected to result in an  $\alpha$ -fragment containing 71 amino acids.

16

For the cloning of exogenous promoters, the lac promoter, on the lac/tet construct had to be removed or 12 destroyed in such a way that a site for the subsequent integration of promoters was retained. To do this, the Hpall cleavage site at position -17 of <u>lac</u> was employed, as

described above. Colonies that showed both a reduced level 10 of Tc resistance and the presence of a lac operator sequence, which could be detected on the multicopy plasmid by its ability to bind the lac represser and induce chromosomal  $\beta$ -gal synthesis, were identified. Endonuclease analysis

(HindIII/EcoRI double digest) of plasmids recovered from 15 several isolates yielded two types of vectors: one of these represented by pBU12 harbored the expected 253bp lac fragment; the other represented by pBU12a yielded a 420bp fragment. DNA sequence analysis showed that in pBU12a, a 160bp fragment of unknown origin, containing two to three stop 20 codons in each of the possible translational reading frames,

had been integrated between the EcoRI site and position -15 of the lac promoter. The presence of the stop codons made the fragment an efficient terminator of any translation that occurred upstream of the translational initiation site for 25 the  $\alpha$ -fragment.

When the HindIII/SalI segment of pBUl2a was replaced with an identically generated fragment of pLBUl carrying the fd terminator, the plasmid pLBU3 was obtained, which conferred neither Tc resistance nor  $\beta$ -gal activity to E. coli M15 strain. Although this plasmid contained a tet region and a DNA sequence encoding the  $\alpha$ -fragment of <u>lac</u>, it conferred neither resistance nor  $\beta$ -gal activity to the E. coli M15 strain. It was therefore chosen as the T5 promoter cloning vehicle.

A population of about 200 short fragments of T5 DNA was obtained by double digestion of the 120kb phage genome with HaeIII and AluI endonucleases. These fragments were

5

64

5

ř

8

6.

ΞP

65 62.

IF

30

ligated with excess synthetic EcoRI links and the resulting molecules were cleaved with EcoRI endonuclease and ligated into the EcoRI cleavage site of pLBU3. Transformation of E. <u>coli</u> C600 and selection for  $\beta$ -gal activity plus high level Tc-resistance yielded 35 colonies resistant to Tc-concentrations between 8 and 70 µg/ml. Plasmids were isolated from 13 colonies resistant to 70 µg/ml, which earlier experiments using multicopy plasmids had suggested was the highest level detectable in <u>E. coli</u> K12 (Cabello et al. (1976) Nature 259, 285-290).

Digestion of the various isolates with EcoRI endonuclease liberated between one and ten fragments of various sizes from each constructed plasmid. Complexing of such fragment mixtures with RNA polymerase, followed by 15 filter binding analysis, identified between one and three fragments of each plasmid that interacted very efficiently with the enzyme; these fragments were isolated from polyacrylamide gels and individually recloned in pLBU3. In each case, they gave rise to colonies resistant to 70 µg/ml Tc. 20 Plasmids isolated from each of these clones carried the expected DNA fragments, as shown by EcoRI cleavage and gel electrophoresis. The promoter library which was obtained contained about 25 different strong promoters of coliphage T5 a (Gentz (1981), supra).

25 A plasmid pGBU207 containing an EcoRI-generated fragment of 212bp was selected for further study. In vitro transcription on the plasmid was mapped by analysis of RNA transcripts made on fragments of the plasmid produced by cleavage with different restriction endonucleases. Cleavage of the plasmid with EcoRI endonuclease yielded principally a 30 single RNA species about 130 nt in length. The size of the transcript increased to 550 nt when a HindIII digest of pGBU207 was used as a template. BamHI digested DNA yielded transcripts of about 740 and 900 nt in length. Correlation of transcript length with the distance of the DNA cleavage 35 site from a fixed point insert indicated that in all of these instances in vitro transcription was initiated at the same promoter and that it progressed toward the tet region of the

17

1.8

Z60

2

8.93

ίĹ

ii e ga

. . 1 ....

Ŷ

5

plasmid. The experiments also showed the functioning of the termination signal introduced between the <u>lac</u> fragment and the <u>tet</u> gene. Under the high salt conditions used for this <u>in vitro</u> transcription experiment, termination of about 50% of transcription within the <u>fd</u> DNA fragment gave rise to the 740 nt transcript, while a read- through transcript extended to the <u>BamHI</u> cleavage site located 890bp from the promoter. The data strongly suggested that RNA termination occurring in the <u>fd</u> terminator placed in the reverse orientation is rho dependent, in contrast to the rho-independent termination

that occurs when the terminator is in its normal orientation. Since the rate of complex formation between RNA polymerase and promoter signals is a reflection of the

strength of the promoter, the relative rate of complex formation of the 212bp fragment of pGBU207 was compared with complex formation involving the previously studied T5 promoters  $P_{25}$  and  $P_{26}$  (Stuber and Bujard (1981), <u>supra</u>). The results showed that the promoter used to express downstream genetic functions in pGBU207 has a signal strength similar to that of  $P_{25}$  and  $P_{26}$ , which are among the most efficient RNA polymerase binding sequences identified from any source. (Niemann (1981), supra).

The above results demonstrate that novel DNA sequences can be prepared from the strong T5 promoters, which 25 can then be used for the expression of a wide variety of poly(amino acids). Furthermore, by employing a promoter, optionally a structural gene, a terminator, and a marker, test plasmid structures are provided which allow for screening of the effectiveness of a promoter and/or a termi-

30 nator, particularly as they interrelate with each other. Therefore, combinations can be prepared which allow for highly efficient transcription of a wide variety of structural genes, with concommitant selection of the transformants by employing an appropriate marker downstream from the balanced terminator.

Although the foregoing invention has been described in some detail by way of illustration and example for purposes of clarity of understanding, it will be obvious that

5

10

15

20

ř

UE

£.

14

certain changes and modifications may be practiced within the scope of the appended claims.

8

.

## Page 29

ķ

, î

We claim : Claim 1>

IN THE CLAIMS

1. A linear DNA sequence having proximal to one end a strong T5 phage promoter, proximal to the other end a strong terminator balanced with said strong T5 promoter, and having at least one intermediate said promoter and terminator of a marker for selection adjacent to said terminator and distal from said other end, or a replication system foreign to T5.

2. A linear DNA sequence according to Claim 1, having intermediate said other end and said strong terminator at least one stop codon in at least one reading frame.

21

3. A linear DNA sequence according to Claim 2, having a plurality of stop codons with at least one in each reading frame.

4. A linear DNA sequence according to any of Claims 1, 2 or 3, wherein said marker is a gene imparting biocidal resistance.

5. A linear DNA sequence according to any of Claims 1, 2 or 3, wherein said marker is a DNA sequence having at least one gene in a metabolic synthetic pathway.

A linear DNA sequence according to any of
 Claims 1, 2 or 3, having a replication system intermediate said promoter and said terminator.

7. A linear DNA sequence according to Claim 6, wherein said marker provides biocidal resistance.

8. A linear DNA sequence according to Claim 6, wherein said marker has at least one gene for an enzyme in a metabolic synthetic pathway.

9. A linear DNA sequence according to any of Claims 1, 2 or 3, wherein said replication system is for a prokaryote.

A linear DNA sequence according to any of
 Claims 1, 2 or 3, wherein said replication system is for a eukaryote.

dain 11>

Page 31)

11. A circular DNA sequence having in downstream order of transcription a strong 75 phage promoter, a structural gene foreign to T5 phage a balanced terminator, and a replication system

22

12. A circular DNA sequence according to Claim 11, wherein said replication system is recognized by prokaryotes.

13. A circular DNA sequence according to Claim 11, wherein said replication system is recognized by eukaryotes.

14. A circular DNA sequence according to any of Claims 11, 12 or 13, wherein said structural gene expresses a mammalian protein.

15. A circular DNA sequence according to Claim 14, wherein said mammalian protein is an enzyme.

16. A circular DNA sequence according to any of Claims 11, 12 or 13, having intermediate said structural gene and said strong terminator a plurality of stop codons, having at least one stop codon in each reading frame.

17. A circular DNA sequence according to any of Claims 11, 12 or 13, having a marker for selection downstream from said terminator.

18. A prokaryotic cell having a circular DNA sequence according to Claim 12.

19. A eukaryofic cell having a circular DNA sequence according to chain 3.

20. A method for preparing a poly(amino acid) which comprises:

growing in a nutrient medium prokaryotic cells according to Claim 18, wherein said structural gene expresses said poly(amino acids).

21. A method for preparing a poly(amino acid) which comprises:

23

growing said eukaryotic cell according to Claim 19 in a nutrient medium, wherein said structural gene expresses 5 said poly(amino acid.

22. A method according to Claim 21, wherein said eukaryotic cell is yeast.

23. A method for determining the strength of a promoter which comprises:

24

ŝ

125.87

5

10

inserting said promoter into a linear DNA sequence having proximal to one end in the downstream direction for transcription a gene allowing for detection of expression; a terminator of known strength; a marker allowing for determination of expression; and a replication systems recognized by a predetermined host; whereby a circular DNA sequence is obtained;

transforming said host with said circular DNA sequence; and

growing said host in nutrient medium under conditions allowing for determination of the extent of expression of said gene and said marker.

11 , 24. A method according to Claim 25, wherein said host is auxotrophic and said gene provides prototrophy. 13 -28. A method according to any of Claims 28 or 28, wherein said marker provides biocidal resistance.

25

14 25. A method according to Claim -27, wherein intermediate said gene and said terminator are a plurality of stop codons, with at least one stop codon in each reading frame.

addidaca

#### CLONED HIGH SIGNAL STRENGTH PROMOTERS

#### ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

C

Method for preparing high signal strength promoters and terminators and DNA compositions employing such promoters

5 and terminators. T5 phage is cleaved to provide for DNA sequences having intact promoters. These promoters are inserted into vectors separated from a balanced terminator by a gene of interest and the terminator is desirably followed by a marker allowing for selection of transformants. High

10 efficiencies in transcription of DNA can be achieved with the highly active T5 promoters. The promoters and terminators are used in hybrid DNA for efficient expression of structural genes and transcription to provide RNA sequences.

SI P The government has rights in the invention pursuant to Grant Nos. AI 08619 and GM 27241 awarded by the National Institute of Health.

f The research was supported in part by a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.
#### DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

5490-35 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND FILE NO

As a below named inventor, I declare that the information given herein is true, that I believe the I am the original, first and sole inventor if only one name is listed at 201 below, or a joint inventor if plural inventors are named below at 201-203, of the invention entitled: CLONED HIGH SIGNAL STRENGTH PROMOTERS

#### which is described and claimed in:

 $\Box$  the attached specification or  $\Box$  the specification in application Serial No. \_

filed . that, as to common subject matter of this application and my earlier United States application(s), if any, described in item 301 below. I do not believe that the same was ever known or used in the United States before my invention thereof or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to such earlier application(s), or in public use of on sale in the United States more than one year prior to such earlier application(s), that the said common subject matter has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate before the date of such earlier application(s) in any country foreign to the United States on an application, filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months prior to such earlier application(s) and that no application for patent or inventor's certificate on said common subject matter has been filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns in any country foreign to the United States except those identified in item 101 below, if any; that, as to any subject matter of this application which is not common to any of said earlier application(s) (non-common subject any; that, as to any subject matter of this application which is not common to any of said earlier application(s) (non-common subject matter), i do not know and do not believe that the same was ever known or used in the United States before my invention thereof or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to the date of this application, or in public use of on sale in the United States more than one year prior to the date of this application, and that said non-common subject matter has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate in any country foreign to the United States on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months prior to the date of this application; that no application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months prior to the date of this application; that no application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than they be not the safe of this application average these filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than they be not they date of this application in any country foreign to the late of this application in any country foreign to the late of this application. legal representatives or assigns in any country foreign to the United States prior to this application except those identified in said item 101; and I acknowledge a duty to disclose information I am aware of which is material to the examination of this application.

	FOREIGN APPLICATION(S) AND INVENTOR'S CERTI	FICATE(S), if any, filed within 12 mos. p	rior to the filing date of this	application
10	COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 119
I		3		YES_NOX
	ALL FOREIGN APPLICATIONS & INVENTOR'S CERTII	FICATES, if any, filed more than 12 mos.	prior to the filing date of th	is application
102				

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) who are partners and associates in the firm of Townsend and Townsend to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Office connected therewith. 201 Stephen S. Townsend, Reg. No. 15382; Charles E. Townsend, Jr., Reg. No. 16,218; Anthony B. Diepenbrock, Reg. No. 20,131; Dirks B. Foster, Reg. No. 17,624; Albert J. Hillman, Reg. No. 20,134; Thomas F. Smegal, Jr., Reg. No. 20,732; John L. McGannon, Reg. No. 22,414; Paul W. Vapnek, Reg. No. 24,785; William M. Hynes, Reg. No. 24,168; J. Georg Seka, Reg. No. 24,491; Bertram I. Rowland, Reg. No. 20,015; Roger L. Cook, Reg. No. 24,648; Bruce W. Schwab, Reg. No. 22,321; Ronald S. Laurie, Reg. No. 25,431; Warren P. Kujawa, Reg. No. 25,142; George M. Schwab, Reg. No. 25,748; Robert J. Bernnett, Reg. No. 27,533; Kenneth R. Allen, Reg. No. 22,301; Henry C. Bunsow, Reg. No. 27,743; David. N. Slone, Reg. No. 28,572; Sandra S. Schuitz, Reg. No. 29,436; Lesley S. Witt, 26,578; James F. Hann, 29,719 and Robert C. Colwell, 27,431.

Contraction of the set	(4 /4 Mindia Name or Initial	
San Francisco, CA 94105	T (415) 543-9600 or \$ (415) 493-2590	
CONTRACT Street Tower One Market Plaza	Bertram I. Rowland, Reg 20,01	.5
SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:	DIRECT TELEPHONE CALLS TO:	

1	FULL NAME	i ast wante	Tracar Manue	, Mag Instaule reame of the	1.91
	OF INVENTOR	Bujard	HOFFMAN HERMANN	G.	
_	RESIDENCE	CITAR	State or Foreign Country	Country of Citizens	hip
201	& CITIZENSHIP	HEIDELDERL	GERMANY DEV	GERMAN	
	POSTOFFICE	Post Office Address	City	State or Country	Zip Code
	ADDRESS	H.BILLE - STR. 12	HEIDELBERG	GERMANY	69
	FULL NAME	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name or Ini	tial
	OF INVENTOR	Chang	Annie 40200	C.Y.	
2	RESIDENCE	City	State or Foreign Country	Country of Citizen	nip
2	CITIZENSHIP	Palo Alto	California CA	U.S.A.	
	POSTOFFICE	Post Office Address #39	City	State or Country	Zip Code
	ADDRESS	765 San Antonio Rd.	Palo Alto	California	94303
1	FULL NAME	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name or In	tíal
	OF	Cohen	Stanley 40340	Ν.	-
2	RESIDENCE	City	State or Foreign Country	Country of Citizen	ship
22	CITIZENSHIP	Portola Valley	California CA	U.S.A.	
	POST OFFICE	Post Office Address	City	State or Country	Zip Code
	ADDRESS	271 Gabarda Way	Portola Valley	California	94025
	Specific refe	rence to my related earlier filed U.S. app	lication(s) of which this application is a	Continuation Continuatio	on-in-Part

of the specification for the purpose of receiving benefit of said earlier filing date(s) 35 USC 120. Division is made on page

) further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, of both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

			1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		- ()	
	Signature of Inventor 201	Signature of	Inventor 202	Signa	ture of inventor :	203
	Kennen Brond	ASYC	X-ev (	Vie V	Harley	N. Colem
Doc. 27	Y		1 ~ ~ 1. ~		<u>~ 7                                   </u>	
rage 3/	5/19/81	5/	19/81	C Date	5/19/81	
	FORM TAT 14 REV.	·····				



Page 38



	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231
-	SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	04/265,276 05/20/81 BUJARD H 5490-35
	TOWNSEND & TOWNSEND STEUART STREET TOWER ONE MARKET PLAZA SGN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 172 2 DATE MAILED: 06/30/82
	This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
	This soplication has been examined Responsive to communication filed on This action is made final
(gan)	A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s),
	1. Iclaims 1-26 are pending in the application.
	Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration,
	2. Claims have been cancelled.
	3. Polising 1- 26 are free of the cited prior art
17 Julio - Aligo	4  transmission 1 - 26
	5. Claims ere objected to.
	6. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement
	7. The formal drawings filed on are acceptable.
	8 The drawing correction request filed on hat been Tapproved Tidisporoved.
	o,, she opping construction and the claim for actionity under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified conv. her
	Deen received. ☐not been received. ☐been filed in parent application, serial no.
·	filed on
	10. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the marits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parse Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
	11. Oxher
ŧ	TOL-326 (rev. 7-79)

#### Serial Number 265,276 Art Unit 172

The disclosure is objected to as failing to provide an adequate disclosure of the invention as required by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. This paragraph of the statute, requires that the specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention. The journal citations on pages 2 and 15 do not correctly cite the pages and one reference on page 2 is incomplete. Also, on page 17, the Gentz reference is incomplete and no earlier citations thereof can be found.

-2-

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as his or her invention. The claims are indefinite as to what is between the promoter and terminator. It appears that either a marker or foreign replication system are present but the language of the claims does not make that clear. Serial Number 265,276 Art Unit 172

The disclosure is objected to as failing to provide an adequate disclosure of the claimed invention as required by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. This paragraph of the statute, requires that the specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention. The disclosure requires that the various DNA sequences be in a particular order in order for the invention to function. The claims do not require such order. They do not specify where in the DNA sequence the promoter is inserted which is essential.

-3-

Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as his or . her invention. The claims are indefinite in the

Page 42

Serial Number 265,276 Art Unit 172

-4-

recitation of "proximal to one end". Proximal to one end of what?

Applicants are requested to supply copies of the journal articles cited in the specification because they are unavailable to the examiner.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as his or her invention. The claims are indefinite in the recitation of "a replication system". It is not clear what that encompasses.

703-557-3685/86 Esther M. Kepplinger:ab 6-16-82

ESTHER M. KEPPLINGER PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Ri	EV. :	3-7	-892	:		U. PA	S, D TEN	EPAF IT AN	ITMEI ID TR	NT OF ADEM	COMN ARK C	ERCE OFFICE		26	55	276	6	GRO	JUPA	72	IT .	ATTA P/ NU	CHME TO RPER	ENT A	2
	-	N	DTI	CE	OF	REI	FER	ENC	ES C	ITED			ĺ	B	unt:	and	L.e	£.	al						
1									[			U.S. PA	ATEN	IT DO	CUM	INTS	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1			SUP		EILI	NG DA	TEI
			DC DC	D¢L	IMEN	NT D T	ю. Т			DATE				N	1AME				CLAS	s	CLAS	55	AP	ROPR	IATE
	Α				L		Ľ																		
	в																						l		
	с					T	1												****				1		
	D					$\vdash$	$\uparrow$				†		÷		<u>.</u>	••••••••••			<u> </u>				+		
	-				<b> </b>																				<u></u>
-	-				<b> </b>	┞	-												······						
_	7					-	-	ļ															, <u> </u>		
_	G					ļ	ļ																ļ		
_	н					L			, 			······				-									
	I																								
	J																								
	к					ĺ	1																1		
4					<b>6</b>	.I	.I.,	£.,	hi		FO	REIGN	I PAT	TENT	DOCI	JMEN'	rs	ii	<del></del>	L					
			DC	ocu	ME	4 T F	10.	r		DATE		c	:oun	TRY			NAME	÷		CLAS	5	SUE	3. 55	PERT SHTS DWG	NEN SPE
	Ľ	1																							
	м																								
	N					Ĩ	Ī			~~~~				·····										1	
	0					İ-																		1	1
1	Р				·	┢─																			+
-	0					┢─															+				-
1	_1			]		<u> </u>									l					0				-	
٦	- 1	6			ر 	J1†	101	1 rit					ning i		JI, 1	ue, U	ate, P	erti	ient	rages	, CT	ن.] 			
	R	_	rti	l	er	<u> </u>	+	e r	ΓΛ	IAS,	78	3(1)	<del>, /</del>	167	-1"	71 (	198	<u>' i</u>	Jan	un	ry	).			
														,	1			<del>.</del>						a a a an a	
	s																								
																		<u></u>	····					-	
							•						•											21	
	<u> </u>																								
1																									
	U															-			~						
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	NIM.	VER						A		P	ATE	·		Τ											****
2	r 	n	1.	/	Ke	p	pl	in	<u>k</u>	<u> </u>	5/3	25/8	22												
						<u>،</u>	Ά,	cop	of :	this re	efere	nce is	not	being	furn	ished	with	this	offic	e acti	ion.				

# TO SEPARATE, HOLD TOP AND BOTTOM EDGES, SNAP-APART AND DISCARD CARBON

5490-35 IN THE UNITED PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE In re application of Herman Bujard et al. Examiner: E. Kepp Serial No. 265,276 Art Unit: 172 Filed: May 20, 1981 For: CLONED HIGH SIGNAL AMENDMENT STRENGTH PROMOTERS San Francisco, CA 94105 Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Sir: In response to the Office Action of June 30, 1982, please make the following amendments: IN THE SPECIFICATION: Page 2, line 10, after " $\beta$ " insert 4 - 6 - (ahyphen); line 11, change "I" to bJ+; change "179207" to A-179-207 tr; line 12, change "175193" to 6~175-193-; line 15, change "189193" to (-189-193-4; line 21, after "USA" insert -78:167-171-. Page 14, line 22, change "restruction" to K-restriction k. Page 15, line 1, change "44954503" to 6-4495-45036-; line 16, after "(a-protein)" insert 6-and 4-. Page 16, line 6, change "om tje" to been thet. Page 17, line 24, cancel "(Gentz (1981), supra)".

IN THE CLAIMS:

1. (amended) A linear DNA sequence having proximal to one end a strong T5 phage promoter, proximal to the other end a strong terminator balanced with said strong T5 promoter, and having [at least one intermediate said promoter and terminator of a marker for selection adjacent to said terminator and distal from said other end,] intermediate said promoter and terminator at least one marker for selection adjacent to said terminator or a replication system foreign to T5.

Claim 23, line 8, change "systems" to Asystem-.

### REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, the Examiner is earnestly requested to withdraw the rejections, allow Claims 1-26, and pass this application to issue.

It is noted that the claims are free of the prior art.

Applicants sincerely apologize for the erroneous page numbers. This is an unfortunate result of modern technology where miscoding for a hyphen may result in its absence in the final copy. The appropriate hyphens have now been introduced to indicate the page ranges. The reference to Gentz was in error and has been deleted. A number of minor typographical errors were noted and have now been corrected. The incomplete citation is a reference of record and that citation has now been incorporated into the specification. It is therefore believed, that the minor errors noted by the Examiner have all been corrected.

-2-

Turning now to the claims, it is believed some explanation will be helpful in clarifying the situation. Claims 1-10 concern a linear DNA sequence. The terminator appears to be an inverted repeat, so that it has no direction. By contrast, the promoter is directional, allowing for transcription in only one direction. The linear sequence has the promoter near one end and the terminator near the other end. The promoter may provide for transcription in either direction. Thus, the gene of interest, many genes being defined in the claims, may be a portion of the linear sequence or may be provided by insertion between the promoter and the terminator. When the gene is introduced by insertion, the promoter directs transcription toward the end of the linear sequence adjacent the promoter. When the gene of interest is intermediate the promoter and the terminator, the promoter directs transcription along the linear sequence toward the terminator. Claims 1-10 require that the linear DNA sequence either have a replication system (this will be discussed later) or a gene foreign to the T5 phage.

It is hoped that with the change in language of the claim, the claim is now definite and avoids the rejection.

The fact of there being a particular order or direction is not entirely true. As indicated above, the promoter can be pointed in either direction, which then determines whether the gene will be inserted to join the ends of the linear sequence or is present on the linear sequence prior to insertion.

By contrast, Claims 11-19 are concerned with circular DNA where the direction is now important, since downstream defines the direction of transcription. It is believed that Claim 11 clearly defines the proper order.

-3-

Claims 20-22 are dependent upon the circular DNA sequence Claims 11-19.

Claim 23 again is concerned with a linear DNA sequence. A linear sequence has two ends. Claim 23 requires that proximal to one end in the downstream direction is a gene. The point of the first step is the insertion of a promoter into the linear DNA sequence to form a circular DNA sequence. Thus, when the promoter is inserted between the ends of the linear DNA sequence, it is followed by the gene and the promoter defines the downstream direction. After the gene is a terminator, which is then followed by another gene, which in turn is followed by a replication system. The purpose of the two genes is to determine the effectiveness of the terminator. If the terminator is ineffective, then the second gene will be expressed. Therefore, Claim 23 provides a basis for evaluating promoters, terminators, and their relative effectiveness.

So far as a replication system is concerned, this is discussed on page 5, lines 18-28. A replication system will include an origin and any other regulatory sequences which are necessary for binding of DNA polymerase to provide for replication of the two DNA strands. It is believed this language has found extensive use, see for example U.S. Patent No. 4,237,224, and should find acceptance.

The articles referred to in the Description of the Prior Art accompany this response, but not the dissertations, which are believed to be of no more relevance than the published articles.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, the application is considered in good and proper form for allowance, and the Examiner is earnestly requested to pass this application to issue.

-4-

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney, collect, at (415) 493-2590.

> Respectfully submitted, TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND

9/30/82 Date

By ow Bertram I. Rowland

Reg. No. 20,015

BIR/gs

Enclosures 1. Casabadan and Cohen (1980) J. Mol. Biol. <u>138</u>:179-207 2. West and Rodriguez (1980) <u>Gene 9:175-193</u> 3. Von Gabain and Bujard (1979) PNAS USA 76:189-193 4. Stuber et al. (1978) Molec. gen. Genet. 166:141-149

> I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of  $\frac{1}{54}$  atents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on  $\frac{1}{54}$

(Date of Deposit) Date 9/30/12 By TOJUNSELLO & TOWNSEND au Bertram I. Rowland Reg. No. 20,015

			و مور شده ای		
		-	аны — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		
TOWNSEN	D and TOWN TOND				
Steuart Stre	et Tower				
One Market	Plaza				
San Francis	CA 94105				
(415) 543.9	6060			*	in.
(m. n.	10V 1982		81 mg	Docket	5490-35 No
In re applie	Min of Herman	Bujard et	al.	Date	November 8, 1982
Serial No.	265,276				
Filed	May 20, 1981				

CLONED HIGH SIGNAL STRENGTH PROMOTERS For

## THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS & TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

No additional fee is enclosed because this application was filed prior to October 25, 1965 (effective date of Public Law 89-83.)

No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below.

			CLAI	MS AS	AMENDED					
	(1)	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENOMENT	(3)	HIGH PREV PAI	EST NO. HOUSLY D FOR	(5) PRE E)	SENT (TRA	(6) RATE	AD	7) DITIONAL FEE
	TOTAL CLAIMS	*	MINUS	**		×		× \$5	×	
j	Total CLAIMS	* :29	MINUS	-	26	×	3	x \$10	×	30.00
			La,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<u> </u>	TOTAL AD	DITION	AL FEE MENT		\$	30.00

\* If the entry in Column 2 is less than the entry in Column 4, write "O" in Column 5.

\*\*If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 10, write "10" in this space.

$\Box$	A check in the amou	int of S
	Charge \$ 30.00 copy of this sheet is	to De enclosed

is attached.

to Deposit Account No. 20-1430 \_\_\_ A duplicate Charge \$ 30.00 copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Х

Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 20-1430 A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND

Ka

Bertram 1. Rowland Reg. No. 20,015

BIR/GS

122/82 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE In re application of Herman Bujard et al. Examiner: E. Kepplin Serial No. 265,276 Art Unit: 172 Filed: May 20, 1981 For: CLONED HIGH SIGNAL SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT STRENGTH PROMOTERS San Francisco, CA 94105 Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Sir: Supplemental to the response to the Office Action of June 30, 1982, please add the following claims. IN THE CLAIMS: 27. A DNA sequence having a replication system recognized by a microorganism, a marker for selection and in downstream order of transcription, a strong promoter, a structural gene foreign to said strong promoter and a 5 balanced terminator, wherein said strong promoter intends a promoter which inhibits expression of said marker in the absence of a balanced terminator. 28. A DNA sequence according to Claim 27, wherein said replication syster is recognized by a prokaryote. 29. A DNA sequence according to Claim 27, wherein said replication system is recognized by a eukaryote. REMARKS In view of the following remarks, the Examiner is earnestly requested to add Claims 27-29 to the subject application and allow Claims 1-29. 80269 11/16/82 265276 20-1430 1 103 30.0008

Support for new Claims 27-29 may be found on page 1, lines 26-30, page 2, line 38 and in the original claims.

After responding to the Office Action of June 30, 1982, upon review of the claims, it was found that their scope did not reflect the proper breadth of the subject invention.

The subject claims are believed to be patentable over the prior art for the following reasons. First, it had not be appreciated previously that a strong promoter, such as the T5 phage promoters, could inhibit cloning of a plasmid. Only with the discovery of the subject invention is it shown that one can clone strong promoters and use either the wild type terminator or a different terminator, where the utility of the terminator may be determined by the method disclosed in the subject invention. Therefore, the subject invention now allows for the use of a variety of strong promoters with balanced terminators for the high yield expression of structural genes of interest.

In view of the above remarks, the Examiner is earnestly requested to enter the above amendments and pass this application to issue.

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney, collect, at (415) 493-2590.

> Respectfully submitted, TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND

Date 1/8/82

BIR/gs

Bertram I. Rowland Reg. No. 20,015

-2-

			UNITED STATE: Patent and Tra Address : COMMIS Washing	S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE demark Office SIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS con. D.C. 20231	E
	SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIR	ST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	06/265,276 05/20/81	BUJARD		H 5498-35	1
	TOWNSEND & TOWNSEND STEUART STREET TOWER ONE MARKET PLAZA SAN FRANCISCO, CA 941	05 Ir in charge of your appli-		EXAMINER KEPPLINGER F: ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 172 5 DATE MAILED: IAN 0.3:1983	
	COMMISSIONER OF PA	ATENTS AND TRADEM	ARKS	a <b>a se se a companya de la companya</b>	,
,,				,	
	This application has been examined. A shortened statutory period for response Failure to respond within the period for re Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMI 1. Notice of References Cited by I 3. Notice of References Cited by .	Responsive to corr to this action is set to ex sponse will cause the app ENT(S) ARE PART OF T Examiner, PTO-892 Applicant, PTO-1449	Imunication filed on pire monthls lication to become aband (HIS ACTION: 2 Notice of 4 Notice of	III 12 82 This action is made ),	final.
	Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. Claims 1 - 29 Of the above, claims	5. [_]		are pending in the application	n. ation.
	2. Claims			have been cancelled.	
	3. Claims			art allowed	
1. Annu caaant Maay	4. gclaims 1-2-9		2	are rejected.	
	5. Claims			are objected to.	
	6. Claims	*****		are subject to restriction of election require	ment.
	7. The formal drawings filed on	-		are acceptable.	2
	8, The drawing correction request	filed on		has been approved. disapproved	d,
	9. Acknowledgment is made of the	claim for priority under	35 U.S.C. 119. The certi	fied copy has	
~	Lineri received, Linori	sson (aceived. []Des		977, 201921 TIQe	
	10, Since this application appears to cordance with the practice unde	t be in condition for allow r Ex parte Quayle, 1935	iled on vance except for formal n C.D. 31; 453 O.G. 213.	natters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in a	łc.
	11, Other				
PTOL-3	26 (rev. 7-79)	EXAMINE	R'S ACTION		

#### SERIAL NO. 265,276 ART UNIT 172

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, as failing to provide support for the claimed invention. This paragraph of 1, the statute requires that the specification shall contain a written description of the invention and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention. The recitation that the promoter "intends a promoter which...terminator "is new matter since no basis can be found for such recitation. The areas pointed out by applicants does not provide support.

-2-

Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite in the recitation of "intends" since that is not a definite limitation.

#### SERIAL NO. 265,276 ART UNIT 172

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, as failing to provide support the claimed invention. This paragraph of the statute requires that the specification shall contain a written description of the invention and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention. No basis for having the replication system intermediate the promoter and terminator can be found.

-3-

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Claims 11-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite in the recitation of "a balanced terminator". It is not clear in what way or with what it is balanced. SERIAL NO. 265,276 ART UNIT 172

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

-4-

Claims 1, 4-15, 17-22 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Casadaban et al in view of Stüber et al(v). Casadaban et al shows analysis of promoters and terminators by preparing plasmids containing ara promoter, promoters such as T5, structural lac genes, a marker for ampicillin resistance and a terminator. The sequence of the elements appears to be as claimed and the

Page 56

265,276 172 SERIAL NO. ART UNIT

-5-

terminator of the reference appears to be "strong". Suber et al teach that to prepare stable plasmids the strength of the promoter and terminator should be compatible. Thus, it would be obvious to use balanced promoter and terminator as in Stüber in the plasmids of The use various Casadaban et al for stability. structural genes and replication systems for either prokaryotes or eukaryotes would be obvious.

EKEPPLINGER:hm 703-557-3685 12/15/82

. Kepplinger

ESTHER M. KEPPLINGER PRIMARY EXAMINER

FO (R	RM I EV, (	РТС 3-7	0-89: 8)	2		U.S PA1	. DE	PAR	TMENT OF CON D TRADEMARK	MERCE	SERIAL NO.	5276	GROUP A	(RT UN 7 - 2	T	ATTA P.A NUI	CHMEN TO IPER MBER	т	5
		N	οτι		OF F	\EF	ERE	INC	ESCITED		Bu	jard &	lt al						
										U.S. PAT	ENT DOCUM	ENTS			C ( )		EU IN	<u> </u>	
*			00	ocu	MEN	TN	o.		DATE		NAME		CLA	ss	CLA	55	APPR	OPRI	ATE
	A.																		
	в										*****								
	c											· .				3			
	F																		
	G		Ŀ									· · · ·							
	н																		
	!									·			· · ·				ļ		
	J							_		ļ		*.					ļ		
	к						ļ												
						*******			F	OREIGN P	ATENT DOC	UMENTS		<b>.</b>		#			
*			0	ocu	MEN	IT N	o. — r		DATE	cou	JNTRY	NAMI	E	CLAS	śs	SUB CLAS	is s	ERTI HTS. DWG	SPEC.
	L								*					ļ					ļ
	M		ļ							,	* 2 *	С. 4.		ļ .					<u> </u>
	N							·						ļ					+
	0									·			.»			1 maa maa mada ahaa ahaa ah			
	P								••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					<u> </u>		······,			
	٥				]					<u> </u>	. A			<u> </u>					<u> </u>
		$\overline{\Lambda}$	í			· i M	EH	KE	FERENCES	(Includin	g Author, I	itle, Date, P	rentinent	Page	s, et	.C.1	·····	**	-
~	R	Ľ	40	4	da	b-a	M	eti	il, J. M	el Biol	., 138	179-21	07 (	(980	<u>).</u>				
		1	110		- ^		. Q	(	Henre	7 17	5-19-	2 (100			<u></u>				
1	S		N. X	~~~	<u> </u>	-1 6	~~;			<u> </u>	i <sup>4</sup> f	2 110	<u>- )   _</u>						-
		9	Ja	b	ai	~	l	f a	L. PNA	\$ 7	(0)	89-19	3 (1	970	7).	••••••		-	
/	ſ										./	iЩ							
	ų	<	st	üļ	rer		et	s	o Mol	ec. gei	r. Gene	t. 16	141	14  4	49 (	(19	78)		
Ŧ		S	sti	ili	n	e	ła	lo,	PNAS	USA,	18(1)	167-1	71 (1	981	),				
		N	1	·le	)	الد ا	0:			1010	2	14		*					
<u>_</u>			, ,			1	Ac	n-f iopy	of this refer	ence is no	ot being fur	nished with	this offi	ce act	tion.		. <u></u>		
			~				(S	ee I	Vanual of Pa	tent Exan	nining Proce	dure, sectio	n 707.0	5 (a).	)				

.

# TO SEPARATE, HALD TOP AND BOTTOM EDGES, SNAP-APART AND DISCARD CARBON

,

Page 58

WAR AND	λ		
TOWNSENDAND	towns	ENI	D CD
Stevart Street Tower	1420A-		DECENTER
One Market Plaza	φ <sup>i</sup>		Kenn
San Francisco CA 94105	7		, (08.)
(415) 543-96002			
			100
In reapplication of	HERMAN	J.	BUJARD et 11.
••			CBDD.
Samia) Nr. 265.2	76		<b>Q</b> .

Atty. Docket No	5490-35
3/11/8. Date	3

Serial No. **D**, 2/5

Filed 5/20/81

CLONED HIGH SIGNAL STRENGTH PROMOTERS For

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

- [] Small entity status of this application under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted.
- A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 is enclosed. []

[] No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	:	SMALL	ENTITY		OTHER SMALL	THAN A ENTITY
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA		RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL	* 30	MINUS	** 29	= 1		x5=	\$		x10=	\$ 10
INDEP.	*	MINUS	***	=		x15=	\$		x30=	\$
[] FIRS	ST PRESENTA	TION OF	MUL/TIPLE DE	P. CLAIM		+50=	\$		+100=	\$
						OTAL C. FEE	-\$	OR	TOTAL	\$ 10

\* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.

\*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

- \*\*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space. The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.
- [X] Please charge my Deposit Account No. 20-1430 in the amount of \$ 10.00 \_ A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.
- [X] The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 20-1430. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

[X] Any filing fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for the presentation of extra claims.

[] Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

**TOWNSEND & TOWNSEND** 

20,015 Reg. No.: Attorney of Record Bertram I. Rowland

BIR/gl

5490-IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE In re application of Herman Bujard et al. Examiner: E. Kepplinger Serial No. 265,276 Art Unit: 172 Filed: May 20, 1981 For: CLONED HIGH SIGNAL AMENDMENT STRENGTH PROMOTERS San Francisco, CA. 94105 Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Sir: In response to the Office Action dated January 3, 1983, please amend the above-referenced application as follows: IN THE SPECIFICATION: Page 4, line 33, cancel "onefourth" and substitute therefor -- one-fourth-- . IN THE CLAIMS: Claim 1, as amended, at line 7 before "marker" insert -- of (1) a -- and at line 8 before "a replication" insert -- (2) -- . Claim 6, line 2, change "replication system" to -marker-- . Please amend Claim 11 as follows: 11. (amended) A circular DNA sequence having in downstream order of transcription a strong T5 phage promoter, a structural gene foreign to T5 phage under transcriptional transcription a [balanced] terminator which is control of said promoter, balanced with said promoter, and a replication system. 5 04274 03/22/83 265276 20-1430 1 103 10.00CH

Please amend claim 27 as follows:	1
27. (Amended) A DNA sequence having a replication	
system recognized by a microorganism, a marker for selection	
and in downstream order of transcription, a strong promoter,	
a structural gene foreign to sand strong promoter and a	
5 n [balanced] terminator which is balanced with said promoter,	
wherein said strong promoter is characterized by substantially	
<u>complete</u> absence of [intends a promoter which inhibits]	
expression of said marker in the absence of a [balanced]	
terminator which is balanced with said promoter.	
Please add new claim 30/as follows:	
30. A DNA sequence according to claim 27, wherein said	
expression of said marker is at a frequency of less than	
about one-fourth the frequency at which the structural gene	
is expressed and said marker is under transcriptional	
5 control of said promoter.	
REMARKS	
Claims 1-29 were examined and rejected. The	
rejections were based both on 35 U.S.C. 112, first and	-
second paragraphs, and on the prior art. Each of the rejections	
will be discussed in order below.	
Claims 1, 6, and 27 have been amended. New Claim	
30 has been added. Re-examination and reconsideration of	
the Claims, as amended, are requested.	
Claims 27-29, added by the amendment dated	
November 8, 1982, were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first	
paragraph, as lacking support in the specification. Specifically,	
the rejection states that the definition of "strong" promoter	
as one "which inhibits expression of said marker in the	
absence of a balanced terminator" lacks support. Applicants	
traverse this rejection. At page 1, lines 26-30, it is	

vector, prevent expression of markers on the vector. Further support is found at page 2, line 37 through page 3, line 2 where it is disclosed that inclusion of a balanced terminator allows expression of the marker. Based on this disclosure, one skilled in the art could identify "strong" promoters based on their ability to inhibit expression of markers on vectors which lack balanced terminators. Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

As to the rejection of Claims 27-29 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, the definition of "strong" promoter has been amended in Claim 27 to avoid any possible ambiguity in the language.

Claims 1-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as lacking sufficient support in the specification. In particular, the Examiner stated that there was no basis for claiming that the replication system was "intermediate" the promoter and terminator.

Applicants believe that this rejection results from a misunderstanding of the intended coverage of claim 1. Claim 1 is directed specifically at a linear DNA segment, such as that described on page 4, line 4 through page 5, line 28 of the specification. Such a linear segment includes a promoter and a terminator adjacent its opposite ends. The promoter in one orientation (the replication system being present on the linear segment) is oriented to define a direction of transcription away from the terminator so that a structural gene of interest may be joined to each end of the linear segment, whereby the gene will be under the regulatory control of the promoter. Thus, the portion of the segment "intermediate" the promoter and terminator in the linear sequence will not be under the regulatory control of the promoter in the constructed plasmid. This region provides a convenient region for carrying a marker for

Page 62

selection or a replication system, or both. Such a DNA segment is particularly useful for constructing cloning vehicles for a wide variety of structural genes. Where the marker is present, the promoter may be in either direction, where the structural gene of interest may be introduced to be in tandem with the marker or together with a replication system may serve to join the two ends.

The construct and its use may be formulized as follows:

(b) -P-M-T-

(2) -P-R-T-

where P is the promoter and the arrow indicates the direction of transcription;

T is a terminator; and

R is the replication system. When the ends are joined to form a plasmid, the following constructions can be made; where G is the structural gene of interest:

from (1) (a)  $P-G-M-T_{-1}$ 

from (1) (b) C-P-M-T

from (2) \_\_\_\_\_\_

Claim 1 has been amended to more clearly define the linear DNA sequence as just described. Claim 6 has been amended to conform with claim 1, as amended. Applicants submit that the rejection of claims 1-10 under 35 U.S.C. 112 has been overcome.

M is a marker;

Claims 11-29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in the recitation of the term "a balanced terminator."

Claims 11 and 27 have been amended to recite "a terminator which is balanced with the promoter" rather than a "balanced terminator." Such terminators are clearly defined in the specification. At page 4, lines 31-34, it is stated that the terminator is balanced when expression of a downstream marker occurs with a frequency not greater than about one-fourth of the expression of the gene upstream from the terminator. Moreover, the method described from page 10, line 37 through page 11, line 31 of the specification is directed specifically at a technique for selecting balanced promoter/terminator pairs. Applicants submit that Claims 11 and 27 now described the nature of the "balanced" terminator with sufficient particularity.

Claims 12-22 and 28-29 dependent on Claims 11 and 27, also avoid the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections for the reasons just set forth.

Applicants do not understand the rejection of Claims 23-26 based on the recitation of "a balanced terminator." None of said claims 23-26 employ that language. Since no other rejections were stated relative to claims 23-26, applicants believe that claims 23-26 should now be in condition for allowance.

For the above reasons, applicants submit that the formal rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 have been overcome. The prior art rejections will now be discussed.

Before discussing the prior art rejections and cited references in detail, however, a brief explanation of

the present invention is in order. The present invention provides balanced regulatory systems capable of strong expression of a wide variety of structural and other genes. The regulatory systems are characterized by a strong promoter in conjunction with a strong (balanced) terminator. In particular, the present invention is able to utilize the strong promoters of bacteriophage T5 which have heretofore not been useful in constructing vectors for expression of foreign genes. The present invention successfully uses such promoters by assuring that the strong promoters are matched with a balanced terminator, as defined in the specification. In the absence of a balanced terminator, expression of the genes on the vector is not observed.

The present invention relies on a novel method for selecting balanced terminators to allow for construction of the claimed linear segments and plasmids. The method is best described beginning at the end of page 10 of the specification and continuing on page 11. After selecting a promoter or terminator having a known activity, e.g. a strong promoter such as the T5 promoter, a plasmid can be constructed having a first marker upstream of the terminator and a second marker immediately downstream. By determining the relative proportion of expresssion of the first and second markers, the relative strength of the promoter and terminator can be. assessed. Using a known strong promoter, a weak terminator results in diminished expression of both gene markers. A strong terminator, however, provides a much higher relative expression of the gene marker upstream of the terminator compared to that downstream of the terminator.

None of the prior art cited by the Examiner either teaches or suggests such a method for selecting balanced

promoter/terminator pairs or the resulting DNA sequences which utilize such pairs.

Casadaban and Cohen, the primary reference, teaches a method for identifying DNA fragments which include either promoters or terminators, but which does not determine their relative strengths. The prior art method relies on inserting the DNA fragments into a construct comprising the <u>ara</u> promoter and the <u>lac</u> structural gene on a plasmid. The <u>ara</u> promoter is inducible, and when it is turned on, the DNA construct can be used to determine whether the inserted DNA segment acts as a terminator. Expression of the <u>lac</u> gene is greatly reduced if the inserted fragment includes a terminator. When the <u>ara</u> promoter is turned off, the DNA construct can be used to determine if the inserted segment acts as a promoter. Since the <u>ara</u> promoter is inactive, expression of the <u>lac</u> gene will be observed only if the inserted fragment includes a promoter.

The Examiner apparently relies on page 191 of the article where it is disclosed that a <u>HindIII</u> fragment of bacteriophage T5, when inserted into the cloning vehicle just described, provides expression of the <u>lac</u> gene when the <u>ara</u> promoter is turned off. While the particular fragments inserted may have included the strong "early" promoters of the T5 phage (as claimed herein), at least some of the inserted fragments would have included the T5 terminator as well as the promoter, and expression of the <u>lac</u> gene could have resulted from read through past the T5 terminator. <u>HindIII</u> digestion of T5 results in fragments ranging from 2.0kb to about 17.2kb (<u>See</u> von Gabian and Bujard, Table 1), which fragments are sufficiently large to include both the promoter and terminator. The fragments inserted in the

vector pLBU3 in the Experimental section of the present invention, however, had an average length of about 200 base pairs and could not have included both a promoter and matched terminator.

Regardless of the origin of the strong terminator, the article of Casadaban and Cohen nowhere teaches the criticality of providing a terminator which is balanced with the strong promoter, e.g. the T5 promoter. In fact, the observed expression of the <u>lac</u> gene would suggest to one skilled in the art that a balanced terminator is unnecessary since Casadaban and Cohen took no steps to assure its presence. As explained above, such expression based on the above results and their interpretation was most likely the fortuitous result of simultaneously introducting a T5 terminator on the same fragment as the promoter, which allowed read through expression of the <u>lac</u> gene.

The Examiner relies on the article by Stuber and Bujard to teach the inclusion of a balanced terminator in the DNA sequences of Casadaban and Cohen. The basis for this teaching, however, is a single sentence at the end of the article which states that the authors "have strong indications that for plasmid stability the signal strength of the promoters and terminators have to be compatible." There is no indication of what is meant by "compatible," nor is there any suggestion of how such compatibility might be assessed. Applicants submit that such a vague suggestion would hardly teach one skilled in the art how to construct the DNA sequences and plasmids of the present invention where suitable promoters and terminators may be indentified by a specific procedure. The statement is an intuitive prognostication, an invitation to research, not a basis for rejection.

As described above, Claim 1 has been amended to more clearly set forth the linear DNA sequence of the present invention. The sequence comprises a strong T5 promoter at one end and a terminator which balanced with the T5 promoter at the other end. Either an origin of replication or a marker for selection is included intermediate the promoter and terminator. This linear DNA sequence is particularly useful for providing the strong expression of a wide variety of structural genes compatible with the T5 promoter. In the typical case where the sequence includes both a marker and an origin of replication, it is necessary only to insert the structural gene in the proper orientation to obtain the expression vector.

Applicants submit that the structure of Claim 1, as amended, is nowhere taught or suggested by the cited prior art. Casadaban and Cohen do not consider DNA fragments where the T5 promoter is located at one end and there is a marker and/or a replication system on the fragment. More importantly, Casadaban and Cohen do not teach the criticality of providing a balanced terminator. Even when combined with the suggestion of Stuber and Bujard, there is no enabling disclosure as to how one would select such a terminator and provide the DNA structure as claimed, nor is there any reason to prepare the claimed fragment.

Claims 2-10, dependent on Claim 1, are also allowable for the reasons just set forth.

Claim 11 is directed at a circular DNA sequence including in order of transcription, a strong T5 promoter, a structural gene foreign to the T5 phage, a terminator which is balanced with the promoter, and a replication system. Claim 11 has been amended to provide that the structured gene is under transcriptional control of the strong T5 promoter. For the reasons given earlier, the structure taught by Casadaban and Cohen is clearly excluded.

Page 68

Claims 12-22, dependent on claim 11, are also allowable for the reasons just set forth.

Claim 23 is directed at the method for determining the strength of a promoter as described above. These claims were not rejected based on the art. Moreover, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 appears inapposite. For these reasons, applicants assume that claims 23-26 are now in condition for allowance.

Finally, claim 27 is directed at a DNA sequence including a strong promoter (not limited to the strong T5 promoters) and a balanced terminator. Applicants submit they are entitled to such patent protection based on the advances they have made over the prior art. Although others may have suggested that there might be a need for providing balanced promoter/terminator pairs, applicants herein are the first to provide a method for matching a balanced terminator with any desired strong promoter in order to provide an expression vector capable of enhanced expression of an inserted structural gene, as well as establishing that such need exists.

New claim 30 has been added to provide additional description of the balance between the terminator and the promoter. Support for the amendment is found on page 4, lines 30-35 of the specification.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, the Examiner is earnestly requested to withdraw the rejections and pass this application to issue.

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application,

the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney at (415) 493-2590.

Respectfully submitted,

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND

Date:

By Bertram I. Rowland Reg. No. 20,015

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Potents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. on <u>11983</u> (Date of Deposit)

Date 3/11/83

183 By Name Bertram I. Rowland Reg. No. 20,015



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, O.C. 20231

. .,

>.

	SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	06/265,27	6 05/20/81	BUJARD	Н	5490-35
			5 · · ·		
	TOWNSEND	& TOWNSEND	·		EXAMINER
	STEUART S	TREET TOWER		KEPPLI	NGER, E
	SAN FRANC	ISCO, CA 94	105	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			······································	17	4 7
				DATE MULTO	1
				DATE MAILED:	06720783
	This is a communicatio	in from the examiner in	a charge of your application.		
	COM	MISSIONER OF PATE	ENTS AND TRADEMARKS		
-					
This :	application has been exa	mined Resp	onsive to communication filed on $3/14/8$	<u>'3</u> ∏This a	ction is made final.
A shorten	ed statutory period for re	soonse to this action i	s set to expire 3 month(s).	ovs from the date o	f this letter.
Failure to	respond within the period	d for response will car	use the application to become abandoned, 3	5 U.S.C. 133	
Part I	THE FOLLOWING AT	TACHMENT(S) ARE P	ANT OF THIS ACTION:	t Drawing, PTO-94	8.
L [	I Notice of References ( Notice of Art Cited by	Applicant, PTO-1449	4. Notice of inform	al Patent Applicat	ion, Form PTO-152
5.	] Information on How to	Effect Drawing Change	es, PTO-1474 6.		
•••••	-	•			
Part II	SUMMARY OF ACTION	1	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1.	Claims 1 -	-30		are pe	nding in the application.
•• •	1			· ·	
	Of the above, o	laims	<b>*</b>	are wi	thdrawn from consideration.
		2		have t	een cancelled.
2.					
3.	] Claims			are al	lowed.
يېسىر.		30			iected.
4. 📋	Claims		۰.	-	
s. 🗀	] Claims			are ob	jected to.
			àré s	ubject to restrictio	n or election requirement.
6	j Claims				
7.	This application has I	xeen filed with informa	I drawings which are acceptable for examination	on purposes until s	ich time as allowable subject
	matter is indicated.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a this Office action	3
· · · · <b>8.</b> []	Allowable subject mai	ter having been indica	ited, formal drawings are required in response t	o ans once actor	*• *
9.	The corrected or subs	titute drawings have b	een received on T	hese drawings are	acceptable;
	not acceptable (s	ee explanation).			
			the time and additional or substitute shee	atis) of drawings, f	iled on
10, [	The proposed drav	approved by the exami	iner. disapproved by the examiner (see exp	lanation).	
	nga maret ocen L	**************************************			منتقد من المراجع المراجع المراجع الم
1 <b>1.</b> [	The proposed drawing	correction, filed	, has been approved	esponsibility to a	a (see explanation). noweve insure that the drawings are
	the Patent and Trade	mark Office no longer i	accordance with the instructions set forth on	the attached lette	"INFORMATION ON HOW
	EFFECT DRAWING	CHANGES", PTO-1474			~
			المراجع	hae The hear ree	eived not been received
12.	Acknowledgment is m	ade of the claim for pr	nority under 35 U.S.C. 119, The certified copy	nas L Deen rec	array to be been received
	been filed in par	ent application, serial	no; filed on		
13. [	Since this application	n appears to be in conc	dition for allowance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to t	he merits is closed in
*** <u>(</u>	accordance with the	practice under Ex parte	e Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
14. [	Other		<b>~</b> **		
<b></b>					

Serial No. 265,276 Art Unit 172

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, as failing to provide support for the invention as now claimed. This paragraph of the statute requires that the specification shall contain a written description of the invention and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention. The invention that the "promoter is characterized by ... in the absence of a termination which is balanced with said promoter" is not supported by the specification. Applicants point to portions of the specification as basis. Adequate support is not found there, however, since the components of the first vector are not made clear nor is the reason for lack of expression of the marker.

-2-

Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Claims 1-22 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject
matter which the applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite in the recitation of a terminator which is "balanced with said promoter". It is not clear in what way they are balanced. Recitation that they are balanced to allow a particular amount of marker transcribed would obviate the rejection. For example, the recitation on page 4, lines 31-35 would be sufficient.

-3-

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, as failing to provide support for the claimed invention. This paragraph of the statute requires that the specification shall contain a written description of the invention and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention. There is no basis for having the replication system intermediate the promoter and terminator on a linear piece of DNA. Applicant's arguments have been noted but the issue can best be resolved by applicants pointing out

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure. This paragraph of the statute requires that the specification shall contain a written description of the invention and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention. The specification does not disclose what terminators may be utilized to balance the promoter or what terminators of known strength may be used. It would require an undue amount of experimentation for one skilled in the art to determine which terminators would function in the invention. It appears. that only the terminator of the coliphage fd genome is disclosed which is not basis for the broad recitation.

-4-

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite in the recitation of "terminator". It is clear what they are a terminator of. It is a transcription terminator?

-5-

Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite in that they do not clearly recite where the promoter is inserted into the linear DNA which is essential to the invention.

Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. The claims are confusing since the preamble recites determination of the strength of a promoter but no positive determination step is recited.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an adequate written description of the invention. This paragraph of the statute requires that the specification shall contain a written description of the invention and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is

most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention. The specification requires a particular sequence of components for the invention to function and such order must be clearly recited. The claims only limit the position of the gene.

-6-

Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure. This paragraph of the statute requires that the specification shall contain a written description of the invention and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention. The specification discloses only T5 phage strong promoters and it would require an undue amount of experimentation for one skilled in the art to determine other promoters which could be utilized.

Claims 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure. This paragraph of the statute requires that the specification shall contain a written description of the invention and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention. Although the specification mentions a replication system for eukaryotes, no example or specific disclosures of such are given and the specification is therefore non-enabling for such recitation. It would require an undue amount of experimentation to discover systems and ways of placing such components into a vector for insertion in a eukaryotic system.

-7-

Claims 10, 13-17, 19, 21, 22 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure. This paragraph of the statute requires that the specification shall contain a written description

of the invention and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention. The specification does not adequately show determining the strength of a promoter as claimed. It is not clear that one skilled in the art could perform the invention as claimed. The disclosure does not show measuring unknown promoters of assay their strength.

Claims 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the . differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the

-8-

> time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

-9-

Claims 11-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Casabadan et al. Casabadan et al show analysis of promoters and terminators by preparing plasmids containing promoters (ara or T5), structural lac genes, a marker for ampicillin resistance and a terminator in a sequence as claimed. The terminator in a sequence as claimed. The terminator appears to be "balanced" with the promoter since the gene and marker are expressed. The replacement of one promoter for another in Casadaban et al reads on the method of claims 23-26.

Applicants urge that Casadaban et al nowhere teaches the criticality of providing a terminator which is balanced with the strong promoter. The use of such ambiguous language is insufficient to establish a difference over the reference.

Applicants urge that the circular DNA of claim 11 distinguishes over Casadaban et al. Applicants use unclear terms at their point of novelty, however and thus no difference is seen.

Applicant urge that no prior art shows a strong promoter and balanced terminator as in claim 27. The claims do not distinguish over Casadaban et al, however, by reciting such relative and indefinite terms as "strong" and "balanced".

KEPPLINGER:sab
(703)557-3032
6-2-83

the M. Kepplinger

ESTHER M. KEPPLINGER PRIMARY EXAMINER

-10-

5490-25 ATES, PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE In re application of Hermann J. Bujard et al. Examiner: E. Kepplinger Serial No. 265,276 Art Unit: 174 Filed: May 20, 1981 For: CLONED HIGH SIGNAL AMENDMENT STRENGTH PROMOTERS San Francisco, CA 94105 Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Sir: In response to the Office Action of June 20, 1983, please make the following amendments: IN THE CLAIMS: (thride amended) A linear DNA sequence 1. having proximal to one end a strong T5 phage promoter, proximal to the other and a strong transcriptional terminator balanced with said strong T5 promoter, and having 5 intermediate said promoter and terminator at least one of (1) a marker for selection adjacent to said terminator or (2) a replication system foreign to T5, wherein the direction of said promoter is away from said terminator and said marker is expressed at a frequency of less than about 10 one-fourth the frequency of a structural gene under the transcriptional control of said promoter and bridging said linear DNA sequence to provide a circular DNA sequence. Claim 11, line 4, after "promoter, a" insert Latranscriptional-4.

s 15 pt. H (amended) A circular DNA sequence according H to any of Claims 11, 12 or 13, Ahaving a marker for selection 112 downstream from said terminator, wherein said marker is expressed at a frequency of less than about one-fourth the 5 frequency of which the structural gene is expressed and is under transcriptional control of said promoter. (amended) A method for determining the strength of a promoter which comprises: inserting said promoter into a linear DNA sequence having in the downstream direction for expression proximal 5 to one end: [in the downstream direction for transcription] a gene allowing for detection of expression; a transcriptional terminator of known strength; a marker allowing for determination of expression; and a replication system recognized by a predetermined host; whereby a 10 circular DNA sequence is obtained; transforming said host with said circular DNA sequence; [and] growing said host in nutrient medium under conditions allowing for determination of the extent of 15 expression of said gene and said marker; and determining the strength of said promoter is determined by the relative degree of transcription of said gene and said marker. 27. (twice \amended) A DNA sequence having a

Di

5

replication system recognized by a microorganism, a marker for selection and in downstream order of transcription, a strong promoter, a structural gene foreign to said strong promoter and a <u>transcriptional</u> terminator which is balanced

Page 82

with said promoter, wherein said strong promoter is characterized by substantially complete absence of expression of said marker in the absence of a terminator, and is at a frequency of less than about one-fourth the frequency at which the structural gene is expressed and said marker is under transcriptional control of said promoter.

Cancel Claim 30.

## REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, the Examiner is earnestly requested to withdraw the rejections, allow Claims 1-29, the only claims under consideration, and pass this application to issue.

A sincere effort has been made to avoid the rejections under 35 USC 112. However, it appears that applicants' discussion in the previous response has been inadequate to explain the subject invention. As the Examiner is aware, the promoter is the site for binding of RNA polymerase. Downstream from the promoter sequence is the Pribnow box, which appears to designate a base downstream from the Pribnow box for transcriptional initiation. The theory of strong and weak promoters appears to involve the binding efficiency of RNA polymerase to the promoter site. By contrast, the terminator is usually distant from the promoter, downstream from the structural gene, and involves an inverted repeat, so that a single strand can bind to itself to provide for self-hybridization and looping out. The terminator structure may have additional complexities. Its function is to stop the RNA

Page 83

pil

10

polymerase, so that transcription ends at a site upstream from the terminator.

It is not apparent that a promoter acts like a slingshot. Why the nature of the promoter should affect the terminator efficiency is not at all clear. However, it was found that where one had a strong promoter, such as a T5 phage promoter, and used a terminator from a different source, which terminator turned out to be a "weak" terminator, no expression of a marker was observed. Therefore, one could not select for a plasmid in which this construct existed.

For whatever reason, in the absence of a balanced terminator, a transcript or messenger RNA capable of translation was not obtained. This observation was first made by the subject inventors. (This will be discussed subsequently.) Therefore, as a first aspect of patentability, the subject inventors were the first to recognize the existence of the problem. Secondly, because one does not obtain transcription, there would appear to be no obvious reason. Inoperability can be due from a wide variety of sources. It was the subject inventors' insight to realize that the problem might lie with the terminator. Therefore, by changing the 3'-non-coding end of the sequence to include a strong terminator, coupled with a strong promoter, expression of the marker was now achieveable. Based on this observation and the observation that a terminator could be leaky, the subject inventors then conceived of the idea that by placing a marker downstream from the terminator, one could obtain transcription of a structural gene at a high level of transcription and transcription of a marker at a substantially reduced level.

In many situations this is desirable, since the marker and structural gene can be produced concomitantly and the desired product produced at a much higher level than the marker.

Applicants, who are respected academicians at recognized universities, state on page 1, lines 26ff, "It was found that when introducing a strong promoter into a vector and employing the resulting plasmid for transformation, one could not select transformants based on expression of markers which allowed for selection." This is their observation and it is believed that in the absence of reasons for the Examiner to doubt such observation, the Examiner should take the observation at face value. Furthermore, in the Experimental section, that part beginning on page 16, line 27, the manner of using the subject invention to analyze for strong promoters is described. The plasmid pLBU3 was prepared, having a structural gene downstream from a restriction site into which a promoter could be inserted, which structural gene complemented an auxotrophic host, which lacked B-gal activity. The plasmid had the fd terminator and downstream from the fd terminator, a tet gene, which provided tetracycline resistance. The T5 phage was digested with two endonucleases and the various fragments screened for promoters. One plasmid pGBU207 (page 17, line 25) was chosen for further analysis. Finally, it is indicated that the plasmid pGPU207 was shown to have a promoter which appeared to be different from P25 and P26, described previously, but had similar signal strength.

It is submitted that Claims 27-29 are clearly supported by the specification. There is no reason to doubt

the statement made by the inventors, nor is it considered necessary that applicants provide a declaration or further evidence of the correctness of their statement based on their observations. For the reasons given above, the Examiner is earnestly requested to withdraw the rejection of Claims 27-29.

Claim 1 has been amended, as well as other claims, to include the limitation which the Examiner suggested, support for which appears on page 4, lines 31-35. The additional amendment to Claim 1 finds support on page 4, lines 4-10 and 18-20.

There is the additional amendment, which indicates that the promoter is directed away from the terminator in the linear DNA. The fact that the promoter is directed away from the terminator and the linear segment follows from the discussion beginning at page 4, line 13. Since the promoter is directed toward the terminator "when the signal sequences are joined" intends that the structural gene which serves to join the promoter and balanced terminator is in the direction of transcription. Since the language is clearly inherent in the language of the specification, it is well established that support for language in the claim may be supplied by its inherency in the specification.

For better understanding of the above discussion, the Examiner's attention is directed to the Figure. Please consider the structural of the plasmid pLBU1. In that plasmid, the promoter, indicated by the black box, is directed toward the terminator, indicated by the black diamond. If one now cleaved the plasmid at the <u>HindIII</u> site intermediate the promoter and the terminator, the promoter

Page 86

б

would now be directed away from the terminator on the linear DNA segment.

The rejection of Claims 1-22 and 27-30 under 35 USC 112 has been avoided by amendment as suggested by the Examiner.

The rejection of Claims 1-10 under 35 USC 112 for failing to support having a replication system intermediate the promoter and terminator is respectfully traversed. The Examiner's attention is once again directed to the Figure and the plasmid pLBU1. Again, let us assume that the <u>HindIII site</u> is cleaved. Based on the description of the plasmid, the replication system is now intermediate the promoter and terminator. Therefore, in the linear DNA segment, all that is indicated is that the linear DNA segment provides a convenient portable DNA sequence which has all the necessary elements for controlled expression of a structural gene.

There is clearly language in support of such sequence on page 4, lines 4-10. In that paragraph, the linear DNA sequence is indicated as being useful to be bridged by a structural gene which results in a plasmid. A plasmid is an extrachromosomal element capable of independent replication. On page 5, line 18, it is stated that, "The above DNA sequence construct will have a replicating system. . . ." Furthermore, on page 12, line 24, a vector is described having a competent replication system and a unique restriction site between a T5 promoter and a terminator. Upon cleavage at the unique restriction site, the result would be a linear DNA fragment having a competent replication system intermediate the promoter and terminator. As already discussed, the Figure also supports

this construction. It is therefore submitted, that applicants have ample support for this structure.

The rejection of Claims 1-30 under 35 USC 112 is respectfully traversed. The rejection is based on the allegation that it would require undue experimentation to determine other terminators. First, guite clearly, the terminators of the T5 phage could be used, since it is known that the T5 phage is in fact capable of replication. Secondly, undue experimentation requires a very large class without any direction as to selection. That is not true in the present situation. Strong promoters will be involved with structural genes which are required in relatively high proportions for an organism. Therefore, one would look to those structural genes and their transcriptional regulatory sequences for strong promoters and strong terminators. Furthermore, the subject invention provides for an easy way to screen these regulatory sequences. Under the circumstances, it is submitted that it is not undue experimentation to provide for screening for a promoter, where applicants have shown a number of different strong promoters.

Under the applicable law as stated in <u>Tabuchi et</u> <u>al. v. Nubel et al.</u>, 194 USPQ 521 (CCPA 1977), reasonable experimentation is permitted. So long as guidelines are provided, and one can expect success within a reasonable class, this degree of experimentation is permissible. It is submitted that the law recognizes the level of experimentation provided for in the subject application as being acceptable.

The rejection of Claims 1-30 under 35 USC 112 for failing to define the terminator as a transcriptional determinator has been avoided.

The rejection of Claims 23-26 under 35 USC 112 is respectfully traversed. This rejection is based on the failure to indicate where the promoter is inserted. It would appear that the Examiner has overlooked the limitation at the end of the second paragraph which indicates that a circular DNA sequence is obtained. Thus, the insertion acts as a bridge and it therefore inserted between the two ends of the linear DNA. The Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

The next rejection is of Claims 23-26 under 35 USC 112. This rejection is based on the failure to specify the specific determination step. Claim 23 has been amended to provide the determination step. Support for the new language may be found on page 11, lines 16-19. The rejection having been avoided, the Examiner is earnestly requested to withdraw this rejection.

The next rejection is of Claims 23-26 for failure to indicate the particular sequence of components. The promoter component has already been discussed as to its position. The amendment of Claim 23 has provided the original intention ensuring that the proper order is now provided. Applicants thank the Examiner for bringing the indefiniteness to applicants' attorney's attention.

The rejection of Claims 27-30 under 35 USC 112 because of undue experimentation is respectfully traversed. Applicants are the first to recognize the phenomenon which is provided for in Claim 27, namely the requirement of a balanced terminator with a strong promoter. Furthermore,

applicants now provide for a marker for selection which is downstream from the terminator, which construction is novel and has utility, as has been discussed above. The fact that applicants have used the strongest known prokaryotic promoters does not mean that this invention should be limited to the specific promoters actually exemplified by applicants. As already indicated, strong promoters are known, since they are almost always present in association with products which are expressed in large amounts or high rates by a host. Therefore, there are a large number of promoters which can be used, particularly viral promoters, which are in many cases known to be strong.

The Examiner's rejection of eukaryotic replication systems in Claims 10, 13-17, 19, 21, 22 and 29 is respectfully traversed. The Examiner is well aware that working exemplification is not required. The Examiner has given no reason other than the absence of such working exemplification to reject the subject claims. In the absence of a basis for believing the subject invention is inoperative in eukaryotes, the Examiner is earnestly requested to withdraw this rejection.

The rejection of Claims 23-25 for not adequately showing how to determine strength of the promoter is traversed for the reasons already discussed. Specifically, applicants did exactly that with T5 phage promoters. The Examiner is therefore respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

The next rejection appears to be to Claims 11-30, but applicants will treat this rejection as Claims 1-30 based on 35 USC 103, over Casadaban and Cohen. I am sure it does not escape the Examiner's notice, that one of the

coinventors of the subject application is a coauthor of that article. Furthermore, another coinventor Bujard, also appears prominently in the article. If one looks at the discussion on page 194, certain things are evident. On an insertion of T5 phage fragments into the construction described in the article, only one fragment was found to be useful. Of the three hybrid plasmids, the authors state:

> "All three hybrid plasmids contained identical <u>HindIII-generated fragments</u>, one of which was the same size as the vector plasmid. . . and the other was the size of T5 <u>HindIII</u> fragment number 11 or 12, which were not resolved in our gels of <u>HindIII-cleaved T5 DNA</u>. Since no other T5 fragment capable of promoter activity was isolated in three separate experiments, it seems likely that either the other fragments lack a promoter in the appropriate relationship to a <u>HindIII</u> cleavage site to accomplish expression of <u>lac</u>, or that the presence of such a fragment from the virulent T5 phage is lethal to non-infected E. coli."

It would appear that it was this observation that is the basis for the statement on page 1 of the subject application. Namely, where one uses a strong promoter, one does not observe transcription. The strong promoter interferes with transcription of the marker, so that expression is not observed. In contrast, when the promoter and terminator are balanced, transcription is obtained and expression of the <u>lac</u> gene is observed. It should be further noted, that the correct explanation was not suggested in this article.

What conclusions can one come to? First, the promoter which was observed from T5 is unlikely and based on the present invention, cannot be a strong promoter. The Examiner of course realizes that there must be a large number of promoters in T5 phage, since one speaks of promoters 25 and 26. Under the circumstances, since the promoter observed by Casadaban and Cohen was successful in providing expression, based on the present invention, it cannot be termed a strong promoter. The fact is, that the other promoters did not allow for expression and it is these promoters, such as  $P_{25}$  and  $P_{26}$ , and the promoter of the subject invention, which prevented transcription. It seems very unlikely in view of the results of the digestion of T5 DNA with <u>HaeIII and AluI</u>, which produced a large number of promoters, that the observation in the article of failure to obtain transcription other than with a <u>single</u> promoter, is based on the fact that <u>HindIII</u> is somehow special and inhibits all the other promoters from being in the right position to provide for transcription.

Based on the above discussion, it is evident that Casadaban and Cohen do not suggest the subject invention, rather they lead away from it. Their observation of an early T5 promoter (see page 194), suggests that the promoter is not a strong one as defined by the subject application and therefore does not suggest the subject invention. Since all the claims in the subject application are concerned with strong promoters and it was only by the observation that a strong promoter must be coupled with a balanced terminator, that the strong promoters were isolated and characterized, it is believed that the rejection over the prior art should be withdrawn.

So far as the unclear language, admittedly the claims are not in the mathematical precision that applicants would like. However, the subject invention does not readily lend itself to such mathematical precision. Rather, it is based on an observation that in certain situations where a promoter is introduced into a vector, which has a terminator not normally associated with the promoter, transcription is

not observed. However, where one provides for a terminator which is balanced with the promoter and the promoter is a strong one, termination is observed. The invention being based on this observation, one must use terminology such as strong and weak, balanced and unbalanced. Based on the application disclosure and the specific description of strong terminators and strong promoters, it is believed that the language is sufficiently clear and definite to allow a person skilled in the art to understand the invention and what is claimed. Furthermore, to the extent that the claims require a marker downstream from the terminator which is under the transcriptional control of the promoter upstream from the terminator, these constructions are novel and provide for a novel result. So far as a means for measuring strength of promoters, the fact that promoters and terminators needed to be balanced was not known until the subject invention. The subject invention provides for a means for measuring balanced terminators and promoters, as well as the strength of the promoter and terminator, and the inventors should be allowed to claim this discovery.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, the application is considered in good and proper form for allowance, and the Examiner is earnestly requested to withdraw the rejections and pass this application to issue.

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject

application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (415) 493-2590.

Respectfully submitted,

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND

9/20/83 Date

By an c Bertram I. Rowland Reg. No. 20,015

BIR/gs

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on  $\frac{1}{230}$ 

(Date of Deposit)

FOWNSEND & TOWNSEND Date 9/20/83 B a

Bertram I. Rowland Reg. No. 20,015

UNITED S Patent al Address :	STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE nd Trademark Office COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231
SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED AP	PLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
04 265:276 05720781 BUJARD	H 5490-35
TELEISENCE AND	$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{1 +$
CUTE MARKET FLAZA	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
名A是《我AMTERDIA D. 2 目標》	173 Q
	DATE MAILED:
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.	
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 7 shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), iture to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become aband	03ys from the date of this letter.         03ys from the date of this letter.         03ys from the date of this letter.
rt I       THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:         1.       Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.       2 Notice         3.       Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449       4.       Notice         5.       Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474       6.	e re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. e of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152
NII SUMMARY OF ACTION	
1. Claims $1-29$	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims	have been cancelled.
	are allowed.
3. Claims	
4. Claims Cl	are rejected.
5. Claims	are objected to.
6. Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
<ol> <li>This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for matter is indicated.</li> </ol>	examination purposes until such time as allowable subject
8. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in	response to this Office action.
<ul> <li>The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on</li> <li>not acceptable (see explanation).</li> </ul>	These drawings are 🔄 acceptable;
10. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or subs has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner.	titute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been, has been, has been, has been, has been, has been, the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now a corrected. Corrections MUST be effected in accordance with the instructions at EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.	approved. disapproved (see explanation). However, pplicant's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are at forth on the attached letter "INFORMATION ON HOW TO
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The cer	tified copy has been received not been received
<ul> <li>been filed in parent application, serial no.</li> <li>13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formation appears to be in condition.</li> </ul>	filed on I matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in
accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 2	13.

•

· · ·

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite and confusing in the recitation of "wherein the direction of said promoter is away from said terminator", "to provide a circular DNA sequence" and "a structural gene". It is not clear to what the direction refers. The phrase is unclear. The claims are unclear because they recite "a linear DNA sequence" and "to provide a circular DNA sequence. What is being claimed--linear or circular? The reference to a structural gene is unclear since none is positively recited.

2

Claims 11-16 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. These claims are indefinite in the recitation of a terminator which is "balanced with said promoter". The suggested amendment was made to other claims but not the recited claims.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure. The specification does not

disclose what terminators may be utilized to balance the promoter or what terminators of known strength may be used. It would require an undue amount of experimentation to determine what terminators would function. Applicants urge that the terminators of the T5 phage could be used. Which terminators? Applicants later urge that there are many promoters some of which are not strong. Therefore, there must be many terminators to balance the promoters. It would require an undue amount of experimentation to determine which terminators to utilize. This paragraph of the statute requires that the specification shall contain a written description of the invention and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3

Applicants urge that some experimentation is permitted and undue experimentation requires a very large class without any direction as to selection since strong promoters are involved with structural genes required in high proportions. Such direction does not appear to be given in the specification but even if it

Page 97

is, such direction still involves a large class of possibilities which is outside the realm of permitted experimentation.

4

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure. The specification is non-enabling for promoters other that T5 phage. This paragraph of the statute requires that the specification shall contain a written description of the invention and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Applicant urges that other promoters such as viral promoters could be used. Such examples are not given in the specification and it would require an undue amount of experimentation to determine other promoters which could be used.

Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

.5

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1,86S.Ct. 684, 15 L.Ed. 2nd 545 (1966) 148 USPQ 459, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103 are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art;
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue; and

Page 99

> Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

6

Claims 11-16, 18-22 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Casabadan et al for reasons of record. Applicants urge that the promoter of Casabadan et al, based on the present invention, cannot be a strong promoter since successful expression occurred. Such a relative term does not define a difference. Moreover, the fact that expression occurred may be based on the presence of "a balanced terminator". Applicants have not demonstrated a difference in the promoters particularly since the language used to distinguish is "strong" which is a relative term.

Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite in the recitation of "is at a frequency...promoter". It is not clear what is at said frequency.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection Accordingly, <u>THIS ACTION IS MADE</u> <u>FINAL</u>. See MPEP 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR

1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire three months from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within two months of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the three-month shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Kepplinger at telephone number 703-557-2319.

E.Kepplinger/pmj 703-557-2319 12-23-83

Kepplinger

ESTHER M. KEPPLINGER PRIMARY EXAMINER



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED APP	LICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
265276 51	20/81	Bujand	<u></u>	5490-35
-	1	V	n [	EXAMINER
			SK	, l'a
			ART UNI	PAPER NUMBER
			174	10
			DATE MAILED	;
	EX	AMINER INTERVIEW SUMMAR	Y RECORD	
All participants (applicant, applica	int's representativ	re, PTO personnel):		
1) Mr. Bertre	m Rowl	land (3)		
2)		(4)	s.	,
2/1/0	u			
Date of Interview 3/6/8	<u> </u>			
Type: 🗆 Telephonic 🛛 🕞 Perso	onal (copy is give	n to 🗆 applicant 🖻 applicant's repr	resentative).	
while though or demonstration of	onducted:			
Contrast and and an argument of a				
		•		
Agreement 🛛 was reached with	respect to some o	or all of the claims in question. $\Box$ we	as not reached.	
Thime discussed all				10
(19µ113 (130,03560)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
dentification of prior art discusse	d: all			
Description of the general nature of	of what was agree	d to if an agreement was reached, or any	y other comments: Mr	. Rowland will
limit the claims	toTSp	have promoters an	I reargue	evaluement of
terminators. N	sagree	ment. Will medi	fy claim 1 to	clearly indicate
that the DNA beco	<u>mes cui</u>	cular when gene is	added - Will	l cancel claims 2
9 + ament claim	11 conec	ming a belanced t	terminator.	
A fuller description, if necessary attached. Also, where no copy of	r, and a copy of the amendments	the amendments, if available, which th which would render the claims allowable	e examiner agreed would re e is available, a summary the	nder the claims allowable must bi reof must be attached.)
Unless the paragraphs below have	been checked to	o indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL	WRITTEN RESPONSE TO	THE LAST OFFICE ACTION I

NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

 $\square$  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are nourallowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Kepplinge sther M. Examiner's Signature

81-3679 PTOL-413 (rev. 1-81)

ORIGINAL FOR INSERTION IN RIGHT HAND FLAP OF FILE WRAPPER

Page 102

	and a second		ı'		
		<u>ع</u> ا"			
_		' (N	· (+)		
A PI		3.2	1.01		
		5490-35	- 09		
V.	IN THE UNITED STATES, PATER	NT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE			
	In re application of				
	Hermann Bujard <u>et al</u> .	Examiner: E. Kepplinger			
	Serial No. 265,276	Art Unit: 174			
	Filed: May 20, 1981				
	For: CLONED HIGH SIGNAL	AMENDMENT UNDER RULE 116			
OT ENTER	STRENGTH PROMOTERS - )				
) ENTER		San Francisco, CA 94105	~		
S ENTERED	Commissioner of Patents and Trac	lemarks			
6/23/84	Washington, D.C. 20231				
Gonte	Sir:				
	In response to the FI	VAL REJECTION of January 10,			
1	1984, please make the following amendments:				
15	IN THE CLAIMS:				
Nam	1. (fourth amendment	t) A linear DNA sequence			
2/21/81	having proximal to one end a st	rong T5 phage promoter,			
" Dr	proximal to the other end a stru	ong transcriptional			
	terminator balanced with said s	trong T5 promoter, and having			
6/28/84	intermediate said promoter and terminator at least one of				
	(1) a marker for selection adja	cent to said terminator or			
	(2) a replication system foreign	n to T5, wherein the			
*	direction of said promoter is a	way from said terminator and			
( )	said marker is expressed at a f	requency of less than about			
$\mathcal{W}$	one-fourth the frequency of a s	tructural gene, when said			
	structural gene is inserted bet	seen said promoter and			
	terminator, so as to be under t	he transcriptional control of			
	said promoter and [bridging] to	bridge said linear DNA			
	sequence to provide a circular	DNA sequence.			
	Cancel Claims 27, 28	and 29.			
103			I		

:

## REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the rejections and allow Claims 1-26, the only claims in this application.

The courteous interview granted applicants' attorney is gratefully acknowledged.

In the interview it was agreed to clarify Claim 1 which appeared to be confusing concerning whether a linear or circular DNA sequence was being claimed. The circular aspect is a limitation on the linear DNA sequence defining a property of the linear DNA sequence when employed for expression. It was explained to the Examiner that the linear DNA sequence could be a product which was sold in the marketplace for use by others as a vector for expression of a gene of interest. Thus, the public would be provided with a readily available DNA sequence which they could use to insert a gene to be expressed, resulting in a circular plasmid which would then be used to transform a compatible host.

The question of the breadth of the terminator was considered. Applicants have in the application a terminator foreign to T5, namely the fd terminator, which is found to be operative with the T5 promoter. The means for selecting appropriate terminators is amply described in the specification, beginning at page 10, line 37. The insight that a terminator can affect the utility of a promoter is in part the basis for the subject invention in providing for the availability of strong T5 promoters for use in DNA constructs. The particular terminator is not crucial to the subject invention, so long as it is operative with the promoter. Having shown that a terminator from a different phage can be used with the T5 strong promoter it is submitted that the general availability of balanced terminators for the strong promoters has been demonstrated. Furthermore, the T5 terminator would clearly be operable since T5 is viable and able to express its structural genes. Thus, applicants have two species which are useful in the subject invention and are believed to demonstrate the generality of terminators.

It was agreed that the art did not suggest the invention as claimed in Claims 1-26. These claims are limited to T5 phage and it was the insight of applicants to realize that these promoters could only be used with an appropriate terminator. Based on this insight they further established a technique for measuring the strength of promoters and terminators employing two structural genes, one prior to the terminators and one subsequent to the terminator in the direction of transcription. The only reference cited concerning the claims presently under consideration is Casadaban, which is an article co-authored by one of the subject co-inventors. As was pointed out to the Examiner, on page 194 of that article, there is an indication that only a few fragments from the T5 phage provided for expression. In order for a fragment to provide for expression, it would be necessary that the fragment have a promoter at its 3'-end. Thus, when the fragment was inserted in front of the structural gene present in the vector, the gene would be under the transcriptional control of such promoter. However, it was found in many cases that there was no expression and subsequently it was concluded that the terminator which was subsequent to the structural

gene present in the vector was not effective; thus, the strong promoter from the T5 phage was able to inhibit any expression of any structural gene. In effect, the resulting plasmid became a cryptic plasmid. Clearly, the reference does not teach the basis for the observed failures with the various fragments. So far as the few fragments that were operative, it is unlikely that they were strong promoters and it is submitted that the reference does not suggest the subject invention.

The amendments which have been made are not new matter, merely clarify language which was present in the claims, and are therefore believed to be appropriate and do not require citation of specific support in the specification.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, the application is considered in good and proper form for allowance, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the rejections and pass this application to issue. Failing this, the Examiner is respectfully requested to enter the above amendments for purposes of further procedure.

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (415) 493-2590.

> Respectfully submitted, TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND

Date 3/12/84

BIR/gs

Rowland Bertram I.

Reg. No. 20,015

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on 3/12/127

(Date of Deposit) *X*OWNSEND RSEND 4 Date 3/12/24 Bertrain I: Rowland Reg. No. 28,815

	The second se
	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
	Patent and Trademark Office
	Address : COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231
	SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	06/265,276 05/20/81 BUJARD H 5490-35
<b>`</b>	
	STELAOT STREET THER KERPLINGER
	UNE MARKET PLAZA
	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
	128
	12
	DATE MAILED: UM/IIZ/UM
Rolowi	is a communication from the EXAMINED in charge of this application
Delow 1	COMMISSIONED OF DATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
	ADVISORY ACTION
	Advisort Action
d	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS EXTENDED TO RUN MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THE FINAL REJECTION.
	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with Rule 192 (a).
	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed, has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. 🗆	The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
	<ul> <li>a. There is no convincing showing under Rule 116(b).</li> <li>b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>c. Intervalues the issue of new matter.</li> <li>d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.</li> <li>e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li> </ul>
2. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claims
, m	$\Gamma$ will be the ended and the ended and the set $\Gamma$ will be $\Gamma$ will be the ended and the status of the slates in this set $\Gamma$
3. LJ	Upon the thing of an appeal, the proposed amendment in will be in will not be, entered and the status of the claims in this appro- cation would be as follows:
	a. Claims would be allowable.
	b. Claims would not be allowable.
	However:
	(a) The relation of claims
-	(1) La response.
	(2) The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response.
4. 🗍	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been entered but does not overcome the rejection.
5. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will not be admitted because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.
6, 🗆	The application having been examined under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02), the proposed amendment has not been considered since it does not prima facle place the application in condition for allowance or in better condition for appeal.

-----

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE CONTINUES TO RUN 3 MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) accompanied by the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee.

-2-

The amendment filed March 15, 1984 under 37 CFR 1.116 in response to the final rejection will be entered upon the filing of an appeal, but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. Upon the filing of an appeal and entry of the amendment, the status of the claims would be as follows:

> Allowed claims: 1-10, 17 and 23 -26 Rejected claims: 11-16 and 18-22 Claims objected to: -

The rejection of claims 11-16 and 18-22 as indefinite in the recitation of "balanced with said promoter" still stands. Also, the rejection of claims 11-16 and 18-22 over Casabadan et al stands. Applicants argue that the plasmids with T5 became cryptic and while a few fragments were operative, it is unlikely that the promoters were strong. On page 194
Serial No. 265,276 Art Unit 128

of Casabadan et al, plasmids containing T5 promoters and lac genes were formed which showed increased lac expression. Thus, the promoter functioned and it appears to be "strong". Since expression occurred, it appears that a terminator was present and was "balanced with the promoter".

Kepplinger:pw A/C 703 557-3920 4/9/84

Esther M. Keppingen

ESTHER M. KEPPLINGER PRIMARY EXAMINER

-3-

9R128 5-14-84 A. Thomas RECEIVED #13 5490-35 MAY 1 1984 GROUP 123 THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICIOUP 120 IN In re application of Hermann Bujard et al. Examiner: E. Kepplinger Serial No. 265,276 Art Unit: 128 Filed: May 20, 1981 For: CLONED HIGH SIGNAL EXTENSION FEE STRENGTH PROMOTERS San Francisco, CA 94105 Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Sir: The Commissioner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 20-1430 in the amount \$50.00 for an extension fee for response within the first month. The Commissioner is further authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 20-1430. This sheet is provided in triplicate for accounting purposes. Respectfully submitted, TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND Date 4/23/84 By Bertram I. Rowl Reg. No. 20,015 Rowland BIR/gs I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commessioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. Commessioner of Patents / and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. Comments (Uste of Deposity PAND & IDWINSEND Bertram I. Rowland Reg. No. 20,015 \$1493 05704784 265226 80-1430 1 115 30.00CH

Page 110

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND Steuart Street Tower One Market Plaza	Atty. Docket No. 5490-35
San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 543-9600	DateApril 27, 1984
In reapplication of Hermann, Bujard et al.	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being described
Serial No. 265, 276	with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Addressed and
Filed May 20, 1981	Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on
For CLONED HIGH SIGNAL STRENGTH PROMOTERS	Date 4/28/F4 By Settin - Commission
Washington, D.C. 20231	Bertram I. Rowland

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

- [ ] Small entity status of this application under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted.
- [] A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 is enclosed.
- [X] No additional fee is required.
- X Extension Fee (1 month)
- The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)		SMALL	ENTITY		OTHER SMALL	THAN A ENTITY
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA		RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL	*	MINUS	**	=		x5=	\$		x10=	\$
INDEP.	*	MINUS	***			x15=	\$	1	×30=	\$
[] FIRS	ST PRESENTA	TION OF	MULTIPLE DE	P. CLAIM	•	+50=	\$		+100=	\$
( <u></u> ,					AD)	TOTAL DIT. FEE	\$	OR	TOTAL	\$

\* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.

\*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

- \*\*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space. The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.
- [ ] Please charge my Deposit Account No. 20-1430 in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.
- [X] The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 20-1430. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

[X] Any filing fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for the presentation of extra claims.

[X] Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

TOWNSEND & TOWNSEND

Reg. No. 20,015

Bertram I. Rowland

Reg. No.: 20,015 Attorney of Record

5-12-84 A. Momos ± 141. 5490-35 MISC1 THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE In re Application of Hermann Bujard et al. Examiner: E. Kepplinger Serial No. 265,276 Art Unit: 128 Filed: May 20, 1981 Second Amendment For: CLONED HIGH SIGNAL Under Rule 116 STRENGTH PROMOTERS San Francisco, CA 94105 Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Sir: In response to the Advisory Action of April 12, 1984, please make the following amendments: Please cancel claims 11-16 and 18-22, without prejudice to renewal. Please rewrite claim 17 as follows: --27. A circular DNA sequence having a downstream order of transcription a strong T5 phage promoter, a structural gene foreign to T5 phage, a balance terminator, a marker for selection, and a replication system .----28. A circular DNA sequence according to claim 27, wherein said replication system is recognized by prokaryotes.----29. A circular DNA sequence according to claim 27, wherein said replication system is recognized by eukaryotes.--Remarks In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, the Examiner is earnestly requested to pass this application to issue, since all of the claims are now allowable.

1

Lower anter Ense Lalst Rejected claims 11-16 and 18-22 have been cancelled. Claim 17 has been written in independent form, since it previously depended upon rejected and now cancelled claims. Since the claim was multiply dependent, it has been divided up into three claims which are identical in scope with claim 17 as originally filed.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, the application is considered to be in good and proper form for allowance and the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue.

If for any reason the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would in any way expedite prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (415) 493-2590.

Respectfully submitted,

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND

4/22/84 Date

Bertram I. Rowland Reg. No. 20,015

BIR:svh

(415) 493-2590

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mell in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner pf Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.G. 20231, on  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

Date

(Date of Deposit) OWNSEND & TOWNSENS AL Wan Bertram I. Rowland Reg. No. 20,015

and the of containing the second

 $\sum$ 

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

				•	
	SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST N	AMED APPLICANT	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	06/265+276 05/20/81	BUJARD ·		*1	3470-39 
	TOWNSEND & TOWNSEND STEUART STREET TOWER			EX/ KEPPLI	MINER NGER • E
	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105	· .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				12	8 15
			1	DATE MAILED:	05730784
ow	is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge	e of this applica	ution,		
	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS, AND TRADE	IMARKS			
		ADVICODY	ACTION		
g	CONTINUES THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS EXTENDED TO F 855 O.G. 1109.	RUN4	MONTH	S FROM THE DATE C	OF THE FINAL REJECTION
	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with Rule	192 (a).			
	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed effect, but it is not deemed to place the applicat	ion in condition	for allowance:	, has been con	nsidered with the follow
0	The proposed amendments to the claim and/or s	pecification will	I not be entered and	I the final rejection :	tands because:
	<ul> <li>a. Inere is no convincing showing under net.</li> <li>b. They raise new issues that would require</li> <li>c. They raise the issue of new matter.</li> <li>d. They are not deemed to place the applications.</li> <li>e. They present additional claims without c</li> </ul>	on in better form anceiling a corr	eration-and/or search for appeal by mater esponding number	h. ally reducing or simp of finally rejected cl.	lifying the issues for app time.
	Newly proposed or amended claims filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable cl	aims.		would be allowed	if submitted in a separa
	Upon the filing of an appeal, the proposed amend cation would be as follows:	dment 🗌 will t	be 🗋 will not be, e	ntered and the statu	s of the claims in this a
	a. Claims		would be allow	able.	·
	b. Claims		would not be a	llowable.	
			Noold not be a		
	However:		Hobid Hot be d		
	However:		on referenc	es is deemed to b	e overcome by applica
	However:         (1)       The rejection of claims         response.         (2)       The rejection of claims         applicant's response.	j		es is deemed to b ice grounds only is	e overcome by applica deemed to be overcom
	However: (1) The rejection of claims	ب ion has been er	on non-referen	es is deemed to b ace grounds only is overcome the reject	e overcome by applica deemed to be overcom
	However:          (1)       The rejection of claims	) ion has been er ause applicant	on reference	es is deemed to b ace grounds only is overcome the reject d and sufficient rea	e overcome by applica deemed to be overcom ion: sons why it was not ea
	However: (1) The rejection of claims	ion has been er ause applicant special accelera acie place the a	on referenc on non-referenc itered but does not has not shown goo ted examining proce pplication in conditi	es is deemed to b ace grounds only is overcome the reject d and sufficient rea edure (M.P.E.P. 708.0 on for allowance or it	e overcome by applica deemed to be overcom ion: sons why it was not ea 2), the proposed amendr i better condition for app
	However:          (1)       The rejection of claims	ion has been er ause applicant special accelera acie place the a	tered but does not has not shown goo	es is deemed to b ace grounds only is overcome the reject d and sufficient rea edure (M.P.E.P. 708.0 on for allowance or in	e overcome by applica deemed to be overcom ion: sons why it was not ea 2), the proposed amendr better condition for ap

PTOL-303 (Rev. 10-60)

Serial No. 265276 Art Unit 128

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE CONTINUES TO RUN FOUR MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) accompanied by the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee.

-2-

The amendment filed April 30, 1984 under 37 CFR 1.116 in response to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because:

The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

The directed claims 27-29 cannot be entered since claims 27-30 were previously in the application and cancelled. Moreover, the claims would be rejected over Casabadan et al as set forth relating to claims 27-29 in the final rejection, Paper No. 9. The proposed cancellation of claims 11-16 and 18-22 would, however, eliminate some rejections if resubmitted.

The proposed amendment is not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially simplifying the issues for appeal. Serial No. 265276 Art Unit 128

Applicant is advised that this application and the art to which this application pertains has been transferred to another group. All further papers submitted in this application should carry the following items:

- 1. Serial Number (checked for accuracy).
- 2. Group Art Unit 128.
- 3. Name of Examiner now in charge of this application Esther Kepplinger.
- 4. Filing date.
- 5. Title of invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Kepplinger at telephone number 703-557-3920.

Kepplinger:rt A/C 703 557-3920 5/23/84

M. Kepplingen

-3-

ESTHER M. KEPPLINGER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 128

26-84 Thomas 5490-35 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE In re application of Hermann Bujard et al. Examiner: E. Kepplinger Serial No. 265,276 Art Unit: 128 RECEIVED Filed: May 20, 1981 " Still Bei For: CLONED HIGH SIGNAL FEE FOR STRENGTH PROMOTER EXTENSION OF TIME GROUP 120 San Francisco, CA 94105 Commissioner of Patents of Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Sir: The Commissioner is authorized to charge \$150.00 to Deposit Account No. 20-1430 for an extension of time to file the enclosed response within the second month. The Commissioner is further authorized to charge any additional fee or credit any overpayment related to filing the enclosed response to Deposit Account No. 20-1430. This sheet is provided in triplicate for accounting purposes. Respectfully submitted, TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND Date 6/8/84 By / Bertram I. Rowland Reg. No. 20,015 BIR/gs 20-1430 2 116 150,000H PE284 06/15/84 265276

6-26-84 A. Thomas 17/21

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Yn re application of Hermann Bujard <u>et al</u>. Serial No. 265,276 Filed: May 20, 1981 For: CLONED HIGH SIGNAL

STRENGTH PROMOTER

Art Unit: 128 /

Examiner:

THIRD AMENDMENT UNDER RULE 116

San Francisco, CA 94105

5490-35

E. Kepplinger

Commissioner of Patents of Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Sir:

In response to the Advisory Action of May 30, 1984, please amend the subject application as follows: IN THE CLAIMS:

Cancel Claims 11-16 and 18-22, without prejudice.

## REMARKS

Cancellation of the above claims places the subject application in condition for allowance, Claims 1-10, 17 and 23-26, the only remaining claims in this application, having been allowed. Newly submitted Claims 27-29 (misnumbered) are not to be considered. The Examiner is earnestly requested to pass this application to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND

Date 6/x/84

Bv tram I. Rowland Ber Reg. No. 20,015

BIR/gs

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on 673777

(Date of Deposit) TOWNSENDER TOWNSEND Bertram I. Rowland Reg. No. 20,015

a enter

18/84



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

CEDIAL MILLIPER MILLION ATT		nyton, D.C. 20231
A I	HINST NAMED APPLIC	ANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
265276 5/20/81	Bujard et al	5490-35
	U, I	EXAMINER
		$C_{12}$ $h^{-}$
		Co Kepplingen
		ART UNIT TAPER NUMBER
		128 18
		DATE MAILED:
EXA	MINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY R	ECORD
participants (applicant, applicant's representative,	PTO personnel):	
in Bit OD		
Mr. Bertram Rowland	(3)	
	(4)	
<u>C</u>	sel.	
ite of interview Unquest 1, 173	<u>/                                    </u>	
	to Depatitions Depatitions	
her en relebuoree. Et seizouer toohå is Bideu .	applicant s représent	lative).
hibit shown or demonstration conducted: 🗌 Yes	BNo. If yes, brief description:	
	*.	
reement . I was reached with respect to some or	all of the claims in question. 🛛 was not	t reached.
1		•
aims discussed:		
entification of prior art discussed:	patienting of the boltom	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	*********
erciption of the general beture of what was present	to if in preasmint use reached as and ask	lite rearest to the
serption of the general nature of smart was sgread	to it air agreement was reached, of any ofm	er comments: <u>Our my acta as change</u>
i make the Claim i	udependent. See	chameness amendment.
	1	•
	*******	
fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of th	e amendments, if available, which the exa	miner agreed would render the claims allowable must be
ached. Also, where no copy of the amendments wh	ich would render the claims allowable is av	vailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)
less the paragraphs below have been checked to in T WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBST t Office action has already been filed, then applicar	ndicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WR ANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items it is given one month from this interview d	ITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS $1-7$ on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the ate to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se	parate reoord of the substance of the inters	view.
Since the examiner's interview summary above requirements that may be present in the last response requirements of the last Office action	e (including any attachments) reflects a co Office action, and since the claims are now	complete response to each of the objections, rejections and allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the $1/2$
	7	sther M. Kepplingen
-3679 PTOL-413 (rev. 1-81)	Exa	miner's Signature

81-3679 PTOL-413 (rev. 1-81)

ORIGINAL FOR INSERTION IN RIGHT HAND FLAP OF FILE WRAPPER

Page 119



UNITED STATES D\_r ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

.

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	A	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
265276				
		**************************************	F	······································
Г		L	EXA	MINER
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED:	
This is a communication	trom the examiner in charge of	your application		
COM	MISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRA	10emarks		
All the claims be ance or other app A. Note the	ACHMENT TO THE NOTICE I ing allowable, PROSECUTIOn ropriate communication will be attached PTO-152, Notice of	OF ALLOWANCE AND BASE ISSUE FEE DI N ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED in this appli e sent in due course. f Informatity, which indicates that the declar	UE, PTOL 85. ication. If not attache ration (or oath) is defi	ed hereto, a Notice of Allow- cient anc that a substitute
B. Formal c MENT O Statute c Will rest which is	When T OF THE BASE ISSUE h and attached to the base iss the base issue fee. Failure to te transmittal letter accompan Issue Batch Numb trawings are now required and IF THE BASE ISSUE FEE IN foes not permit extension of to all in <u>ABANDONMENT</u> of the addressed to the Official Dra Issue Batch Numb	E FEE IN THE "NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE E FEE IN THE "NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE sue fee. Note that the statute does not perm to timely file the substitute declaration (or or ying the declaration (or oath) should indica- ier; Date of the Notice of Allowance, and Set 1 MUST BE SUBMITTED WITHIN THE THRE THE "NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND BAS the three month period set to pay the base is application. The drawings should be submil aftsman and which indicates the following ir ber; Date of the Notice of Allowance, and Se	THE INFECT AND BASE ISSUE F1 ath) will result in <u>AB</u> , te the following in the rial Number. EE MONTH STATUTO SE ISSUE FEE DUE" issue fee. Failure to ti litted as a separate pay in the upper right hand arial Number.	E DUE" (PTOL-85), prefer ee month period set for pay- <u>ANDONMENT</u> of the applica e upper right hand corner: RY PERIOD SET FOR PAY (PTOL-85). Note that the mely submit the drawings per with a transmittal letter corner:
C. The clai	ms are allowed in view of:	alidade totalad		
A A A	Applicant's communication fi The interview summarized of The attached Examiner's Am	n the attached EXAMINER INTERVIEW S	UMMARY RECORD,	PTOL-413.
d. 🗔	An Examiner's Amendment w	hich will follow in due course.		
	1-10	170 1 23 - 26		
D. Mote the attached	Examiner's Statement of Rea	isons for Allowance.		
Note attached NO be pertinent to the	TICE OF REFERENCES CIT e claimed invention, but the c	FED, PTO-892, which is part of this commun claims are deemed to be patentable thereove	nication. The listed of	eferences are considered to
Note attached LIS	T OF ART CITED BY APPL	ICANT, PTO-1449.		
The drawings file attached Notice re be made in accord PTO-1474.	d on 2 Drawings, PTO-948. In order ance with the instructions se	] are acceptable as filed, are acceptable er to avoid <u>ABANDONMENT</u> of this applicat it forth in the attached letter "INFORMATIC	le subject to correctio tion, correction is req IN ON HOW TO EFFE	in as indicated on the uired. Corrections can only CT DRAWING CHANGES''.
The proposed has (have) been at proposed changes "INFORMATION r	drawing correction and/or the pproved by the examiner. App or submission of additional o ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWI	e proposed additional or substitute she plicant is reminded that in order to avoid ab or substitute drawings <u>MUST</u> be made in acc ING CHANGES'', PTO-1474, attached to Pa	et(s) of drawings file andonment of this app ordance with the instr per No	i on licant, execution of the uctions set forth in the fett
The proposed drav	ving correction, filed	, has been approved. cant's responsibility to ensure that the draw	However, the Patent vings are corrected, FORMATION ON HOW	and Trácemark Office no Corréctions are required and TO EFFECT DRAWING
longer makes draw MUST be effected CHANGES'', PTO	-1474.	<sup>(1</sup> p.		
Ionger makes draw MUST be effected CHANGES''. PTO In order to avail A now be corrected. "INFORMATION C	In accordance with the instru -1474_ <u>ABANDONMENT</u> , the drawing Applicant is reminded that the DN HOW TO EFFECT DRAWI	informalities noted on the Notice re Drawin the corrections can only be made in accordan (NG CHANGES'', PTO-1474, attached to the	ng, PTO-948, attached nce with the instruction PTO-948.	to Paper No must ons set forth in the letter
Ionger makes draw MUST be effected CHANGES'', PTO In order to avoid A now be corrected, "INFORMATION O Acknowledgment i	In accordance with the instru- -1474_ <u>BANDONMENT</u> , the drawing Applicant is reminded that to DN HOW TO EFFECT DRAWI s made of the claim for priori	Informalities noted on the Notice re Drawin the corrections can only be made in accordant ING CHANGES'', PTO-1474, attached to the ity under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy	ng, PTO-948, attached nce with the instruction PTO-948. has; been receiv	to Paper No must ons set forth in the letter ed not been received.

Esther M. Kepplinge

Page 1200L-37 (Rev. 8 - 82)

NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY

ESTHER M. KEPPLINGER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 128 Serial No. 265276 Art Unit 128

In claim 17, line 1, delete "according".

In line 2, deleted "to any of claims 11,12, or 13,

"and inserted --having in downstream order of transcription a strong T5 phage promoter, a structural gene foreign to T5 phage under transcriptional control of said promoter, a transcriptional terminator which is balanced with said promoter and a replication system and--.

The above change was authorized by applicant's attorney, Bertram Rowland, in a telephone interview on August 1, 1984.

Kepplinger:cvm A/C 703 557-3920 8/2/84

M. Kepplinien ESTHER M. KEPPLINGER

-2-

PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 128

H

	1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 -					and a second sec
2 (Nev. 202)		ALLET OF CO.				and the second s
	<b>~</b>		UNITED ST	ATES DEPAI	RTMENT O	COMMERCE
. *			Patent and	Trademark	Office	
		STATES OF AN	Address : COI Wa	MMISSIONEA OI Ishington, D.C. 2	F PATENTS AN 10231	D TRADEMARKS
	*	NOTICE	OF ALLO	OWANCE		
×	•	AND ISS	SUE FEE I	DUE		
* *				All communi	cations regarding	ng this
TOWNSEND &	TOWNSEND		1	application sh number, date	ould give the	serial e of
STEUART STRE	ET TOHER			applicant, and	d batch numbe	r.
SAN FRANCIS	20, CA 94105			Please direct	all communica	tions
	4 .			PUBLICATIC	INS" unless ad	vised
The application identified be	ow has been examined a	and found allowable		to the contra	ry.	
or issuance of Letters Patent SC/SERIAL NO.	FILING DATE	HE MERITS IS CLOS	EXAMINER A	ND GROUP ART	UNIT	DATE MAILED
		0112 L	OTOD THICED	. 15	128	08/09/84
		U_ATE	Weit 1 Instal States V	1 Jun .	, <b>1</b>	
ant BUJARD,		HERMAN	IN G.		······································	
OF TION I'L MARTY LITT	H STONAL ST	RENGTH PROM	OTERS		•	
ATTY'S DOCKET NO	CLASS-SUBCLA	ASS BATCH NO.	APPLN. TYPE S	MALL ENTITY	FEE DUE	DATE DUE
ATTY'S DOCKET NO	CLASS-SUBCLA	ASS BATCH NO.	APPLN. TYPE S	MALL ENTITY	FEE DUE	DATE DUE
ATTY'S DOCKET NO	.   CLASS-SUBCLA 4351106.	ASS   ВАТСН NO.] ЛОЛ С12	APPLN. TYPE S	MALL ENTITY	FEE DUE	DATE DUE
ATTY'S DOCKET NO	.   CLASS-SUBCLA 435086.	ASS   ВАТСН NO.  Л П.П. — С.1.2	appln. type  s Littl.tty	MALL ENTITY	FEE DUE \$500+90	DATE DUE 11/09/84
ATTY'S DOCKET NO	CLASS-SUBCLA 43511 D.6. s specified by 37 C.F.R. d for a plant patent; \$25	ASS BATCH NO. 1100 C12 .1.18 as follows: for a 50, if the applicant qu	APPLN, TYPE S	MALL ENTITY ND patent, except for led a verified state	FEE DUE	DATE DUE 11/09/84 t patent, \$500; ity status in
ATTY'S DOCKET NO	CLASS-SUBCLA 435-11 B.4 s specified by 37 C.F.R. d for a plant patent, \$25 27, the issue fee is one- ne of mailing this notice	ASS BATCH NO. 1100 C12 . 1.18 as follows: for a 50, if the applicant du half the respective am e. A verified statemen	APPLN. JYPE S LITILITY an original or reissue alifies for and has fi ount aforementione t of small entity stat	MALL ENTITY ND patent, except for led a verified state d. The issue fee du tus may be filled pr	FEE DUE \$5500+00 r a design or plan ment of small en printed above r ior to or with pey	DATE DUE 11/09/84 t patent, \$500; try status in effects ment of
ATTY'S DOCKET NO	CLASS-SUBCLA 4351106. 4351106. s specified by 37 C.F.R. d for a plant patent, \$25 27, the issue fee is one- ne of mailing this notice ordance with 37 C.F.R. Je fee in the amount so partiry.	ASS BATCH NO. 1100 C12 1.18 as follows: for 1 50. If the applicant quick the respective amile. A verified statemen 1.28, failure to estable stablished for small e	APPLN. TYPE S ITTL TTY an original or reissue latifies for and has fi ount aforementione t of small entity stat lish status as a small entities and preclude	MALL ENTITY ND Patent, except for led a verified state d. The issue fee du tus may be filed pr entity prior to or ss a refund of any p	FEE DUE \$500+00 r a design or plan ment of small en te printed above r lor to or with pay with payment of portion thereof o	DATE DUE 11/09/84 t patent, \$500; ity status in effects imment of the issue fee aid prior to
ATTY'S DOCKET NO 5:490-25 The amount of the issue fee for a design patent, \$175; an accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1 applicant's status as of the ti the issue fee. However, in ac precludes payment of the iss establishing status as a small i THE ISSUE FEE MUST BE I	s specified by 37 C.F.R. d for a plant patent; \$25 .27, the issue fee is one- ne of mailing this notice ordance with 37 C.F.R. Je fee in the amount so antity. "AID WITHIN THREE I red at ARANDONEED	ASS BATCH NO. 100 C12 .1.18 as follows: for a 50, if the applicant quality for the respective and a A verified statement .1.28, failure to estable established for small of MONTHS FROM TH	APPLN, TYPE S LITILITY an original or reissue alifies for and has fi ount aforementione to famil entity stat lish status as a small entities and preclude EMAILING DATE E MAILING DATE	MALL ENTITY ND Patent, except for led a verified state d. The issue fee du us may be filed pr entity prior to or es a refund of any p OF THIS NOTICE	FEE DUE \$5500+00 radesign or plan ment of small ent is printed abover ior to or with payment of portion thereof po- as indicated abover a policient a priority of the payment of the payment of the payment of	DATE DUE 11/09/84 t patent, \$500; ity status in effects ment of the issus fee aid prior to we, The appli- pittered
ATTY'S DOCKET NO 5:49 (1-25) The amount of the issue fee for a design patent, \$175; an accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1 applicant's status as of the til he issue fee. However, in acc precludes payment of the issue setablishing status as a small in THE ISSUE FEE MUST BE I cation shall otherwise be rega attorney or agent; or the assi attorney or agent; or the assi	CLASS-SUBCLA 43511 B.f 43511 B.f 43511 B.f 43511 B.f 443511 B.f 52,7, the issue fee is one- ne of mailing this notice is one- sordance with 37 C.F.R. 19 fee in the amount so antity. 2A1D WITHIN THREE I rided as ABANDONED, ane or other party in in posit account has been	ASS BATCH NO. 1100 C12 . 1.18 as follows: for 50. If the applicant qu half the respective am e. A verified statemen . 1.28, failure to estable established for small e MONTHS FROM THE The issue fee will not trerest as shown by th filed before the mailing	APPLN. TYPE S LITTL.TTY an original or reissue alifies for and has fi ount aforementione t of small entity stat lish status as a small entities and preclude / EMAILING DATE 4 be accepted from a e records of the Patt ng of the notice of a	MALL ENTITY ND patent, except for d. The issue fee du tus may be filed pr entity prior to or to a refund of any p OF THIS NOTICE invone other than ent and Trademark liowance, the issue	FEE DUE \$500+00 r a design or plan ment of small ent te printed above r ior to or with pay with payment of portion thereof p as indicated above the applicant; a re office. Where ar fee is charged to	DATE DUE 11/09/84 t patent, \$500; ity status in effects rment of the issue fee aid prior to re. The appli- ngistered authorization the deposit
ATTY'S DOCKET NO 5/40 (125) The amount of the issue fee I for a design patent, \$175; an accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1 applicant's status as of the tin the issue fee. However, in acc precludes payment of the issue setablishing status as a small i THE ISSUE FEE MUST BE I cation shall otherwise be rega attorney or agent; or the assis to charge the issue fee to a de account at the time of mallin o order to minimize delays i	CLASS-SUBCLA 435-110.6. 435-110.6. 457-110.6. 4 for a plant patent; \$25 .27, the issue fee is one- ne of mailing this notice ordance with 37 C.F.R. Je fee in the amount so entity. AID WITHIN THREE I rided as ABANDONED, piec or other party in in rposit account has been g of this notice in accorr the issuance of a pater	ASS BATCH NO. 1.18 as follows: for ( 50, If the applicant qu half the respective am e. A verified statemen 1.28, failure to estab established for small ( MONTHS FROM THE The issue fee will not terest as shown by th filed before the mailing dance with 37 C.F.R. or based on this applicant to the stable of the mailing the stable of the sta	APPLN. TYPE S IITTL TTY an original or reissue alifies for and has fi ount aforementione t of small entity stat lish status as a small antities and preclude ALLING DATE C to be accepted from a e records of the Patt ang of the notice of a 1.311. If the issue f	MALL ENTITY ND patent, except for led a verified state d. The issue fee du us may be filed pr entity prior to or ss a refund of any p OF THIS NOTICE invone other than ont and Trademark illowance, the issue ee has been so cha sy have been mail	FEE DUE \$500+00 r a design or plan ment of small ent ie printed above r ior to or with pay with payment of portion thereof p as indicated above the applicant; a r office. Where ar o fee is charged to rged, it is indicatu	DATE DUE 11/07/84 t patent, \$500; ity status in effects iment of the issue fee aid prior to we. The appli- gistered suthorization the deposit d above.
ATTY'S DOCKET NO 5:490 0 2:5 The amount of the issue fee I for a design patent, \$175; an accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1 applicant's status as of the til the issue fee. However, in acc precludes payment of the issi establishing status as a small I THE ISSUE FEE MUST BEI cation shall otherwise be regat to charge the issue fee to a di account at the time of mailin In order to minimize delays i processing. The nature and/o	s specified by 37 C.F.R. d for a plant patent; \$25 .27, the issue fee is one- ne of milling this notice ordance with 37 C.F.R. de fee in the amount so of entity. PAID WITHIN THREE I inded as ABANDONED, nee or other party in in posit account has been g of this notice in accorr n the issuance of a pater r extent of the remainin ed, this Notice of Allow	ASS BATCH NO. 1100 C12 .1.18 as follows: for 1 50, if the applicant quick half the respective ame . A verified statemen .1.28, failure to estable established for small of MONTHS FROM THI The issue fee will not trierst as shown by th filed before the mailing dence with 37 C.F.R. in based on this appling revision or processing wance will be vaccted	APPLN, TYPE S LITILITY an original or reissue alifies for and has fi ount aforementione unities and preclude to small entity stat ish status as a small entities and preclude E MALLING DATE E MALLING DATE to accepted from a e records of the Patt ng of the notice of a 1,311. If the issue f cation, this Notice m ng requirements may	MALL ENTITY ND Patent, except foo led a verified state d. The issue fee du us may be filed pr entity prior to or es a refund of any j OF THIS NOTICE invone other than ent and Trademark illowance, the issue e has been so cha may have been mail y cause slight delay Office action will	FEE DUE \$5500+00 radesign or plan ment of small entre radesign or plan ment of small entre reprinted abover ior to or with payment of portion thereof bo as indicated abover the applicant; a ro c Office. Where ar i fee is charged to c Office. Where ar i fee is charged to i indicated ed prior to complex rs of the patent. 1 follow in due cou	DATE DUE 1.1./09/84 t patent, \$500; ity status in effects ment of the issus fee aid prior to ve. The appli- registered a uthorization the deposit id above. letion of final n addition, res. If the
ATTY'S DOCKET NO 5:49 (1-25) The amount of the issue fee for a design patent, \$175; an accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1 applicant's status as of the til the issue fee. However, in acc precludes payment of the issues setablishing status as a small THE ISSUE FEE MUST BE I cation shall otherwise be rega attorney or agent; or the assis attorney or agent; or the assis to charge the issue fee to a d account at the time of mailin In order to minimize delays i if prosecution is to be reoper issue fee has already been pal Account. However, applicant	CLASS-SUBCLA 43511 B.A. s specified by 37 C.F.R. d for a plant patent, \$25 .27, the issue fee is one-l me of mailing this notice ordance with 37 C.F.R. ue fee in the amount so entity. >AID WITHIN THREE I roted as ABANDONED, gnee or other party in in posit account has been g of this notice in accorr n the issuance of a pater r extent of the remainin ed, this Notice of Allow d and prosecution is reo may weit until the appl	ASS BATCH NO. II III IIII C 12 A 1.18 as follows: for a So, If the applicant gue half the respective am e. A verified statement 1.28, failure to estable established for small end MONTHS FROM THI The issue fee will not trease as shown by the field before the mailing dance with 37 C.F.R. Int based on this appling revision or processi- vance will be vacated opened, the applicant lication is either four	APPLN. TYPE S LITTL.TTY an original or reissue alifies for and has fi ount aforementione to fismal entity stat lish status as a small to be accepted from a e records of the Pat D ALLING DATE ( be accepted from a g of the notice of a 1.311. If the issue f cation, this Notice m grequirements may and the appropriate may request a refum	MALL ENTITY ND Patent, except for led a verified state d. The issue fee du us may be filed pr entity prior to or est a refund of any f OF THIS NOTICE invone other than ent and Trademark illowance, the issue ee has been mail d cause slight delay Office action will d or request that t abandoned. If allo	FEE DUE \$5500 + 00 r a design or plan ment of small ent ie printed above r jor to or with pay with payment of portion thereof o as indicated above the applicant; a r to fee is charged to rged, it is indicate ed prior to compi rs of the patent. I follow in due cou he fee be creditec wed, upon receipi	DATE DUE 11/09/84 t patent, \$500; ity status in effects ment of the issue fee aid prior to we. The appli- gistered authorization the deposit ed above. tetion of final n addition, rise, If the t of a Deposit of a new Notice
ATTY'S DOCKET NO 5:40 (125 for a design patent, \$175; an accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1 applicant's status as of the ti- the issue fee. However, in acc precludes payment of the issi- establishing status as a small i THE ISSUE FEE MUST BE i cation shall otherwise be regar attorney or agent; or the assi- to charge the issue fee to a do account at the time of mailing processing. The nature and/o if prosecution is to be reoper pisue fee has already been pal Account. However, applicant may a Deposit Account.	CLASS-SUBCLA 435-106. 435-106. 435-106. 435-106. 437-106.	ASS BATCH NO. 1100 C12 .1.18 as follows: for a 50, If the applicant qu half the respective am e. A verified statemen 1.28, failure to estable established for small e MONTHS FROM THE The issue fee will non terest as shown by th filed before the mailing dance with 37 C.F.R. it based on this applicant ing revision or processivance will be vacated opened, the applicant lication is either foun usly submitted issue fe	APPLN. TYPE S ITTL TTY an original or reissue alifies for and has fi ount aforementione t of small entity stat lish status as a small antities and preclude E MAILING DATE G the accepted from a records of the Patt ng of the notice of a 1.311. If the issue f sation, this Notice m ng requirements may and the appropriate a refund d allowable or held a see be applied. If abai	MALL ENTITY ND patent, except foi led a verified state d. The issue fee du tus may be filed pr entity prior to or se a refund of any p OF THIS NOTICE inyone other than i of THIS NOTICE inyone other than i or sea been so cha say have been mail y cause slight delay Office action will do r request that t abandoned, If allon ndoned, applicant	FEE DUE \$500+00 r a design or plan ment of small entre ior to or with pay with payment of portion thereof or as indicated about the applicant; a ru of fice. Where ar of the is charged to riged, it is indicatt ed prior to compleatent. I follow in due cout he fee be creditec wed, upon receiping may request refu	DATE DUE 11/07/84 t patent, \$500; ity status in effects ment of the issue fee sid prior to ity. The appli- gistered a authorization the deposit ted above. etion of final n addition, rse, If the to a Deposit of a new Notice nd or credit to
ATTY'S DOCKET NO 5:40 (1 - :25 for a design patent, \$175; an accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1 applicant's status as of the til the issue fee. However, in acc precludes payment of the issi statulish and the same shall the issue fee. However, in acc precludes payment of the issi statulish and the time of mailing the issue fee. However, in acc precludes payment of the issi statunav or agent; or the assi to charge the issue fee to a d account at the time of mailing in order to minimize delays i processing. The nature and/o if prosecution is to be reoper sisue fee has already been pal Account. However, applicant may a Deposit Account. In the case of each patent issi and in the Official Gazette. It tion in the spaces provided on the acverse side of the PTOL	CLASS-SUBCLA 435110.6 is specified by 37 C.F.R. d for a plant patent; \$22 .27, the issue fee is one- me of mailing this notice ordance with 37 C.F.R. us fee in the amount so entity. PAID WITHIN THREE I rided as ABANDONED. g of this notice in accorr n the issuance of a pater r extent of the remainin ed, this Notice of Allow d and prosecution is recorr may wait until the appl request that the previou request that the previou alog without an assignm the inventor's address i 1 PTOL-85b enclosed; If 85b	ASS BATCH NO. ASS BATCH NO. ASS BATCH NO. ASS BATCH NO. A verifies a policant (a) half the respective ame. A verified statemen. A verified statemen. A verified statemen. A verified statemen. A verified statemen. MONTHS FROM THE The issue fee will not treferst as shown by the MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS FROM THE The issue fee will not treferst as shown by the field before the mailing ance with 37 C.F.R. it based on this applicant tication is either found usly submitted issue for ent, the complete pool is now differant from f there are address cha	APPLN, TYPE S LITTL_TTY an original or reissue alifies for and has fi ount aforementione to small entity stat lish status as a small antities and preclude E MAILING DATE of the accepted from a records of the Patt and the appropriate may request a refun d allowable or held a at office address of ti the address which a anges for more than	MALL ENTITY ND patent, except for led a verified state d. The issue fee du us may be field pr entity prior to or sa refund of any 1 OF THIS NOTICE invone other than off THIS NOTICE invone other than off the action will dor request been mail y cause slight delay Office action will dor request that t abandoned, If allon doned, applicant he inventor(s) will poers in the appli two inventors, ent	FEE DUE \$500 + 00 \$500 + 00 r a design or plan ment of small entre the printed above r ior to or with payment of portion thereof or as indicated above the applicant; a ru of the payment of rged, it is indicate ed prior to comple- rs of the patent. I follow in due cou- he fee be credited wed, upon receiping may request refur- be printed in the cation, please fill er the additional	DATE DUE 11/09/84 11/09/84 t patent, \$500; ify status in effects ment of the issus fee sid prior to re. The appli- gistered a suthorization the deposit id above, etion of final n addition, re. If the t to a Deposit of a new Notice nd or credit to patent heading in the informa- addresses on
ATTY'S DOCKET NO 5:40 (125) The amount of the issue fee for a design patent, \$175; an accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1 applicant's status as of the ti- the issue fee. However, in acc precludes payment of the issue setablishing status as a small i THE ISSUE FEE MUST BE i cation shall otherwise be rega- attorney or agent; or the assi attorney or agent; or the assi attorney or agent; or the assi to charge the issue fee to a d account at the time of mailin in order to minimize delays i processing. The nature and/o if prosecution is to be reoper processing. The nature and/o if prosecution is to be reoper a Deposit Account. In the case of each patent issi and in the Official Gazette. I to an assignment date of payment of the issue	CLASS-SUBCLA 4:3511 D.6 is specified by 37 C.F.R. d for a plant patent, \$22 27, the issue fee is one-line of mailing this notice ordance with 37 C.F.R. us fee in the amount so entity. AID WITHIN THREE I rided as ABANDONED. gnee or other party in in posit account has been g of this notice of a pater n the issuance of a pater n the issuance of a pater rextent of the remainin ted, this Notice of Allow request that the previou and prosecution is rec may weit until the appl request that the previou aling without an assignm (the inventor's address i PTOL-85b enclosed; 11 85b. ASSIGNMENT DATA	ASS BATCH NO. ASS BATCH NO. ASS BATCH NO. ASS BATCH NO. ASS BATCH NO. A verified statemen 1.28, failure to estab- established for small e MONTHS FROM THE The issue fee will not trerest as shown by th filed before the mailin dance with 37 C.F.R. Int based on this appling revision or processi- vance will be vacated opened, the applicant lication is either foum usly submitted issue for- ent, the complete pool is now differant from f there are address char- section of PTOL & 85 billy submitted to the F.R. 1.334. Where the the acent	APPLN. TYPE S LITTL.TTY an original or reissue reliation of the state state of small entity state is the status as a small is status as a small to small entity state is status as a small be accepted from a e records of the Pate and the notice of a 1.311. If the issue f cation, this Notice m may request a refun may request a refun and the appropriate may request a refun and the appropriate and the approprise	MALL ENTITY ND Patent, except for led a verified state d. The issue fee du us may be filed pr entity prior to or est a refund of any j OF THIS NOTICE of THIS NOTICE ents bene mail novone other than ent and Trademark illowance, the issue es has been mail d or request that t d or request that t d or request that t bandoned, failton ndoned, applicant he inventor(s) will ppears in the appli two inventors, ent in all cases. If it is to Office or must I to the assignee's name	FEE DUE \$5500 + 00 ra design or plan ment of small ent ie printed above r jor to or with pay with payment of portion thereof o as indicated above the applicant; a r to fee is charged to rged, it is indicate ad prior to compi re of the parent. I follow in due cou he fee be creditec wed, upon receipi may request refu be printed in the cation, please fill er the additional desired to have t be submitted not ne and address rm	DATE DUE 11/09/84 t patent, \$500; ity status in effects ity status in ef
ATTY'S DOCKET NO 5:40 (1 - :25) The amount of the issue fee I for a design patent, \$175; an accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1 applican's status as of the tin the issue fee. However, in acc precludes payment of the issue statulishing status as a small i THE ISSUE FEE MUST BE I cation shall otherwise be regg attorney or agent; or the assis to charge the issue fee to a d account at the time of mailin In order to minimize delays i processing. The nature and/o if prosecution is to be recoper stutorney or minimize delays i processing. The nature and/o if prosecution is to be recoper account. However, applicant may a Deposit Account. In the case of each patent issi to an essignee, an assignment tate of payment of the issue on the PTOL-85b to ensure I Advance orders for 10 or mo and submitting payment ther must be for all east 10 copies	CLASS-SUBCLA 4:3511 D.A. s specified by 37 C.F.R. d for a plant patent; \$22 .27, the issue fee is one- ne of mailing this notice ordance with 37 C.F.R. us fee in the amount so entity. PAID WITHIN THREE I rided as ABANDONED, g of this notice in accorr n the issuance of a pater r extent of the remainin ted, this Notice of Allow in the issuance of a pater r extent of the remainin ted, this Notice of Allow and prosecution is rec may wait until the appl request that the previou ling without an assignm the issuance of a pater r extent of the remainin the inventor's address i n PTOL-85b enclosed; If 85b. ASSIGNMENT DATA must have been previou fee as required by 37 C. is inclusion in the printe re printed copies of the ewith; If use of a Depos	ASS BATCH NO. 11.18 as follows: for a 50, If the applicant qu half the respective ame and the respective ame MONTHS FROM THE The issue fee will not treferst as shown by the filed before the mailing ance with 37 C.F.R. it based on this applicant the the applicant from and the respective pattern f there are address char section of PTOL 456 sity submitted to the 1, F.R. 1.334. Where the d patent. prospective pattern ca it Account is being an the issue fee. The copi	APPLN, TYPE S LITTL_TTY an original or reissue alifies for and has fi ount aforementione tof small entity stat lish status as a small antities and preclude E MAILLING DATE ( be accepted from a records of the Patt ng of the notice of a records of the Patt and the appropriate may request a refund d allowable or held a to ffice address of t the address which a anges for more than must be completed Patent and Tradema. ere is an assignment, n be made by completed thorized for payme es ordered will be se	MALL ENTITY ND patent, except foo led a verified state d. The issue fee du us may be filed pr entity prior to or es a refund of any 1 OF THIS NOTICE invone other than i of THIS NOTICE invone other than i of THIS NOTICE invone other than i and and the second office action will dor request that t sbandoned, If allow indoned, applicant he inventor(s) will poers in the appli two inventors, ent in all cases. If it is rk Office or must i the assignee's nan teting the informat et and other add	FEE DUE \$500 + 00 \$500 + 00 ra design or plan- ment of small en- re printed above r ior to or with pay- with payment of portion thereof o as indicated above the applicant; a ru- or to or with pay- met of the payment of portion thereof o as indicated above the applicant; a ru- riged, it is indicate ad prior to compli- rs of the patent. I follow in due cou- he fee be credited wed, upon receipion- may request refu- be printed in the cation, please fill er the additional desired to have t be and address ru- ion in Section 4. Juid also be forwar	DATE DUE 11/07/84 11/07/84 t patent, \$500; ity status in effects ment of the issue fee aid prior to re. The appli- igistered authorization the deposit is above. etion of final n addition, re. If the t os Deposit of a new Notice and or credit to patent heading in the informa- addresses on he patent issue later than the isst be provided of PTOL-85b cection 1 or
ATTY'S DOCKET NO 5:49 0 - 25 The amount of the issue fee i for a design patent, \$175; an accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1 applicant's status as of the til the issue fee. However, in acc precludes payment of the issue statulishing status as a simall i THE ISSUE FEE MUST BE I cation shall otherwise be regar issue fee has already been pal Account at the time of mailing in order to minimize delays i processing. The nature and/o if prosecution is to be reoper issue fee has already been pal Account. However, applicant of Allowance, applicant may a Deposit Account. In the case of each patent iss and in the Official Gazette, It tion in the spaces provided on the reverse side of the PTOL. The appropriate spaces in the to an essignee, an assignment tare of payment of the issue on the PTOL-85b to ensure I Advance orders for 10 or mo and submitting payment ther must be for at least 10 copies 1 A of PTOL-85b.	CLASS-SUBCLA s specified by 37 C.F.R. d for a plant patent; \$22 .27, the issue fee is one- the of mailing this notice iordance with 37 C.F.R. us fee in the amount so entity. PAID WITHIN THREE I irided as ABANDONED. pae or other party in in posit account has been g of this notice in accorr n the issuance of a pater r extent of the remainin ed, this Notice of Allow d and prosecution is ree may weit until the spol may weit until the spol may weit until the previou uing without an assignm f the inventor's address i 1 PTOL-85b enclosed; II 85b. ASSIGNMENT DATA must have been previou les as required by 37 C. is inclusion in the printe re printed copies of the ewith. If use of a Depos and must accompany, the	ASS BATCH NO. ASS BATCH NO. ASS BATCH NO. ASS BATCH NO. A verified statemen 1.28, failure to estab- established for small e MONTHS FROM THE The issue fee will not tarest as shown by th filed before the mailing ance with 37 C.F.R. In based on this appling revision or processive wance will be vacated opened, the applicant lication is either found usly submitted issue fer is now different from f there are address cha- section of PTOL-856 silt Account is being an the Accepticant prom- f there are address cha- section of PTOL-856 solution is being an the Accepticant form a the complete patent ca- tic Account is being an the account is being and the account the account is being and the account the account the account the account the account t	APPLN, TYPE S IITTL.TTY an original or reissue alifies for and has fi ount aforementione alifies for and has fi ount aforementione to famile reity stat lish status as a small entities and preclude X E MAILING DATE ( to eaccepted from a erecords of the Patt and the appropriate may request a refun d allowable or held ; es be applied. If abar and the address of tt the address which a anges for more than must be completed Patent and Trademas re is an assignment; n be made by compl	MALL ENTITY ND Patent, except foi led a verified state d. The issue fee du us may be filed pr entity prior to or es a refund of any p OF THIS NOTICE myone other than ent and Trademark illowance, the issue e has been so cha may have been mail y cause slight delay Office action will d or request that t abandoned, If allow ndoned, applicant he inventor(s) will popears in the appli two inventors, ent in all cases. If it is rk Office or must 1 the assignee's nam leting the informat int, PTOL-85c shou int only to the add	FEE DUE \$5500+00 \$5500+00 ra design or plan ment of small entre the printed above ri- to ro to rwith pay- with payment of portion thereof or as indicated above the applicant; a ro- to file. Where ar- the printed above ri- the applicant; a ro- the applicant; a ro- applicant; a ro- applicant	DATE DUE 11/09/84 1 1/09/84 t patent, \$500; ity status in effects ment of the issus fee aid prior to ve. The appli- ogistered authorization the deposit of above. letion of final n addition, res. If the to a Deposit to f anew Notice and or credit to patent heading in the informa- addresses on the patent issue later than the sits be provided of PTOL-85b ded. The order ection 1-or
ATTY'S DOCKET NO 5:490-255 The amount of the issue fee I for a design patent, \$175; an accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1 applicant's status as of the tin the issue fee. However, in acc precludes payment of the issi establishing status as a small I THE ISSUE FEE MUST BE I cation shall otherwise be rega attorney or agent; or the assi to charge the issue fee to a d account at the time of mailin In order to minimize delays i processing. The nature and/o if prosecution is to be reoper issue fee has already been pai account, at the time of mailin In order to minimize delays i processing. The nature and/o if prosecution is to be reoper issue fee has already been pai account. However, applicant of Allowance, applicant may a Deposit Account. In the case of each patent issue and in the Official Gazette, I tion in the spaces provided of the reverse side of the PTOL- The appropriate spaces in the to an essignee, an assignment davonce orders for 10 or mo ad submitting payment ther must be for at least 10 copies Note attached commu	CLASS-SUBCLA s specified by 37 C.F.R. d for a plant patent; \$22 .27, the issue fee is one- interference with 37 C.F.R. us fee in the amount so entity. AID WITHIN THREE I rede as ABANDONED. gnee or other party in in posit account has been red as ABANDONED. go f this notice in accorr n the issuance of a pater r extent of the remainin ed, this Notice of Allow d and prosecution is reco may weit until the appli request that the previou uing without an assignm f the inventor's address i n PTOL-85b enclosed; II 85b. ASSIGNMENT DATA Must have been previou fee as required by 37 C, a inclusion in the printer exith. If use of a Depos and must accompany the nication from Examiner	ASS BATCH NO. ASS BATCH NO. ASS BATCH NO. ASS BATCH NO. A verified statemen 1.28, failure to estab- established for small e MONTHS FROM THE The issue fee will not there as shown by th filed before the mailling ance with ST C.F.R. In based on this appling revision or processive wance will be vacated opened, the applicant lication is either foum usly submitted issue for ent, the complete pool is now different from f there are address char section of PTOL & 5b sity submitted to the J.F.R. 1.334. Where the d patent. prospective patent co it Account is being a he issue fee. The complete pool and the complete pool and the complete pool the complete patent co it Account is being a he issue fee. The copi	APPLN. TYPE S IITIL TTY an original or reissue alifies for and has fi ount aforementione alifies for and has fi ount aforementione to fismal entity stat lish status as a small be accepted from a e records of the Patt be accepted from g of the notice of a a records of the Patt be accepted from g of the notice of a a records of the Patt be accepted from g requirements may may request a refun may request a refun d allowable or held d se be applied. If abaa at office address of ti the address which a anges for more than must be completed Patent and Tradema ere is an assignment, n be made by compl uthorized for payme es ordered will be se	MALL ENTITY ND Patent, except foi led a verified state d. The issue fee du us may be filed pr entity prior to or entity prior to or est a refund of any j OF THIS NOTICE of THIS NOTICE ent and Trademark illowance, the issue es has been mail do request that t do request that t is bandoned, failto ndoned, applicant two inventor(s) will ppears in the appli two inventors, ent in all cases. If it is the assignee's nam leting the informat nt, PTOL-85c shound atTENTION IS	FEE DUE \$5500 + 00 r a design or plan ment of small ent ie printed above r ior to or with pay with payment of poortion thereof o as indicated above the applicant; a r offee is charged to offee is charged to offee, Where ar offee is charged to offee is charged to the papinted in the cation, please fill er the additional desired to have t be submitted not ne and address rm tion in Section 4 uld also be forwar ress specified in s IMPORTANT DIRECTED TO	DATE DUE 11/09/84 11/09/84 t patent, \$500; ity status in effects ity sta

Page

-PTOL-855 (Rev. 8-82) ISSUE FEE TR.	ANSMITTAL U.S. Department of Commerce R
This form is provided in lieu of a formal transmittal and should be used for transmittir	ng the Issue Fee. Sections 1A through 4 must be completed as appropriate.
INVENTOR (S) ADDRESS CHANGE 1 SC/SERIAL NO.	MAILING INSTRUCTIONS
INVENTOR'S NAME	All further correspondence including the Issue Fee Receipt,
AT not	entered in section 1 on PTOL-85c, unless you direct otherwise
Street Address	by specifying the appropriate name and address in TA below. 2A. The COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADE-
City, State and Zip Code	MARKS is requested to apply the Issue Fee to the application identified below.
CO-INVENTOR'S NAME	TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND
Streat Address	(Date) (Date)
	Section Cambourt 10/24/8.
City, State and Zp Code	Be Note at the tase fee will not be accepted from anyone other than the applicant; a
Check if additional changes are on reverse side,	registered attorney or spent; or the assignee or other party in interest as shown by the records of the Patent and Trademark Office.
SC/SERIAL NO. FILING DATE TOTAL CLAIMS	EXAMINER AND GROUP ART UNIT DATE MAILED
06/265,276 05/20/81 014 WE	PPLINGER, E 128 08 99/84
Applicant BLJARD, HERMANN	G.
ATTY'S DOCKET NO. CLASS-SUBCLASS BATCH NO. A 5490-35 435-006.000 C12 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited	UTILITY JEAN BEEDUE DATE DUE
with the United States Postal Service as inst class much in	
Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on 0/24/15	- For printing on the patent front
(Date of Deposit)	page, list the names of not more <u>1 Bertram I. Rowland</u>
TOWNSEND & FOWNSEND	or agents OR, alternatively, the name of a firm having as a mem- 2
an aby the andard	ber a registered attorney or agent. If no name is listed, no name
Bertram I. Rowland	will be printed,
Reg. No. 20,015 USE T	HIS SPACE
3. ASSIGNMENT DATA (print or type)	4.
A LINE THE ADDRESS IN NOT PERSON FOR SEC.	The following fees are enclose:
<ul> <li>A. (1) This application is NOT assigned.</li> <li>(2) XX Assignment for Work's satisfield, the Patent and Trademark Office.</li> <li>(3) Assignment submitted herewith.</li> </ul>	Ly Tissue fée スロンスdvanced order 200LL Assignment recording アウーエムスロージング
3. For Printing On The Patent: (Unless an assignee is identified below, no assignee data will appear on the patent, Inclusion of assignee data below is only appropriate when an assignment has been previously submitted to the PTO or is submitted herewith. Completion of this form is NOT a substitute for filing of an assignment as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.334).	The following fees should be charged to deposit acc. no. 20-1430 (PTOL-85c or additional copy of PTOL-85b must be enclosed)
(1) NAME OF ASSIGNEE: The Board of Trustees of	XX Issue fee
(2) ADDRESS: (City & State or Country)	Assignment recording
Stanford, California	
ASSIGNEE IS A CORPORATION: California	Number of advanced order copies requested. 10 (must be for 10 or more copies)

.

Subject State Sta				1			sense and the sense and the sense of the sen
Signal of patent No:         265.216         DOLAR NO. UNITY           Defined of basics         May 20.1281         The of basics         May 20.1281           Pred of basics         May 20.1281         The of basics         May 20.1281           If and the of basics         May 20.1281         The of basics         May 20.1281           If and the of basics         May 20.1281         The of basics         May 20.1281           If and the of basics         May 20.1281         Statistics         May 20.1281           If and the of basics         May 20.1281         May 20.1281         May 20.1281           If and the of basics         May 20.1281         May 20.1281         May 20.1281           If and the of basics         May 20.1281         May 20.1281         May 20.1281           If and the of basics         May 20.1281         May 20.1281         May 20.1281           If and the of basics         May 20.1281         May 20.1281         May 20.1281           If and the of basics         May 20.1281         May 20.1281         May 20.1281           If and the of basics         May 20.1281         May 20.1281         May 20.1281           If and the of	Applice	Patentee	HERMANN	. BILLARD ET AL		Destro	5490-35 <b>420</b>
Inter-States       Name         Inter-States       VERIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS (37 CFR 1.9(d) and 1.27(d)) - NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION         Inter-States       VERIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS (37 CFR 1.9(d) and 1.27(d)) - NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION         Inter-States       CORGANIZATION:       STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS (37 CFR 1.9(d) and 1.27(d)) - NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION         Inter-States       ORGANIZATION:       STATESENTITY CORGANIZATION:         Inter-States       ORGANIZATION:       STATESCOME (States)         Inter-States       ORGANIZATION:       STATESCOME (States)         Inter-States       ORGANIZATION:       STATESCOME (States)         Inter-States       ORGANIZATION:       ORGANIZATION         Inter-States       ORGANIZATION:       ORGANIZATION:	Secal of Pa	tent No	265 276	1 5 00 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		DOCKE	L 140. 00x 17
Front       "LONGED BIGH-SIGAL STRENGTH PROMOTERS"         VERIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS [37 CFR J.S(f) and L.27(d)] - NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION         Introduction that an an oficial empowered to act on behalf of the nonprofit organization identified below:         NAME OF ORGANIZATION:       STARFORD UNIVERSITY         ADDRESS OF ORGANIZATION:       STARFORD UNIVERSITY         ADDRESS OF ORGANIZATION       TO SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)]         1       UNIVERSITY OR OTHER INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION         1       TAX EXEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)]         1       NONPROFIT SCIENTIFIC OR EDUCATIONAL UNDER STATUTE OF STATE OR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA         (NAME OF STATE       (CITATION OF STATUTE)         (I) WOULD GUALIFY AS TAXE MEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)]         (I) WOULD GUALIFY AS TAXE MEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)]         (I) WOULD GUALIFY AS TAXEN PT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)]         (I) WOULD GUALIFY AS TAXEN PT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)]         (I) WOULD GUALIFY AS TAXEN PT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)]         (I) WOULD GUALIFY AS TAXEN PT UNTER SECONCEATION LUNDER STATUE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA         (I) WOULD GUALIFY AS TAXEN PT UNTER SECONCEANIAL UNDER STATUE OF STATE OF THE	Filed of ks	ired :	May 20	1981		***************************************	
VERIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS [37 CFR 1.9(f) and 1.27(d)] - NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION [30 CFR 1.9(f) and 1.27(d)] - NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION [30 CFR 1.9(f) and 1.27(d)] - NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION [31 CTATUR OF ORGANIZATION [32 CTATUR OF ORGANIZATION [32 CTATUR OF OTHER INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION [32 CTATUR OF OTHER INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION [33 CTATES CONTINE CLEATION OF DEDUCTIONAL UNDER STATUTE OF STATE OR THE UNITED STATES OF [34 CTATUR OF STATE [35 CTATUR OF OF STATUTE] [35 WOULD QUALIFY AS TAX EXEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)] IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 WOULD QUALIFY AS TAX EXEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)] IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 WOULD QUALIFY AS TAX EXEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)] IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 WOULD QUALIFY AS TAX EXEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)] IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 WOULD QUALIFY AS TAX EXEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)] IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 WOULD QUALIFY AS TAX EXEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)] IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 WOULD QUALIFY AS TAX EXEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)] IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 WOULD QUALIFY AS TAX EXEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)] IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 WOULD QUALIFY AS TAX EXEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)] IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [45 WITHED STATES OF AMERICA [55 WITHED STATES OF AMERICA [55 WITHED STATES OF AMERICA [55 WIT	Former	T'CLONED	HIGH-SIGNA	L STRENGTH PROM	DTERS"		
VERTIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS [37 GR 1.9() and 1.27(d)] - NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION [37 GR 1.9() and 1.27(d)] - NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION [37 GR 1.9() and 1.27(d)] - NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION [37 GR 1.9() DE ENCIDE INTERVAL STATUSES (DE SUBJECT) [30 DERESS COP ORGANIZATION [31 UNIVERSITY OR OTHER INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION [31 NONPROFIT SCIENTIFIC OR EDUCATIONAL UNDER STATUTE OF STATE OR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [NAME OF STATE [31 WOULD GUALIFY AS TAKENAPY UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)] IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [31 WOULD GUALIFY AS NONPROFIT SCIENTIFIC OR EDUCATIONAL UNDER STATUTE OF STATE OF THE [32 WOULD GUALIFY AS NONPROFIT SCIENTIFIC OR EDUCATIONAL UNDER STATES OF AMERICA [32 WOULD GUALIFY AS NONPROFIT SCIENTIFIC OR EDUCATIONAL UNDER STATES OF AMERICA [33 OTHE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [34 WOULD GUALIFY AS NONPROFIT SCIENTIFIC OR EDUCATION OF STATE [35 OF AMERICA IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [34 WOULD GUALIFY AS NONPROFIT SCIENTIFIC OR EDUCATION OF STATE OF THE [35 OTHER INFO IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 OTHER INFO INTERNAL FLOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 OTHER INFO INTERVAL FLOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 OTHER INFO INTERVAL FLOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 OTHER INFO INTERVAL FLOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 OTHER INFO INTERVAL FLOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 OTHER INFO INTERVAL FLOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 OTHER INFO INTERVAL FLOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 OTHER INFO INTERVAL FLOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [35 OTHER INFO INTER	26	1					
NAME OF ORGANIZATION: STARFORD UNIVERSITY ADDRESS OF ORGANIZATION: STARFORD UNIVERSITY ADDRESS OF ORGANIZATION: ID5 Encina Hall, Stanford, CA 94305 TYPE OF ORGANIZATION TAXEEWENT UNDER INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION TAXEEWENT UNDER INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION TAXEEWENT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)] TAXEEWENT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)] ONDEROFIT SCIENTIFIC OR EDUCATIONAL UNDER STATUTE OF STATE OF AMERICA (CITATION OF STATE (CITATION OF STATUE	1984	VERIFI	ED STATEMI [37 CFR 1.	SNT (DECLARATIOn (	N) CLAIMING NONPROFIT C	SMALL ENTIT	Y STATUS N
NAME OF ORCANIZATION:       STANTODE UNIVERSITY         ADDRESS OF ORGANIZATION:       105 Encine Hall, Stanford, CA 94305         TYPE OF ORGANIZATION       The stant of the stant	I hardlifte	Clare that I arr	an official en	powered to act on l	pehalf of the nor	nprofit organizat	ion identified below:
ADDRESS OF ORGANIZATION: 105 Encine Hall, Stanford, CA 94305 TYPE OF ORGANIZATION TYPE OF TATE CONTROL OF STATUTE CITATION	NAMI	OF ORGANI	ZATION	STANFORD U	VIVERSITY		
TYPE OF ORGANIZATION TYPE OF T	ADDF	RESS OF ORG	ANIZATION:	105 Encina	Hall, Stanfo	rd. CA 94305	
TYPE OF ORGANIZATION           TYPE OF ORGANIZATION           [*] UNIVERSITY OR OFTHER INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION           [*] TAX EXEMPT UNDER INSTERNAL REVENUES SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3)]           [*] NONPROFT SCIENTIFIC OR EDUCATIONAL UNDER STATUTE OF STATE OR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.           (CITATION OF STATUE							
(ITATION OF STATOTE)         (I)       WOLLD QUALIFY AS TAX EXEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c) (3) IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA         (I)       WOLLD QUALIFY AS NONPROFITS CIENTIFIC OR EDUCATIONAL UNDER STATUTE OF STATE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (NAME OF STATE)         (ITATION OF STATUE)       (ITATION OF STATE)         (ITATION OF STATE)      )         I)       hereby declare that the nonprofit organization identified above qualifies as a nonprofit organization as defined in 3' CFR 1:9(e) for purposes of paying reduced fees under section 41(a) or (b) of Title 35, United States Code with regar- to the invention entitled (Long High-Signal Strength Promoters         by inventor(s)       Hermann G. Bujard, Annie Chang, and Stanley Cohen	TYPE OF ( [X] UNIV [] TAX I [] NONF AMEF (N/	DRGANIZATI ERSITY OR C EXEMPT UND PROFIT SCIEN SICA SME OF STAT	ON THER INSTI ER INTERNA TIFIC OR EI E	TUTION OF HIGHI AL REVENUE SER DUCATIONAL UNI	ER EDUCATION VICE CODE [26 DER STATUTE (	N 3 USC 501(a) an OF STATE OR <sup>4</sup>	d 501(c) (3)] THE UNITED STATES OF
[] WOULD QUALIFY AS TAX EXEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE [26 USC 501(a) and 501(c)[3]  F LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA           [] WOULD QUALIFY AS NONPROFIT SCIENTIFIC OR EDUCATIONAL UNDER STATUTE OF STATE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (CALAR OF STATE	(Cr	FATION OF S	TATUTE	·····	)		
I hereby declare that the nonprofit organization identified above qualifies as a nonprofit organization as defined in 3' CFR 1.9(e) for purposes of paying reduced fees under section 41(a) or (b) of Title 35, United States Code with regar to the invention entitled	[ ] WOUI 501(c) [ ] WOUI UNIT (N4 (CI	LD QUALIFY (3)] IF LOCA LD QUALIFY ED STATES O ME OF STAT TATION OF S	AS TAX EXE ATED IN THE AS NONPRO F AMERICA E	MPT UNDER INTE UNITED STATES FIT SCIENTIFIC O IF LOCATED IN T	RNAL REVENI OF AMERICA R EDUCATION HE UNITED ST )	UE SERVICE CO AL UNDER ST. ATES OF AME	DDE [26 USC 501(a) and ATUTE OF STATE OF THE RICA
by inventor(s)Remann C. Bujard, Annie Chang, and Stanley Cohen	I hereby de CFR 1.9(e) to the inv	clare that the for purposes ention entitled	nonprofit org of paying red Cloned	anization identified uced fees under sec High-Signal St	above qualifies tion 41(a) or (b rength Promot	as a nonprofit ) of Title 35, Un ers	organization as defined in 37 nited States Code with regard
described in         []]       the specification filed herewith         [KX]       application serial no265,276	hr invanta	-(a) Her	nann G Bui	ard Annia Chan	and Stanla	w Cohon	
described in         []       the specification filed herewith         []       Patent no	oy mvencor	(a)	ound of rug	akay iline onen	s, and brante	y conen	*****
[]       the specification filed herewith         [XX]       application serial no265,276				*.*	·····		described in
[]       Patent no		the specifical	ion filed here	with		1-11 20 100	4
I predent no		application s	eriai no. <u>2</u>	03,270	, nied	lay 20, 190	<u></u>
I hereby declare that rights under contract or law have been conveyed to and remain with the nonprofit organization with regard to the above identified invention. If the rights held by the nonprofit organization are not exclusive, each individual, concern or organization having right to the invention is listed below* and no rights to the invention are held by any person, other than the inventor, whe could not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d) or a nonprofit organization under 37 CFR 1.9(e). *NOTE: Separate verifies statements are required from each named person, concern or organization having rights to the invention averring to the istatus as small entities (37 CFR 1.27). NAME	i i	ratent no			, issued		<u></u>
If the rights held by the nonprofit organization are not exclusive, each individual, concern or organization having right to the invention is listed below* and no rights to the invention are held by any person, other than the inventor, who could not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d) or by any concern which would not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d) or by any concern which would not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d) or by any concern which would not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d) or a nonprofit organization under 37 CFR 1.9(e). *NOTE: Separate verified statements are required from each named person, concern or organization having rights to the invention averring to their status as small entities (37 CFR 1.27).         NAME	I hereby de with regard	eclare that right to the above i	its under cont dentified inve	tract or law have be ntion.	en conveyed to	and remain wit	h the nonprofit organizatior
NAME	If the right to the inver- could not q business co statements status as sm	s held by the ntion is listed ualify as a sma ncern under 3 are required fi all entities (37	nonprofit orgi below* and n ll business con 7 CFR 1.9(d om each name CFR 1.27).	anization are not ex to rights to the inve ncern under 37 CFR ) or a nonprofit of ed person, concern o	clusive, each ind ntion are held k 1.9(d) or by an ganization unde or organization h	lividual, concern oy any person, c y concern which er 37 CFR 1.9( naving rights to t	or organization having rights other than the inventor, who a would not qualify as a small c). *NOTE: Separate verified he invention averring to their
ADDRESS       [] INDIVIDUAL       [] SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN       [] NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION         NAME_ADDRESS	NAME						
[] INDIVIDUAL       [] SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN       [] NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION         NAME	ADDRESS_						
NAME	[ ]	INDIVIDUAL	- [	] SMALL BUSINE	SS CONCERN	[ ] NO	NPROFIT ORGANIZATION
ADDRESS          [] INDIVIDUAL       [] SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN       [] NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION         I acknowledge the duty to file, in this application or patent, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of er       itilement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance         itee due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate. [37 CFR 1.28(b)]         hereby declare that all statements made of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful fals tatements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 USC \$1001, and may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.         NAME OF PERSON SIGNING       Katharine Ku         PITLE IN ORGANIZATION       Associate Director, Technology Licensing         ADDRESS OF PERSON SIGNING       105 Encina Hall, Stanford, CA 94305						•	
[] INDIVIDUAL [] SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN [] NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION acknowledge the duty to file, in this application or patent, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of er itlement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance ee due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate. [37 CFR 1.28(b)] hereby declare that all statements made of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information und belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful fals tatements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 USC \$1001, and may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed. NAME OF PERSON SIGNING Katharine Ku PITLE IN ORGANIZATION Associate Director, Technology Licensing ADDRESS OF PERSON SIGNING 105 Encina Hall, Stanford, CA 94305	NAME						
acknowledge the duty to file, in this application or patent, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of en- itlement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance we due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate. [37 CFR 1.28(b)] hereby declare that all statements made of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information ind belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful fals tatements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 USC \$1001, and may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed. NAME OF PERSON SIGNING <u>Katharine Ku</u> TITLE IN ORGANIZATION <u>Associate Director</u> , Technology Licensing ADDRESS OF PERSON SIGNING <u>105 Encina Hall</u> , Stanford, CA 94305	ADDRESS_	TATATATAT		LOMATT DISCINIC	C CONCEDN	1 1 100	
acknowledge the duty to file, in this application or patent, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of er itlement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance is due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate. [37 CFR 1.28(b)] hereby declare that all statements made of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information ind belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful fals tatements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 USC \$1001, and may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed. NAME OF PERSON SIGNING Katharine Ku FITLE IN ORGANIZATION Associate Director, Technology Licensing ADDRESS OF PERSON SIGNING 105 Encina Hall, Stanford, CA 94305	()	INDIVIDUAI	4 <u>l</u>	J SMALL BUSINE	SS CONCERN	[ ] NO	NPROFIT ORGANIZATION
hereby declare that all statements made of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on informatio and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful fals tatements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 USC \$1001, and may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed. NAME OF PERSON SIGNING <u>Katharine Ku</u> FITLE IN ORGANIZATION <u>Associate Director, Technology Licensing</u> ADDRESS OF PERSON SIGNING <u>105 Encina Hall, Stanford, CA 94305</u>	acknowled itlement to ee due afte	dge the duty t small entity r the date on y	o file, in this status prior to vhich status as	application or pate paying, or at the ti s a small entity is no	nt, notification me of paying, th longer appropri	of any change in he earliest of the late. [37 CFR 1.	status resulting in loss of er issue fee or any maintenanc 28(b)]
NAME OF PERSON SIGNING Katharine Ku TITLE IN ORGANIZATION Associate Director, Technology Licensing ADDRESS OF PERSON SIGNING 105 Encina Hall, Stanford, CA 94305 Katharine Ku DATTE 10/17/84	hereby de ind belief a tatements validity of t	clare that all s are believed to and the like a he application	tatements ma be true; and e punishable , any patent is	de of my own kno further that these by fine or imprison suing thereon, or ar	wledge are true statements were ment, or both, u y patent to whi	and that all state made with the inder 18 USC si ch this verified s	ements made on information knowledge that willful fals 1001, and may jeopardize th tatement is directed.
ADDRESS OF PERSON SIGNING 105 Encina Hall, Stanford, CA 94305	NAME OF	PERSON SIG	NING	Katharine Ku			
ADDRESS OF PERSON SIGNING 105 Encina Hall, Stanford, CA 94305							
Kathanin King	TITLE IN	ORGANIZAT		Associate Direc	tor, Technolo	ogy Licensing	· · ·
Katter Kill	TITLE IN ADDRESS	ORGANIZAT OF PERSON	ION SIGNING	Associate Direc 105 Encina H	tor, Technolo all, Stanford	ogy Licensing 1, CA 94305	
$D_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	TITLE IN ADDRESS	ORGANIZAT OF PERSON	ION SIGNING	Associate Direc 105 Encina H	tor, Technolo all, Stanford	ogy Licensing 1, CA 94305	
SIGNATURE / UN DATE DATE DATE	TITLE IN ADDRESS	ORGANIZAT OF PERSON	IONSIGNING	Associate Direc 105 Encina H	tor, Technolo all, Stanford	ogy Licensing 1, CA 94305	117/04

.

FORM PT0-875		U.S. OE, IMENT PATENT AND TRAC	OF COMME	RCE	SERIAL NUMBE			FILING DA	17E
PATENT	APPLICATI	ON FEE DETERM	INATION		APPLICANT (F	irst Nan	ed Only)		1
M					Buj	an	d	eta	J
	(1)		CLAIMS	AS FIL	ED - PART		(6)	r	/ 21
	FOR	NU: Fl	WBER LED		NUMBER FILED		RAT	E	BASIC FEE \$65.00
	TOTAL CLAIMS	. 4	4 -10:	=	34	=		× \$2.00	68
	INDEPENDENT CLAIMS		3 -"	=	2	-		× \$10,00	20
							TOTAL FIL	-ING	153
		(	LAIMS AS	AMENI	DED - OART I	l 			
	(1).	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3)	HI PI	(4) GHEST NO. ERVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PR	(5) IESENT XTRA	(6) RATE	17) ADDITIONAL FEE
	TOTAL	* 14	MINUS	**		=		× 52	<b>*</b> .
AMENDMENT	INDEP.	* (	MINUS		z			× 510	3
æ- -			TOTAL ADDIT THIS AMENDM			IONAL	FEE FOR	*	
	TOTAL	*	MINUS	**		=		× 52	*
AMENDMENT	INDEP.	*	MINUS			=		X \$10	÷.
		-			TOTAL ADDIT	TIONAL	FEE FOR	▶	1
	TOTAL	*	MINUS	**		*		× 52	3
AMENDMENT	INDEP.	*	MINUS		······	=		× \$10	*
					TOTAL ADDIT	IONAL	FEE FOR	*	
	TOTAL	*	MINUS	**		31		x \$2	
AMENOMENT	INDEP.	*	MINUS	**		=	-	× s <i>i</i> o	2
					TOTAL ADDIT	TIONAL	FEE FOR	▶	
If the entr If the "Hi	ry in Column 2 ighest Number	is less than the en Previously Paid Fo	try in Colur r'' IN THIS	nn 4, w SPAC	rite ''0'' in Co E is less than	lumn 5 10, wri	te ''10'' in	this space	
The "Hig box in Co	hest Number P lumn 2.	reviously Paid For	' (''Total''	or "In	dep.") is the h	ighest	number four	id in the a	ppropriate

^

USCOMM.DC 3087-P79

,	PTO-1130 (rev. 9-79)		PALM III	APPLICATIO	N FILE DA	TA CO	DING	SHEET	U.S	, DEP	ANTMENT	of COMM	ENCE	rue & Th	071.	PREP	AREI	BY	4	p1-	7		DA;	7-1	4-1	81
	FORMAT NO. 2 / SE	RIAL NO. TYP	E FILING D	ATE Year	SPECIAL HANDLING	GRO ART I	UP JNIT		CLASS		SHE DR/	ETS OF AWINGS	]	TOT	AL IMS		INDE	PEND	ENT S		F	ECEN	FEE /ED	SE	CURI	Ϋ¥ 17
	06 265	276 🗍	9520	081		117	12	4	13	51		-1	] <i>n</i>		22		••••••		3	Í		1:	53	1	N	
	FORMAT NO. 3	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NUMB	A (12 spaces)		Atty's Reg	Nor,	FORMAT	NO. 4 -	Appli	cant's Name	& Addres	FOR	MAT NO	5. This	of invo	ntion			FOR	N TAN	0.5 &	7 Corres	pondan	ice Ade	dross
		151419	10-33			CODING	HEET	DAPP.	PAPER	8		SHEET	12	APPL. PA	PERS		DING	SHEE	т	8	4891., i	Арен	3 []	CODI	NG SH	EET
	FORMAT NO. 8			CONTINUITY	PA	RENT APP	LICATI	N SERIA	L NUMB	ER	*	PARI Month	ENT FI	LING DA	Year	7	STA	rus c	BODE	ĩ		AREN	T PATE	NT NU	MBER	<b>;</b>
		RECORD	8 0 1		0																					
		RECORD	8 0 2		0																					
		RECORD	8 0 3		0										_									<u> </u>	ļ	ļ., !
		RECORD	8 0 4		0										_										ļ	ļ
		RECORD	8 0 5		0																				ļ	ļ
		RECORD	806		0																					<b>_</b>
		RECORD	8 0 7		0																					
		RECORD	8 0 8		0						ļ														ļ'	
,	MORE ON	RECORD	8 0 9		0																					
	CODING SHEET	RECORD	8 1 0		0		ł				) 	ļ				<u> </u>								<u> </u>		
	FORMAT NO. 9			COUNTRY CO	DE		P	CT/FORE	GN APP	LICAT	TION SERV	al Numbi	ER				Mo	P NA	ILING Do	DAT	E Ye	r				
		RECORD	9 0 1							1																
	FOREIGN	RECORD	902																							
	() YES	RECORD	9 0 3				ľ																			
-	UN0	RECORD	904																							
		RECORD	905																							
		RECORD	906																		ĺ					
	APPLICATION PAPERS	RECORD	907																							
		RECORD	9 0 8		/											[										
		RECORD	9 0 9							T																
	SUPPLEMENTAL CODING SHEET	RECORD	9 1 0																							
	;																									

	MI	JLTIPL	E DEPH	NDEN	CLAI	M		NO.	52	76		FILING	DATE 20-	<u>&amp;(</u>
	1 (	FOR US	ECULA E WITH .	FORM P	HEET (0-875)		B	V	Jar	d	đ.	2		
					Г <u>а</u> г		AIMS	0			r:		r	
	AS F	ILED	1st AME	NDMENT	2nd AME	NOMENT		1			ļ*	·····	·	<del></del>
	IND.	DEP.	IND.	DEP.	IND.	DEP.			IND.	DEP.	IND.	DEP.	IND.	DEP.
$\frac{1}{2}$				<u> </u>	<b></b>		51							<b>_</b>
-4		1		ļ							<b> </b>			
4	*****	3					54				<del> </del>			+
5		3			<u> </u>		55			·******	<u>†</u>			<u> </u>
6		3		1		<u> </u>	56	1			1			1
7		3					57							
8		3					58							
9		3		L			59						<b>_</b>	
10					ļ		60				ļ	<u> </u>	ļ	ļ
_11_	-7			ļ	Į	ļ	61						ļ	. <u> </u>
-12							62						<b> </b>	+
-13-		7		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u> ]					╂────		<b> </b>	+
*					<u> </u>	<b>├</b> ─── <b>┤</b>	64				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+	+
10		-3					80	+			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+
17		3		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		67	+			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	+
-18		-I-		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		68					<u>+</u>	1	1
-19-							69				1		1	1
20							70	T			1		1	
							71	Τ						
-22							72						L	
23				ļ	ļ		78	4		ļ	ļ	ļ	<u>[</u>	. <u> </u>
24				ļ	ļ		74					<u> </u>		. <u></u>
25				ļ	<u> </u>		75	4			l	<u> </u>	·	<u> </u>
26					<u> </u>		76	_			<b> </b>		<b>_</b>	
21				<u> </u>		+	77		****				<u> </u>	
29						+	70		*****				+	
30							80				1	1		
31			*	1	t		81	-1-			1	1	1	1
32					1		82				1	1		
33							83							
34				ļ			84							
35			ļ	<b> </b>		ļ]	85	_		<b> </b>	<b></b>	ļ	ļ	
36				<b> </b>	ļ	Ļ	86			ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<b>_</b>	
37					<b> </b>	- <b> </b>	87	_	·····	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ		+
38				<u> </u>	ļ	<b>.</b>	88	-			<b>_</b>			
39				<u> </u>							+	+	- <u> </u>	
<del>4</del> 1				1	1	+	91	f	*****	<u> </u>	t	+	1	-
42	,			t	t	+	92	+		t	†	1	1	+
43				1	1	<u>†</u>	93	1		t	1	1	1	1
44							94		······			1		
45							95	T			[			
46							96							
47				ļ		4	97						<b>_</b>	
48				<u> </u>		<b>I</b>	98			ļ			<b>_</b>	
49				<u> </u>	<b> </b>	- <u> </u>	99		******	+		+		
	Ž.			<u> </u>	<b> </b>	+	TOTA	-		+	+	4	+	+
VD.	2			l I	ļ		IND.				ļ			
OTAL EP.	41			قسې.	<u> </u>		DEP.	<u> </u>		- <b></b>				
OTAL	44		]				TOTA	AS						

· ·

\*MAY BE USED FOR ADDITIONAL CLAIMS OR AMENDMENTS U.S. DEPARTMENT of COM Patent and Trademark Office

