

Filed on behalf of Patent Owners Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope by:

David L. Cavanaugh
Reg. No. 36,476
Heather M. Petruzzi
Reg. No. 71,270
Owen K. Allen
Reg. No. 71,118
Robert J. Gunther, Jr.
Pro Hac Vice Pending
WilmerHale
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006

Adam R. Brausa
Reg. No. 60,287
Daralyn J. Durie
Pro Hac Vice Pending
Durie Tangri LLP
217 Leidesdorff Street
San Francisco, CA 94111

Jeffrey P. Kushan
Reg. No. 43,401
Sidley Austin LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C.
20005

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE,
Patent Owners.

Case IPR2016-00710
U.S. Patent 6,331,415 B1

**PATENT OWNERS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ADMISSION *PRO HAC*
VICE OF DARALYN J. DURIE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(C)**

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Patent Owners Genentech, Inc.

(“Genentech”) and City of Hope, by and through its attorneys, respectfully request that the Board admit Daralyn J. Durie *pro hac vice* in this proceeding, IPR2016-00710. Petitioner’s counsel has indicated that it does not oppose this motion.

II. GOVERNING LAW, RULES, AND PRECEDENT

Section 42.10(c) of 37 C.F.R. provides as follows:

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

The Board has specified that a motion for *pro hac vice* admission shall be filed in accordance with the “ORDER-AUTHORIZING MOTION FOR PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION – 37 C.F.R. § 42.10” in *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case No. IPR2013-00639 (“Representative Order”). The Representative Order states that the motion must “[c]ontain a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during the proceeding,” and “[b]e accompanied by an affidavit or declaration of the individual

seeking to appear” which attests to a number of facts concerning the counsel seeking admission *pro hac vice* specified in the Representative Order.

Accompanying this motion as Exhibit 2002 is the Declaration of Daralyn J. Durie in Support of this Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* (“Durie Decl.”).

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. Patent Owners' lead counsel, David L. Cavanaugh, is a registered practitioner (Reg. No. 36,476).
2. Ms. Durie is a Partner at the law firm Durie Tangri LLP. (Durie Decl. ¶ 2, Ex. 2002).
3. Ms. Durie is an experienced litigating attorney and has been litigating cases relating to patents for over 20 years. (*Id.* ¶ 2)
4. Ms. Durie is a member in good standing of the California State Bar, and among other courts, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (*Id.* ¶ 3).
5. Ms. Durie has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. (*Id.* ¶ 5).
6. No application filed under Ms. Durie for admission to practice before any court or administrative body has ever been denied. (*Id.* ¶ 6).
7. No sanctions or contempt citations have been imposed against Ms. Durie by any court or administrative body. (*Id.* ¶ 7).

8. Ms. Durie has read and agrees to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R. (*Id.* ¶ 8).

9. Ms. Durie understands that she will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). (*Id.* ¶ 9).

10. In the last three (3) years, Ms. Durie has appeared *Pro Hac Vice* before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board in the following cases: *Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC and Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope*, IPR2015-01624; *Genzyme Corporation v. Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope*, IPR2016-00460 (*pro hac vice* motion filed) (joined with IPR2015-01624); *Genzyme Corporation v. Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope*, IPR2016-00383 (*pro hac vice* motion filed) (not instituted); *Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. v. Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope*, IPR2016-01373 (*pro hac vice* motion filed). (*Id.* ¶ 10).

11. Ms. Durie has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. She has handled patent cases relating to recombinant antibodies for more than twelve years, including six litigations in which U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415 (“the ’415 patent”) was a patent-in-suit. (*Id.* ¶ 11). In all of these cases involving the ’415 patent, she has represented Genentech and in several of these cases, she also represented City of Hope. During these litigations, she has

worked closely with Adam R. Brausa, counsel for Genentech and City of Hope in this matter. (*Id.*).

12. Additionally, Ms. Durie has carefully reviewed and has developed extensive familiarity with the matters involved in and implicated by these proceedings, including the '415 patent and its file history, the prior art presented in the petition, and the legal and factual issues raised by the Petitioner in this proceeding. As a result, Ms. Durie has acquired substantial understanding of the underlying legal and technological issues at stake in this proceeding. (*Id.* ¶ 12)

IV. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF DARALYN J. DURIE

The facts outlined above in the Statement of Facts, supported by the Declaration of Daralyn J. Durie (Ex. 2002), establish there is good cause to admit Ms. Durie *pro hac vice* in this proceeding under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10. Patent Owners' lead counsel, David L. Cavanaugh, is a registered practitioner in good standing before the Board. Ms. Durie is an attorney in good standing in the State Bar of California and the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Ms. Durie has extensive experience litigating patents, including the '415 patent, which is the subject of this proceeding. As a result, Ms. Durie is familiar with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. Furthermore, Ms. Durie has carefully reviewed the '415 patent at issue in this proceeding, its prosecution history, the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.