2007 07 11 Hearing Transcript.txt

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	HONORABLE MARIANA R. PFAELZER, JUDGE PRESIDING
5	
6	MEDIMMUNE, INC.,
7	PLAINTIFF,
8	VS NO. CV 03-2567-MRP
9	GENENTECH, INC., CITY OF HOPE, : and CELLTECH R&D LTD., :
LO	DEFENDANTS.
11	DEPENDANTS.
12	
13	
14	
15	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA WEDNESDAY, JULY 11, 2007
17	WESTESSAT, 30ET 11, 2007
L8	ê
19	
20	
21	
22	MARK SCHWEITZER, CSR, RPR, CRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
23	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 181-H ROYBAL FEDERAL BUILDING
24	255 EAST TEMPLE STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
25	(213) 663-3494

2

1

25

```
2007 07 11 Hearing Transcript.txt Appearances of Counsel:
 1
       For the Plaintiff:
 2
 3
              Williams & Connolly
              By Gerson A. Zweifach, AAL
                   Aaron P. Maurer, AAL
Jessamyn S. Berniker, AAL
 4
              725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
 5
              Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 434-5474
 6
 7
              Munger, Tolles & Olson
By Andrea Weiss Jeffrie, AAL
 8
 9
                   Bill Ward, AAL
               355 South Grand Avenue
10
              35th Floor
              Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
(213) 683-9290
11
12
              Carella, Byrne, Bain, Gilfillan,
Cecchi, Stewart & Olstein
By Elliot M. Olstein, AAL
13
14
              5 Becerk Farm Road
Roseland, NJ 07068-1739
(973) 994-1700
15
16
       For the Defendants:
17
18
              Keker & Van Nest
By Daralyn J. Durie, AAL
                   David J. Silbert, AAL
19
              710 Sansome Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
(415) 391-5400
20
21
22
23
24
25
       [MORE]
```

Appearances of Counsel (Continued):

Irell & Manella
By David I. Gindler, AAL
Joseph M. Lipner, AAL
Page 2



F

3

```
2007 07 11 Hearing Transcript.txt 1800 Avenue of the Stars
            Suite 900
            Los Angeles, CA 90067-4276
(310) 277-1010
 5
 6
. 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                      INDEX
 1
 2
 3
                              MATTER: Markman Hearing.
```



Page 3

```
2007 07 11 Hearing Transcript.txt
 6
7
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
           Los Angeles, California; Wednesday, July 11, 2007
 1
 2
                                10:10 A.M.
 3
                WHEREUPON THE CASE HAVING BEEN CALLED AND
                APPEARANCES GIVEN, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS
 5
                WERE HELD:
 6
                THE COURT: All right. Shall we start with the
```



MR. ZWEIFACH: Well, your Honor, it's fine with us Page 4

patentee? What shall we do? What's your preference?

2007 07 11 Hearing Transcript.txt

- 9 for you to start with the patentee, and I do have one piece
- 10 of good news for you. You will not be disappointed to hear
- 11 that we have resolved one of the six controversies.
- 12 THE COURT: No, you haven't.
- 13 MR. ZWEIFACH: It's a small one, but it's better
- 14 than nothing.
- 15 THE COURT: Is it the "or"?
- 16 MR. ZWEIFACH: No, no. It's the phrase "variable
- 17 domain of the immunoglobulin."
- 18 THE COURT: What did you decide about that?
- 19 MR. ZWEIFACH: We went with a phrase that was a
- 20 characterization that was in the joint statement that was
- 21 submitted to your Honor when I wasn't here. But something
- 22 tells me your Honor encouraged both sides to try to resolve
- 23 some of their differences. This has the feel of that kind of
- 24 document. Anyway, there's a characterization of what we
- 25 should agree is the variable domain of immunoglobulin. And

6

- 1 we agree with this. The end -- terminal end of the heavy and
- 2 light chains up to the beginning of the content domain.
- 3 THE COURT: All right.
- 4 MR. ZWEIFACH: So that's -- we agree on that, and
- 5 so we're down to five.
- 6 THE COURT: That's fine. All right. Would you
- 7 rather start with -- it doesn't make a particle of difference
- 8 to me. If you agree to the way you're going to proceed, then
- 9 do that. Otherwise, I think we should start with you.
- MR. ZWEIFACH: Well, I'd be happy to start.

Page 5



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

