

Paper No. \_\_

Filed: June 9, 2016

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**  
**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

INTELGEX CORPORATION  
Petitioner

v.

ICOS CORPORATION  
Patent Owner

IPR2016-00678  
Patent No. 6,943,166

**Patent Owner's Preliminary Response**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|      |  |    |
|------|--|----|
| I.   | INTRODUCTION .....   | 1  |
| II.  | BACKGROUND .....   | 4  |
|      | A. The Parties .....   | 4  |
|      | B. Overview of U.S. Patent 6,943,166 to Pullman .....  | 5  |
|      | C. Prosecution History .....   | 8  |
| III. | CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....   | 11 |
| IV.  | GROUND OF ALLEGED UNPATENTABILITY .....  | 12 |
| V.   | THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED FOR FAILING TO ADDRESS AN ESSENTIAL CLAIM ELEMENT .....  | 12 |
|      | A. The Petition Must Address Each Claim Limitation with Particularly .....   | 13 |
|      | B. The Claimed “Unit Dose of About 1 to About 20 mg” and “a Maximum Total Dose of 20 mg per Day” Are Separate Claim Limitations..... | 15 |
|      | C. The Petition Should Be Denied for Failure to Address the “a Maximum Total Dose of 20 mg per Day” Limitation .....                 | 18 |
| VI.  | THE BOARD SHOULD DECLINE REVIEW OF PETITIONER’S REDUNDANT ARGUMENTS .....  | 23 |
|      | A. The Board Should Deny Institution Where the Same or Substantially the Same Prior Art or Arguments Were Previously Presented ..... | 23 |
|      | B. Both Grounds Should Be Denied as Redundant to the Art and Arguments Previously Considered and Overcome During Prosecution .....   | 24 |
|      | 1. The First Ground Relies on Art and Arguments Previously Considered and Overcome.....  | 24 |

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| 2.   | The Second Ground Fails to Present Persuasive Evidence to Supplement the Record Already Considered by the Office..... | 29 |
| 3.   | The First Ground Should Also Be Denied as Vertically Redundant to the Second Ground .....                             | 31 |
| 4.   | Denial Under § 325(d) Is Appropriate in This Case.....  | 33 |
| VII. | CONCLUSION.....   | 35 |

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

|  | <b>Page(s)</b> |
|--|----------------|
| <b>Federal Cases</b>   |                |
| <i>Abbott Labs. v. Baxter Pharm. Prods., Inc.</i> , 334 F.3d 1274 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....                                | 9              |
| <i>In re Wilson</i> , 424 F.2d 1382 (C.C.P.A. 1970) .....  | 14, 16         |
| <i>Innova/Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtration Sys., Inc.</i> , 381 F.3d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....            | 16             |
| <i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , --F.3d--, 2016 WL 2620512 (Fed. Cir. May 9, 2016) ..... | 13             |
| <i>Merck &amp; Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....                                 | 14, 16         |
| <i>Nike, Inc. v. Adidas AG</i> , 812 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....   | 18             |
| <i>ResQNet.com, Inc. v. Lansa, Inc.</i> , 594 F.3d 860 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....   | 9              |
| <i>Vederi, LLC v. Google, Inc.</i> , 744 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....   | 16             |
| <b>Patent Trial and Appeal Board Cases</b>   |                |
| <i>Conopco, Inc. v. The Proctor &amp; Gamble Co.</i> , IPR2013-00510, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 12, 2014) .....           | 21             |
| <i>Funai Elec. Co. v. Gold Charm Ltd.</i> , IPR2015-01491, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 28, 2015) .....                     | 24, 30, 35     |
| <i>Harmonic, Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00252, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 25, 2013) .....                    | 15, 18, 31     |
| <i>Hopkins Mfg. Corp. v. Cequent Performance Prods., Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00616, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 17, 2015).....   | 14, 15         |
| <i>Jiawei Tech. (HK) Ltd. v. Richmond</i> , IPR2014-00937, Paper 22 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 16, 2014) .....                     | 14, 18         |

*Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.*, CBM2013-00003  
(P.T.A.B. Oct. 25, 2012)..... 31, 32, 33

*Medtronic, Inc. v. Lifepoint Sci. LLC*, IPR2014-00284, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. June 25,  
2014) ..... passim

*Neil Ziegmann, N.P.Z., Inc. v. Stephens*, IPR2015-01860, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Feb.  
24, 2016) ..... 24, 29, 34, 35

*Prism Pharma Co. v. Choongwae Pharma Corp.*, IPR2014-00315, Paper 14  
(P.T.A.B. July 8, 2014).....24

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....13

35 U.S.C. § 314.....1, 14

35 U.S.C. § 325(d) ..... 1, 24, 35

**Other Authorities**

H.R. Rep. No. 112-98, pt.1, at 48 (2011)..... 23, 34

**Regulations**

37 C.F.R. § 42.104 ..... 1, 14, 15

37 C.F.R. § 42.108 .....1, 31

37 C.F.R. § 42.6 .....21

37 CFR § 42.20 .....15

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.