

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZTE USA, Inc.
Petitioner

v.

Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC
Patent Owner

INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,777,753
Case IPR No. IPR2016-00670

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,777,753
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-19 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION4

II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)5

 A. Real Party-in-Interest5

 B. Related Matters.....5

 C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel.....6

 D. Service Information7

III. PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)7

IV. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)7

V. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22
AND 42.104(b)8

 A. Statutory Grounds of Challenge8

 B. The Proposed Grounds are Not Redundant.....9

VI. THE '753 PATENT9

VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION10

 A. Claim Terms to Be Construed.....10

 1. “decoder”11

 B. Expiration of the '753 Patent12

VIII. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART12

IX. SPECIFIC GROUNDS OF CHALLENGE.....13

 A. Ground A: *Bowes*, in view of *MPEG Standard*, renders obvious
 claims 1 and 2.....13

 1. *Bowes*, in view of *MPEG Standard*, renders claim 1
 obvious13

Petition for *Inter Parties* Review of U.S. Pat. No. 7,777,753

2.	Bowes, in view of MPEG Standard, renders claim 2 obvious	22
B.	Ground B: <i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Stearns</i> , renders obvious claim 3.....	23
1.	Bowes, in view of MPEG Standard and Stearns, renders claim 3 obvious	23
C.	Ground C: <i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Shanley</i> , renders obvious claim 4.....	25
1.	Bowes, in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Shanley</i> , renders claim 4 obvious	25
X.	CONCLUSION.....	27

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	16, 27
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	10, 12
<i>In re Rambus, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 42 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	12
<i>Toyota Motor Corp. v. Hagenbuch</i> , IPR2013- 00483, Paper No. 37 at 5 (Dec. 5, 2014).....	12
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	10
<i>In re Yamamoto</i> , 740 F.2d 1569 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	10
<i>In re Zletz</i> , 13 USPQ2d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	10
Federal Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 112	10
35 U.S.C. § 311	27
35 U.S.C. § 311-19.....	1
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	2
Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	8
Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).....	8
Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. § 103	8

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)5
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a).....3, 7
37 C.F.R. § 42.228
37 C.F.R. § 42.1001
37 C.F.R. § 42.10127
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....3, 7
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)8
77 Fed. Reg. 48,756 (Aug. 14, 2012).....10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.