

Paper No. _____
Filed: June 6, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SENJU PHARMACEUTICALS CO., LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00626
U.S. Patent No. 8,784,789

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities	ii
I. Introduction and Statement of Relief Requested.....	1
II. Priority Claim	2
III. Claim Construction.....	3
IV. Level of Skill in the Art.....	3
V. The Board Should Deny the Petition under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).....	3
A. Petitioner Ignores the “Consisting Of” Claim Element	4
B. Petitioner’s Inherency Theory Is Flawed.....	7
C. Petitioner’s Obvious-to-Try Theory Is Flawed.....	11
D. Petitioner Does Not Establish a Motivation to Combine the Cited Art.....	15
E. Petitioner Does Not Establish a Reasonable Expectation of Success	23
VI. The Board Should Deny the Petition under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d).....	29
A. The Art and Arguments Overcome During Prosecution.....	30
B. The Art and Arguments in Proposed Ground 1A Are the Same or Substantially the Same as the Art and Arguments Overcome During Prosecution.....	34
C. The Art and Arguments in Proposed Ground 2A Are the Same or Substantially the Same as the Art and Arguments Overcome During Prosecution.....	39
VII. The Board Should Deny at Least One Proposed Ground as Redundant.....	43
VIII. Conclusion	46

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>AFG Indus., Inc. v. Cardinal IG Co.</i> , 239 F.3d 1239 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	4
<i>Allergan, Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i> , 796 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Extended-Release Capsule Patent Litig.</i> , 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	12, 15, 23
<i>Eisai Co. v. Dr. Reddy's Labs., Ltd.</i> , 533 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	12
<i>Intendis GMBH v. Glenmark Pharm. Inc., USA</i> , No. 2015-1902, 2016 WL 2848916 (Fed. Cir. May 16, 2016).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Intendis GMBH v. Glenmark Pharm. Ltd.</i> , 117 F. Supp. 3d 549 (D. Del. 2015).....	27
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	12
<i>Leo Pharm. Prods., Ltd. v. Rea</i> , 726 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	14, 20, 23
<i>PAR Pharm., Inc. v. TWi Pharm., Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Purdue Pharma L.P. v. Depomed, Inc.</i> , No. 2015-2029, 2016 WL 1161229 (Fed. Cir. Mar. 24, 2016)	20, 22
<i>Shire LLC v. Amneal Pharm., LLC</i> , 802 F.3d 1301 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	13

Patent Trial and Appeal Board Decisions

Berk-Tek LLC v. Belden Techs. Inc.,
IPR2013-00057, Paper 21 (PTAB May 14, 2013)46

BioGatekeeper, Inc. v. Kyoto Univ.,
IPR2014-01286, Paper 12 (PTAB Feb. 11, 2015).....23

Ex Parte Burns,
Appeal 2013-001277, 2014 WL 457898 (PTAB Jan. 31, 2014).....7

C.R. Bard, Inc. v. Med. Components, Inc.,
IPR2015-01660, Paper 9 (PTAB Feb. 9, 2016).....15, 21

Heart Failure Techs., LLC v. CardioKinetix, Inc.,
IPR2013-00183, Paper 12 (PTAB July 31, 2013).....15

Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.,
IPR2013-00324, Paper 19 (PTAB Nov. 21, 2013) (informative opinion)30

Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.,
CBM2012-00003, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 25, 2012)46

Neil Ziegman, N.P.Z., Inc. v. Stephens,
IPR2015-01860, Paper 11 (PTAB Feb. 24, 2016).....30

Palo Alto Networks, Inc. v. Finjan, Inc.,
IPR2015-01999, Paper 7 (PTAB Mar. 29, 2016)30

Prism Pharma Co. v. Choongwae Pharma Corp.,
IPR2014-00315, Paper 14 (PTAB July 8, 2014) (informative opinion)29

Unilever, Inc. v. Proctor & Gamble Co.,
IPR2014-00506, Paper 17 (PTAB July 7, 2014) (informative opinion)29

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 1033

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)2, 3, 4, 46

35 U.S.C. § 325(d)*passim*

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a).....21

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.