



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,354	06/30/2004	Masayo Higashiyama	2004_1016A	2612
513 7590 11/30/2011 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			EXAMINER FRAZIER, BARBARA S	
			ART UNIT 1611	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 11/30/2011	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com  
eoa@wenderoth.com



## DETAILED ACTION

### *Status of Claims*

1. Claims 1-10, 12, and 13 are pending in this application. Claim 11 stands canceled.
2. Claims 1-10, 12, and 13 are examined.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 1-10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kita et al (US Patent 6,307,052, previously cited) in view of Lehmusaaari et al (US Patent 5,795,913).**

The claimed invention, as amended, is drawn to an aqueous liquid preparation comprising, in an aqueous solution, an active ingredient consisting of (+)-(S)-4-[4-[(4-chlorophenyl)(2-pyridyl)methoxy]piperidino]butyric acid (i.e., bepotastine) or a pharmaceutically acceptable acid addition salt thereof, and a water-soluble metal chloride in a light stabilizing effective amount of 0.2 w/v% or more (see claim 1).

Kita et al teach that the benzenesulfonic acid salt or benzoic acid salt of (S)-4-[4-[(4-chlorophenyl)(2-pyridyl)methoxy]piperidino]butanoic acid (i.e., bepotastine) is

excellent in antihistaminic activity and antiallergic activity, has little hygroscopicity and excellent in physicochemical stability, so that it is particularly suitable compound as a medicine. Kita et al also teach that its present invention relates to a medical composition containing the compound as an effective ingredient (see col. 1, lines 10-22).

While Kita et al teach a medical composition comprising bepotastine, Kita et al do not specifically teach how the composition is formulated, and do not specifically teach a water-soluble metal chloride in a light stabilizing effective amount of 0.2 w/v% or more.

Lehmussaari et al teach an ophthalmic composition in the form of a topical aqueous solution consisting essentially of an ophthalmologically active agent containing basic groups, an ion sensitive hydrophilic polymer containing acidic groups, and at least one salt selected from the group of inorganic salts and buffers in a total amount of from 0.01 to 2.0% by weight (abstract). The ophthalmologically active agent may be an antiallergic agent containing basic groups, including basic heterocycles, such as pyridine and piperidine (col. 4, lines 2-9). The salt/buffer functions as a viscosity reducing agent; choices of salts include sodium chloride and potassium chloride (col. 3, lines 45-50 and claim 5). The composition is administered as a liquid and obtains a desired beneficial effect of the active agent in the eye, while simultaneously reducing any discomfort in the patient's eye, as compared to the administration of a composition in gel form. The composition also provides for an additional wetting effect while providing for a better contact and thus a controlled absorption of active agent into the eye (col. 2, lines 10-18).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to formulate the medical composition of Kita et al with the aqueous solution of Lehmuusaari et al; thus arriving at the claimed invention. One skilled in the art would be motivated to do so because the aqueous solution of Lehmuusaari et al provides the benefits of better contact and controlled absorption of active agent into the eye, as well as additional wetting effect, as taught by Lehmuusaari et al (col. 2, lines 10-18). One would reasonably expect success from the use of the formulation of Lehmuusaari et al to formulate the medical composition of Kita et al because Lehmuusaari et al teaches that the ophthalmologically active agent may be an antiallergic agent containing basic groups such as pyridine and piperidine, and Kita et al teach that its compounds have excellent antiallergic activity, and contain both pyridine and a piperidine groups.

Regarding the limitations, “a water-soluble metal chloride in a light-stabilizing effective amount of 0.2 w/v% or more” (claim 1), “sodium chloride at not less than 0.2 w/v% and not more than 0.8 w/v% in a light-stabilizing effective amount” (claim 10), and “light-stabilized with a water-soluble metal chloride at not less than 0.2 w/v% (claim 13), as well as other particular amounts claimed (claims 2, 4, and 13), Lehmuusaari teaches an amount of buffer/salt from 0.01 to 2.0% by weight (col. 2, lines 65-67) which functions to reduce the viscosity, which is favorable for both efficacy and ease of application (col. 3, lines 35-40). This range overlaps those of the claimed invention; one skilled in the art would be motivated to manipulate the amount of salt from within said ranges, including the ranges claimed, by routine experimentation, in order to optimize

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.