UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and APPLE INC., Petitioners,

V.

ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORPORATION, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00622¹ Patent No. 7,149,511 B1

Before the Honorable JUSTIN T. ARBES, PATRICK R. SCANLON, and JOHN A. HUDALLA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETITIONERS' OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE SUBMITTED WITH PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), the undersigned, on behalf of and acting in a representative capacity for Petitioners Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Apple Inc. ("Petitioners"), hereby submit the following objections to Patent Owner Rosetta-Wireless Corporation's ("Patent Owner") Exhibits as indicated below, and any reference thereto/reliance thereon, without limitation. Petitioners' objections below apply the Federal Rules of Evidence

¹ Case IPR2016-00616 has been consolidated with this proceeding.



("F.R.E.") as required by 37 C.F.R § 42.62.

These objections address evidentiary deficiencies in the new material served by Patent Owner on November 28, 2016.

The following objections apply to the Exhibits indicated below as they are actually presented by Patent Owner, in the context of Patent Owner's November 28 Patent Owner Response (Paper 28), and not in the context of any other substantive argument on the merits of the instituted grounds in this proceeding. Petitioners expressly object to any other purported use of these Exhibits, including as substantive evidence in this proceeding, which would be untimely and improper under the applicable rules, and Petitioners expressly assert, reserve, and do not waive any other objections that would be applicable in such a context.

I. Objections to Exhibits 2018-2021 and 2028-2029 And Any Reference to/Reliance Thereon

Grounds for objection: F.R.E. 801, 802 (Impermissible Hearsay), 805 (Hearsay within Hearsay); F.R.E. 403 ("Excluding Relevant Evidence for Prejudice, Confusion, Waste of Time, or Other Reasons").

Petitioners object to the use of Exhibits 2018-2021 and 2028-2029 as impermissible hearsay under F.R.E. 801 and 802, and 805, to the extent to which the out of court statements therein, or the out of court statements referenced therein, are offered for the truth of the matters asserted and constitute impermissible hearsay for which Patent Owner has not demonstrated any exception or exclusion to the rule



against hearsay (F.R.E. 801, 802, 805).

Accordingly, permitting reliance on these documents in Patent Owner's Response or other submissions of Patent Owner would be misleading and unfairly prejudicial to Petitioners (F.R.E. 403).

II. Objections to Exhibit 2026 And Any Reference to/Reliance Thereon
Grounds for objection: F.R.E. 901 (Authenticating or Identifying Evidence);
F.R.E. 1002 (Requirement of the Original); F.R.E. 1003 (Admissibility of
Duplicates); F.R.E. 403 ("Excluding Relevant Evidence for Prejudice, Confusion,
Waste of Time, or Other Reasons").

Petitioners object to the use of Exhibit 2026 under F.R.E. 901, 1002, and 1003. Exhibit 2026 is illegible/cut-off and, thus, the document is not admissible to the same extent as the original (*e.g.*, F.R.E. 901, 1002, 1003).

Accordingly, permitting reliance on this document in Patent Owner's Response or other submissions of Patent Owner would be misleading and unfairly prejudicial to Petitioners (F.R.E. 403).

Objections to Exhibit 2017 And Any Reference to/Reliance Thereon

Grounds for objection: F.R.E. 403 ("Excluding Relevant Evidence for Prejudice, Confusion, Waste of Time, or Other Reasons"); F.R.E. 602 ("Need for Personal Knowledge"); F.R.E. 701 ("Opinion Testimony by Lay Witnesses"); F.R.E.

801, 802 (Impermissible Hearsay), 805 (Hearsay within Hearsay).

Petitioners object to the use of Exhibit 2017 under F.R.E. 602 to the extent



III.

evidence has not been introduced sufficient to support a finding that the declarant has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in Exhibit 2017.

Petitioners further object to the use of Exhibit 2017 under F.R.E. 701 to the extent the declarant is not being offered as an expert witness, and to the extent the matters in Exhibit 2017 are: (a) not rationally based on the declarant's perception; (b) not helpful to clearly understanding the declarant's testimony or to determining a fact in issue; or (c) based on scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge within the scope of Rule 702.

Petitioners further object to the use of Exhibit 2017 as containing impermissible hearsay under F.R.E. 801 and 802, and 805, to the extent to which the out of court statements referenced therein are offered for the truth of the matters asserted and constitute impermissible hearsay for which Patent Owner has not demonstrated any exception or exclusion to the rule against hearsay (F.R.E. 801, 802, 805).

Accordingly, permitting reliance on this document in Patent Owner's Response or other submissions of Patent Owner would be misleading and unfairly prejudicial to Petitioners (F.R.E. 403).

Dated: December 5, 2016 Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/Megan Raymond</u>
Megan F. Raymond (lead counsel)
Reg. No. 72,997
Ropes & Gray LLP



Case IPR2016-00622 Patent No. 7,149,511

2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006-6807 P: 202-508-4741/F: 202-383-8347 megan.raymond@ropesgray.com

Attorney for Samsung Petitioners

Brian E. Ferguson (back-up counsel) Reg. No. 36,801 Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 1300 Eye Street NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005 P: 202-682-7094 /F: 202-857-0940 Brian.Ferguson@weil.com

Attorney for Petitioner Apple Inc.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

