

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00622¹
Patent 7,149,511 B1

Before JUSTIN T. ARBES, PATRICK R. SCANLON, and
JOHN A. HUDALLA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HUDALLA, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Patent Owner's Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of
Daniel A. Zaheer and Michael Ng
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

Patent Owner filed motions requesting *pro hac vice* admission of
Daniel A. Zaheer and Michael Ng in the instant proceeding and submitted
declarations from Messrs. Zaheer and Ng in support of the motions. Paper

¹ Case IPR2016-00616 has been consolidated with this proceeding.

Case IPR2016-00622
Patent 7,149,511 B1

9, 10; Exs. 2014, 2015.² Petitioner did not oppose the motions. Based on the facts set forth in the motions and the supporting declarations, we conclude that Patent Owner has established good cause for Messrs. Zaheer's and Ng's *pro hac vice* admission in the instant proceeding. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c); *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00639, slip op. at 3–4 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7). Patent Owner's lead counsel, Miranda Y. Jones, is a registered practitioner. Paper 7, 2.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Patent Owner's motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Daniel A. Zaheer and Michael Ng are *granted*, and Messrs. Zaheer and Ng are authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel in the instant proceeding only;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in the instant proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Messrs. Zaheer and Ng are to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Messrs. Zaheer and Ng are subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

² Patent Owner filed motions and declarations that appear to be identical in Case IPR2016-00616, which has been consolidated with this proceeding. *See* Case IPR2016-00616, Papers 8, 9; Exs. 2015, 2016.

Case IPR2016-00622

Patent 7,149,511 B1

PETITIONER:

Megan F. Raymond

J. Steven Baughman

ROPES & GRAY LLP

Megan.Raymond@ropesgray.com

steven.baughman@ropesgray.com

Brian E. Ferguson

Anish R. Desai

Megan H. Wantland

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

Brian.Ferguson@weil.com

Anish.Desai@weil.com

Megan.Wantland@weil.com

PATENT OWNER:

Miranda Y. Jones

Michael F. Heim

HEIM, PAYNE & CHORUSH, L.L.P.

mjones@hpcllp.com

mheim@hpcllp.com