

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC., and APPLE INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00622¹
Patent No. 7,149,511 B1

Before the Honorable JUSTIN T. ARBES, PATRICK R. SCANLON, and JOHN A.
HUDALLA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.70(a)

¹ Case IPR2016-00616 has been consolidated with this proceeding.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), the Scheduling Order entered August 22, 2016 (Paper 13), the Decision instituting this proceeding and consolidating IPR2016-00616² with it (Paper 15), and the Order modifying due dates entered January 24, 2017 (Paper 31), Patent Owner Rosetta-Wireless Corporation (“Patent Owner”) respectfully requests oral argument on all the instituted grounds of alleged unpatentability in IPR2016-00616 and IPR2016-0622, as listed below:

Challenged Claim(s)	Basis	References
1–6, 8–10, 58–63, and 65	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	Kimura
1–10, 19, 58–65, and 68	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	Goggin
2 and 59	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	Goggin, Bodnar
8 and 9	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	Goggn, Jornada
20 and 69	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	Goggin, DeLorme Receiver, DeLorme Accessories
21 and 70	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	Goggin, Ogasawara
22 and 71	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	Goggin, CapShare
1–10, 19, 58–65, and 68	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	Goggin, Proxim
2 and 59	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	Goggin, Proxim, Bodnar
8 and 9	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	Goggin, Proxim, Jornada
20 and 69	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	Goggin, Proxim, DeLorme Receiver, DeLorme Accessories
21 and 70	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	Goggin, Proxim, Ogasawara
22 and 71	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	Goggin, Proxim, CapShare

The Board has scheduled DUE DATE 7, the date of the Oral Hearing if requested, to be June 1, 2017 (Paper 31 at 3). Patent Owner respectfully requests a two-hour hearing, with 60 minutes of argument time allotted to Patent Owner individually and 60 minutes of argument time allotted to Petitioners collectively in

² The Decision in IPR2016-00616 is contained in Paper 11 of that proceeding.

connection with the foregoing issues and proceedings. The parties have conferred and Petitioners agree to the amount and division of time for the hearing. Patent Owner further respectfully requests that the Board provide audio/visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits and evidence of record, including a projector and screen for displaying documents.

Dated: April 19, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Miranda Y. Jones
Miranda Y. Jones (Reg. No. 64.721)
Michael Ng (*pro hac vice*)
Daniel A. Zaheer (*pro hac vice*)
Attorneys for Patent Owner
Rosetta-Wireless Corp.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), a copy of the foregoing PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.70(A) was served via email to lead and backup counsel of record for Petitioners as follows:

Megan Raymond
Steven Baughman
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP
mraymond@paulweiss.com
sbaughman@paulweiss.com

Brian E. Ferguson
Anish R. Desai
Megan H. Wantland
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
Brian.Ferguson@weil.com
Anish.Desai@weil.com
Megan.Wantland@weil.com

Richard T. McCaulley
Andrew J. Sutton
Ropes & Gray LLP
Richard.McCaulley@ropesgray.com
Andrew.Sutton@ropesgray.com

Dated: April 19, 2017

By: /Miranda Jones
Miranda Y. Jones (Reg. No. 64,721)
Attorney for Patent Owner
Rosetta-Wireless Corp.