

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Patent No.: 7,149,511	§ Attorney Docket No.:
Inventors: Edward F. Bachner III, John Major, Xin Du	§ 110797-0020-651
Formerly Application No.: 09/652,734	§ Customer No.: 28120
Issue Date: December 12, 2006	§
Filing Date: August 31, 2000	§ Petitioners: SAMSUNG
Former Group Art Unit: 2617	§ ELECTRONICS CO. LTD.,
Former Examiner: Keith Ferguson	§ SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
	§ AMERICA, INC., and APPLE
	§ INC.

For: WIRELESS INTELLIGENT PERSONAL SERVER

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**DECLARATION OF ROGELIO L. JOSE IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 7,149,511**

I, Rogelio L. Jose, make the following Declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C

§ 1746:

1. I am a Senior Litigation Paralegal at the law firm of Ropes & Gray LLP.

2. I provide this Declaration in connection with the above-identified *Inter Partes* Review proceeding requested at the United States Patent and Trademark Office by Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Apple Inc. under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319, 37 C.F.R. § 42. Unless otherwise stated, the facts stated in this Declaration are based on my personal knowledge.

3. Exhibit 1001 hereto is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,149,511 to Edward F. Bachner, III, John Major, and Xin Du which I downloaded on February 2, 2016 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

4. Exhibit 1002 hereto is a true and correct copy of the file history for U.S. Patent No. 7,149,511, which I downloaded on February 2, 2016 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application

Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

5. Pages 00001-00186 of Exhibit 1003 hereto is a true and correct copy of the file history for the *Ex Parte* Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,149,511 (No. 90/011,569), which I downloaded on February 2, 2016 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

6. Exhibit 1005 hereto is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,012,063 to Eric O. Bodnar, which I downloaded on February 11, 2016 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

7. I have confirmed that, as of February 12, 2016 (date last visited), the “HP Jornada 820/820e Handheld PC User’s Guide” attached at Exhibit A within

Exhibit 1006 is available for download at <http://h10032.www1.hp.com/ctg/Manual/bpia2128.pdf> from Hewlett Packard (bearing the same notations in the outer margins, which I understand are printer marks providing information for the printer, such as crop marks (defining where the page should be trimmed) and page information (filename, page number, current date and time (*i.e.*, when printed), *see, e.g.*, <https://helpx.adobe.com/indesign/using/printers-marks-bleeds.html>).

8. Exhibit 1016 hereto is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,446,137 to Rangaswamy Vasudevan and Caveh Jalali, which I downloaded on February 2, 2016 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

9. Exhibit 1017 hereto is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,805,804 to Andrew Laursen, Jeffrey C. Olkin, Mark A. Porter, Farzad Nazem, William Bailey, and Mark Moore, which I downloaded on February 2, 2016 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers

on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

10. Exhibit 1018 hereto is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,052,735 to Bryce Ulrich, Anthony Discolo, and Salim Alam, which I downloaded on February 2, 2016 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

11. Exhibit 1019 hereto is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,790,551 to David Chi-Yin Chan, which I downloaded on February 2, 2016 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

12. Exhibit 1020 hereto is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0029178 to Mark A. Criss and Paul A. Cowan, which I downloaded on February 2, 2016 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.