

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Apple Inc., Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.,
Petitioners,

v.

Rosetta-Wireless Corporation,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR 2016-00616
Patent 7,149,511

**PATENT OWNERS' MOTION FOR PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION
OF MICHAEL NG PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)**

EXHIBIT LIST

Petitioners' Exhibits

Exhibit	Description
Ex. AP-1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,149,511 (challenged patent)
Ex. AP-1002	Declaration of Dr. Nathaniel Polish
Ex. AP-1003	Reexamination History of U.S. Patent No. 7,149,511
Ex. AP-1004	U.S. Patent No. 5,864,853 to Kimura et al.
Ex. AP-1005	IEEE 100, THE AUTHORITATIVE DICTIONARY OF IEEE STANDARDS TERMS, 7th Ed. (2000) (excerpts)
Ex. AP-1006	MICROSOFT COMPUTER DICTIONARY 5th Ed (2002) (excerpts)
Ex. AP-1007	Patent Owner Rosetta's Initial Infringement Contentions served in Co-Pending Litigation (excerpts)
Ex. AP-1008	U.S. 5,978,805 to Carson
Ex. AP-1009	U.S. 5,845,293 to Veghte et al.
Ex. AP-1010	U.S. 5,797,089 to Nguyen
Ex. AP-1011	U.S. 6,222,726 to Cha
Ex. AP-1012	Graham, THE FACTS ON FILE, DICTIONARY OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS (1983) (excerpts)

Patent Owner's Exhibits

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 2001	Declaration of William H. Mangione-Smith, Ph.D.
Ex. 2002	ATP Proposal Preparation Kit
Ex. 2003	Email from David Nairn to Ed Bachner
Ex. 2004	"Moving Toward a Future of Ubiquitous Computing," Technology@Intel Magazine
Ex. 2005	"TECHNOLOGY; Verizon Plans Fast Internet for Cellphones," New York Times, Jan. 9, 2004.
Ex. 2006	"Data Over Cellular: A Look at GPRS," Communication Systems Design, April 2000.
Ex. 2007	Telecom & Networking Glossary, 1999.
Ex. 2008	U.S. Patent Pub. 2001/0029178 to Criss et al.
Ex. 2009	U.S. Patent No. 6,108,727 to Boals et al.
Ex. 2010	Email from Sharon Shaffer to Keith Campbell
Ex. 2011	ATP Project Brief: Wireless Replication of Enterprise Data for Instant Access by Mobile Workers
Ex. 2012	"Wireless biz aims to link road warriors to office," Crain's Chicago Business, Jan. 14, 2002.
Ex. 2013	Email chain between Sergio Fogel and Ed Bachner
Ex. 2014	U.S. Patent No. 7,149,511 File History
Ex. 2015	Declaration of Daniel A. Zaheer supporting motion for <i>pro hac vice</i> admission
Ex. 2016	Declaration of Michael Ng supporting motion for <i>pro hac vice</i> admission

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) of the Code of Federal Regulations (“Federal Regulations”), Patent Owner Rosetta-Wireless Corporation (“Patent Owner” or “Rosetta”) respectfully requests the *pro hac vice* admission of Michael Ng as backup counsel for Rosetta in the current proceedings. The Petitioners Apple Inc., Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (“Petitioners”) were consulted regarding this request, and have indicated through counsel that they would not oppose. A declaration made by Mr. Ng in support of this motion is attached hereto as Exhibit 2016.

I. Statement of Facts

1. Mr. Ng is a litigation attorney experienced in patent cases, and is admitted to practice law in California, New York, and Mississippi as well as multiple Federal Courts, including the following:

- a. United States District Court for the Northern District of California
- b. United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
- c. United States District Court for the Central District of California
- d. United States District Court for the Southern District of California
- e. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas
- f. United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi
- g. United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi

- h. United States District Court for the Southern District of New York
- i. United States Court of the Appeals for the Second Circuit
- j. United States Court of the Appeals for the Fifth Circuit
- k. United States Court of the Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
- l. United States Court of the Appeals for the Federal Circuit
- m. United States Supreme Court

2. Mr. Ng has not had any application denied for admission to practice, nor has he been sanctioned, cited for contempt, suspended or disbarred from practice, before any court or administrative body.

3. Mr. Ng has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding, having represented Rosetta in District Court proceedings involving the same technology (*Rosetta-Wireless Corp. v. Apple Inc. et al.*, No. 15-cv-00799, (N.D.Ill) and *Rosetta-Wireless Corp. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al.*, No. 15-cv-10605, (N.D.Ill)). Mr. Ng has carefully studied the patent-in-suit, including its prosecution history, and has conducted many interviews with the inventors regarding their invention, the prosecution history and the patent. Mr. Ng is intimately familiar with the positions taken by the petitioners and the other defendants in the above litigation, and has provided counsel to the inventors in connection with litigation involving a foreign counterpart in the United Kingdom. Mr. Ng is an experienced patent litigator, having litigated dozens of patent

infringement cases, for both plaintiffs and defendants, at both the district court and Federal Circuit levels. Mr. Ng has, for example, served as lead trial and appellate counsel for the Australian national science agency, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, including in the currently pending *CSIRO v. Cisco*, Eastern District of Texas Case No. 6-11-cv-343 and Federal Circuit Case No. 15-1066.

4. Mr. Ng has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of the C.F.R.

II. Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, Patent Owner respectfully submits that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Michael Ng *pro hac vice* during the proceeding.

Dated: June 1, 2016

KOBRE & KIM

/s/ Michael Ng
Michael Ng (*Pro Hac Vice* Pending)
Kobre & Kim LLP
150 California, 19th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111
michael.ng@kobrekim.com
(415) 582-4803

*Attorney for Patent Owner Rosetta-
Wireless Corp.*

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.