

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Apple Inc., Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.,  
Petitioners,

v.

Rosetta-Wireless Corporation,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-00616  
Patent 7,149,511

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE UNDER 37  
C.F.R. § 42.107 TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 7,149,511**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## EXHIBIT LIST

### Petitioners' Exhibits

Exhibit	Description
Ex. AP-1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,149,511 (challenged patent)
Ex. AP-1002	Declaration of Dr. Nathaniel Polish
Ex. AP-1003	Reexamination History of U.S. Patent No. 7,149,511
Ex. AP-1004	U.S. Patent No. 5,864,853 to Kimura et al.
Ex. AP-1005	IEEE 100, THE AUTHORITATIVE DICTIONARY OF IEEE STANDARDS TERMS, 7th Ed. (2000) (excerpts)
Ex. AP-1006	MICROSOFT COMPUTER DICTIONARY 5th Ed (2002) (excerpts)
Ex. AP-1007	Patent Owner Rosetta's Initial Infringement Contentions served in Co-Pending Litigation (excerpts)
Ex. AP-1008	U.S. 5,978,805 to Carson
Ex. AP-1009	U.S. 5,845,293 to Veghte et al.
Ex. AP-1010	U.S. 5,797,089 to Nguyen
Ex. AP-1011	U.S. 6,222,726 to Cha
Ex. AP-1012	Graham, THE FACTS ON FILE, DICTIONARY OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS (1983) (excerpts)

### Patent Owner's Exhibits

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 2001	Declaration of William H. Mangione-Smith, Ph.D.
Ex. 2002	ATP Proposal Preparation Kit
Ex. 2003	Email from David Nairn to Ed Bachner
Ex. 2004	"Moving Toward a Future of Ubiquitous Computing," Technology@Intel Magazine
Ex. 2005	"TECHNOLOGY; Verizon Plans Fast Internet for Cellphones," New York Times, Jan. 9, 2004.
Ex. 2006	"Data Over Cellular: A Look at GPRS," Communication Systems Design, April 2000.
Ex. 2007	Telecom & Networking Glossary, 1999.
Ex. 2008	U.S. Patent Pub. 2001/0029178 to Criss et al.
Ex. 2009	U.S. Patent No. 6,108,727 to Boals et al.
Ex. 2010	Email from Sharon Shaffer to Keith Campbell
Ex. 2011	ATP Project Brief: Wireless Replication of Enterprise Data for Instant Access by Mobile Workers
Ex. 2012	"Wireless biz aims to link road warriors to office," Crain's Chicago Business, Jan. 14, 2002.
Ex. 2013	Email chain between Sergio Fogel and Ed Bachner
Ex. 2014	U.S. Patent No. 7,149,511 File History

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....	1
II. Authorization for Payment of Fees.....	1
III. Summary of the Argument .....	2
IV. Background.....	9
A. History of Rosetta-Wireless .....	9
B. State of the Art.....	11
C. The '511 Patent Invention .....	14
V. Argument .....	18
A. Legal Standards .....	18
B. Claim Construction.....	20
1. downstream data .....	20
2. personal network server.....	23
3. intelligent .....	27
4. source electronic file .....	29
5. electronic file .....	30
C. Overview of Kimura.....	31
D. The Petition Does Not Demonstrate a Reasonable Likelihood of Prevailing on Proposed Ground 1: Obviousness Under § 103 by Kimura in View of a POSITA.....	33
1. The Two-node Kimura System Does Not Disclose a “personal network server”.....	33
2. The Two-node Kimura System Does Not Disclose Receiving “downstream data”.....	35
3. The Two-node Kimura System Does Not Disclose Both an “electronic file” and a “source electronic file”.....	39
4. The Two-node Kimura System Teaches Away from the Patented WIPS System .....	41

5. The Two-node Kimura System Does Not Disclose an “intelligent” Personal Network Server .....	44
6. Secondary Considerations Show that the Challenged Claims Would Not Have Been Obvious .....	45
VI. Conclusion .....	47

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	23
<i>Catalina Mktg. Int'l v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.</i> 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	28
<i>Crocs, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> 598 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	19
<i>Dome Patent L.P. v. Lee</i> 799 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	46
<i>Eaton Corp. v. Rockwell Int'l Corp.</i> 323 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	28
<i>In re Abbott Diabetes Care, Inc.</i> 696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	20
<i>In re Ochiai</i> 71 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1995) .....	19
<i>In re Suitco Surface, Inc.</i> 603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	20
<i>Institut Pasteur v. Focarino</i> 738 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	19
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith &amp; Nephew, Inc.</i> 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	19
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	19
<i>Merck &amp; Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....	23
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	21
<i>Nike, Inc. v. Adidas AG</i> 812 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	19
<i>Omron Oilfield &amp; Marine, Inc. v. MD/Totco of Varco, L.P.</i> Case IPR2013-00265, slip op. at 16 (PTAB Oct. 31, 2013) .....	46

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.