

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Apple Inc., Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.,
Petitioners,

v.

Rosetta-Wireless Corporation,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00616
Patent 7,149,511

**DECLARATION OF WILLIAM H. MANGIONE-SMITH, PH.D.
IN RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 7,149,511**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	2
A.	Scope of Report	2
B.	Qualifications.....	2
1.	Education	3
2.	Professional Experience.....	3
3.	Patents	5
C.	Compensation	6
II.	Analysis	6
A.	The ‘511 Patent.....	6
B.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	10
C.	Claim Construction.....	10
1.	downstream data	11
2.	personal network server	15
3.	intelligent	19
4.	source electronic file	21
D.	Overview of Kimura.....	22
E.	The challenged claims of the ‘511 patent would not have been obvious over Kimura	25
1.	Kimura does not disclose a “personal network server”	25
2.	Kimura does not disclose reception of “downstream data”	26
3.	Kimura does not disclose both “electronic files” and “source electronic files”	27
4.	Kimura teaches away from the ‘511 Patent invention.....	29
5.	Kimura does not disclose “intelligence”	32
F.	Secondary Considerations of Non-Obviousness	33
III.	Conclusion	34

I. Introduction

A. Scope of Report

1. My name is William Henry Mangione-Smith. Rosetta-Wireless Corporation (Rosetta) has retained me as a technical expert in regard to the above-captioned proceeding. The petitioners in this matter are Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd.; Samsung Electronics America, Inc.; and Apple Inc. (collectively, “Petitioners”).

2. I have been asked to provide my opinions regarding the Petitioners’ Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,149,511.

3. Unless otherwise noted, the statements made herein are based on my personal knowledge and if called to testify with regards to this declaration I could and would do so competently and truthfully.

4. In reaching my opinions in this matter, I have reviewed the Petition, the ‘511 Patent, the file history of the ‘511 Patent, the Declaration of Dr. Nathaniel Polish, U. S. Patent No. 5,864,853 to Kimura, et al. (“Kimura”), and other materials cited or discussed herein. I also relied on my education and experience as a person of ordinary skill in the art.

B. Qualifications

5. My curriculum vitae and testimony list are included in Appendix A to this Declaration. To summarize my qualifications, I hold three academic degrees in the field of Computer Engineering: a Bachelor of Science and Engineering, a Master of Science and Engineering, and a Doctorate of Philosophy degree. All of my

degrees were earned at the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor. I have been involved in the design of handheld communicating devices, image display devices, microprocessors, firmware and software for hardware and computer systems, and multimedia applications.

1. Education

6. From 1984 until 1991 I attended the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor, Michigan. I was awarded the degrees of Bachelor of Science and Engineering, Master of Science and Engineering, and Doctorate of Philosophy. My doctoral research focused on high performance computing systems including computer architecture, applications and operating system software, and compiler technology. One of my responsibilities during my graduate studies included teaching senior undergraduate students who were about to enter the profession.

2. Professional Experience

7. After graduating from the University of Michigan I was employed by Motorola in Schaumburg, Illinois. While at Motorola, I was part of a team designing and manufacturing the first commercial battery-powered product capable of delivering Internet email over a wireless (i.e., radio frequency) link and one of the first personal digital assistants. I also served as the lead architect on the second-generation of this device. Part of my responsibilities at Motorola involved the specification, design, and testing of system control Application-Specific Integrated Circuits (“ASICs”). I was responsible for quality control and maintenance of the device driver for a power management ASIC. I conducted the initial research and

advanced design that resulted in the Motorola M*Core embedded microprocessor. M*Core was designed to provide the high performance of desktop microprocessors with the low power of contemporaneous embedded processors. While at Motorola, I was the sole inventor on a U.S. patent.

8. From 1995 until 2005 I was employed by the University of California at Los Angeles (“UCLA”) as a professor of Electrical Engineering. I was the director of the laboratory for Compiler and Architecture Research in Embedded Systems (“CARES”) and served as the field chair for Embedded Computing Systems. The CARES research team focused on research, engineering and design challenges in the context of battery-powered and multi-media mobile computing devices. One of the key developments of my lab was the Mediabench software tool, which is widely used to design and evaluate multi-media embedded devices. Key elements of Mediabench include software for coding and decoding still images and MPEG videos. My primary responsibility, in addition to classroom teaching, involved directing the research and training of graduate students. I was a tenured member of the faculty and had responsibilities for teaching as well as scholarly research. While at UCLA I was a named inventor on three U.S. patent applications, one of which issued as a patent. My colleagues at UCLA were some of the leading scientists and engineers in the world with a long list of innovations from computer network security devices to the nicotine patch. The graduate student researchers in my laboratory came from a diverse set of backgrounds, all with undergraduate degrees in computer engineering, electrical engineering or computer science, many with

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.