

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORP., an Illinois Corporation,)	
)	
Plaintiff)	Civil Action No. 15-cv-00799
)	
v.)	Honorable Judge Joan H. Lefkow
)	
APPLE INC., a California Corporation)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
)	

**PLAINTIFF’S LOCAL PATENT RULE 2.2 INITIAL INFRINGEMENT
CONTENTIONS**

Pursuant to Local Patent Rule 2.2, Plaintiff Rosetta-Wireless Corporation (“Rosetta” or “Plaintiff”) hereby submits the following Initial Infringement Contentions.

Discovery is far from complete, and Rosetta is still seeking information from Apple that may affect Rosetta’s infringement contentions. Not all information about the Accused Instrumentalities is publicly available. Further, Rosetta understands that Apple intends to release products in the future that infringe the asserted claims.

Accordingly, Rosetta’s investigation into Apple’s infringement is ongoing, and Rosetta makes these disclosures based on its current knowledge. In light of the foregoing, Rosetta reserves the right to supplement or amend these disclosures as further facts are revealed during the course of this litigation.

I. INITIAL INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS

A. Local Patent Rules 2.2(a) – Asserted Claims

Apple is liable under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) for infringement of claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-9, 58-59, and 62-63 of United States Patent No. 7,149,511 (the “511 Patent”) (infringement claim charts attached as Exhibit A). Rosetta’s investigation is ongoing, and Rosetta reserves the right to identify additional asserted claims based upon continued discovery and investigation.

B. Local Patent Rules 2.2(b) – Accused Instrumentalities

The following Accused Instrumentalities infringe the asserted claims:

- iPhone 5
- iPhone 5c
- iPhone 5s
- iPhone 6
- iPhone 6 Plus
- iPhone 6s
- iPhone 6s Plus

Exhibit A discloses which claims of each asserted patent that each Accused Instrumentality infringes based on Rosetta’s investigation thus far. Rosetta further accuses any other Apple products that Apple is currently developing, making and/or using, including but not limited to any newer but unreleased versions of the accused products that have been recently announced by Apple. Accordingly, Rosetta reserves its right to supplement this disclosure to include any additional Apple products it identifies through discovery and its continuing investigation. Rosetta further reserves the right to supplement its disclosure to include any additional information it learns about the accused Apple products through discovery (which is in its early stages) and its continuing investigation.

C. Local Patent Rules 2.2(c) – Claim Charts for the Accused Instrumentalities

Attached as Exhibit A are claim charts that identify where each element of each asserted claim of the asserted patents is found within the Accused Instrumentalities, based on the information available to Rosetta.

Rosetta’s investigation is ongoing, and Rosetta reserves the right to amend or supplement these claim charts based upon continued discovery and investigation.

D. Local Patent Rules 2.2(d) – Nature of Infringement

Based on Rosetta’s current understanding, each element or limitation of each asserted claim of each asserted patent is literally present in the Accused Instrumentalities. To the extent that any element or limitation of the asserted claims is not found to have literal correspondence in the Accused Instrumentalities, Rosetta alleges, on information and belief, that any such elements or limitations are present under the doctrine of equivalents in the Accused Instrumentalities.

E. Local Patent Rules 2.2(e) – Direct Infringement

Each of the asserted claims is currently alleged to be infringed directly. Rosetta’s investigation is ongoing, and Rosetta reserves the right to amend or supplement its infringement allegations based upon continued discovery and investigation.

F. Local Patent Rules 2.2(f) – Priority Dates

Not applicable.

G. Local Patent Rules 2.2(g) – Basis for Willful Infringement

Upon information and belief, Apple had knowledge of the ’511 Patent no later than January 27, 2015, yet Apple has continued to infringe said patent. The infringement of the ’511 Patent by Apple is willful, deliberate and unreasonable, and with full knowledge of the patent, entitling Rosetta to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys’ fees and costs


incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285. Rosetta intends to amend its complaint to allege post-filing willful infringement.

H. Local Patent Rules 2.2(h) – Patentee’s Patent-Practicing Products

Rosetta produced approximately five prototype wireless personal network servers in or about 2005. These devices were never offered for sale or sold, were produced prior to issuance of the patent-in-suit and were not marked with the patent number.

Date: December 14, 2015

KOBRE & KIM LLP



Daniel A. Zaheer (*pro hac vice*)

Michael Ng (*pro hac vice*)

Michael C. Fasano (*pro hac vice*)

Kobre & Kim LLP

150 California, 19th Floor

San Francisco, California 94111

michael.ng@kobrekim.com

daniel.zaheer@kobrekim.com

michael.fasano@kobrekim.com

(415) 582-4803

STADHEIM & GREAR, LTD.

Rolf O. Stadheim

Kyle L. Harvey

Robert M. Spalding

Christopher H. St. Peter

400 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 2200

Chicago, Illinois 60611

stadheim@stadheimgear.com

harvey@stadheimgear.com

spalding@stadheimgear.com

stpeter@stadheimgear.com

(312) 755-4400

Attorneys for Plaintiff Rosetta-Wireless Corp.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 14, 2015, I served Rosetta-Wireless Corporation's Initial Infringement Contentions upon counsel for Apple Inc. by email.


Daniel A. Zaheer (*pro hac vice*)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.