

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

BAKER HUGHES INCORPORATED and  
BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD OPERATIONS, INC.,  
Petitioners

v.

PACKERS PLUS ENERGY SERVICES INC.,  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2016-00598  
Patent 7,861,774

---

**EXCLUSIVE LICENSEE RAPID COMPLETIONS LLC'S  
RESPONSE**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Overview of the Patented Technology .....	2
III.	Claim Interpretation.....	3
	A. Solid Body Packer .....	3
	B. Fracturing Fluid.....	7
	C. Plug.....	7
	D. The Second Annular Wellbore Segment.....	7
IV.	Obviousness Analysis .....	8
	A. The Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	9
	1. A POSITA Would Have Been Aware of a Variety of Different Completion Techniques. ....	11
	2. A POSITA Would Have Expected Multi-Stage Hydraulic Fracturing Operations to Require Cemented Casing. ....	14
	3. A POSITA Would Carefully Weigh the Risks, Reliability, and Economics of a Proposed Completion.....	17
	4. Petitioners Improperly Assume that a POSITA Would Be Motivated to Act Contrary to the Conventional Wisdom in the Field.....	19
	5. Petitioners Fail to Acknowledge that a POSITA Would Be Motivated to Act in a Commercially Reasonable Way. ....	20
	B. The Objective Indicia of Non-Obviousness.....	21
	1. The Claimed Technology Operates Contrary to the Accepted Wisdom That Prevailed at the Time of the Invention and it Demonstrated Unexpected Results. ....	22
	2. The Claimed Technology Has Received Industry Praise and Recognition. ....	25
	3. Petitioners Copied the Claimed Technology. ....	30

4.	The Claimed Technology Has Enjoyed Significant Commercial Success. ....	35
5.	There Is a Nexus Between the Claimed Technology and Its Commercial Success. ....	38
C.	The Scope and Content of the Prior Art.....	41
1.	Thomson Describes a Cased Hole Fracturing Operation, Not Fracturing Through Open Hole Segments. ....	41
2.	Ellsworth Describes Water Shut-Off Operations, Not Hydraulic Fracturing. ....	43
D.	The Differences Between the Prior Art and the Claimed Invention ...	44
1.	Petitioners Fail to Show that Thomson Discloses Various Open Hole Limitations of the '774 Claims. ....	44
2.	Petitioners Fail to Show That Ellsworth Discloses Various Fracturing Related Limitations of the '774 Claims. ....	45
E.	Opinions of Learned Experts.....	48
F.	Overall Conclusions on Obviousness.....	51
1.	As a Matter of Law, Petitioners Have Failed to Meet Their Burden of Showing that Each Limitation Was Present in the Prior Art. ....	52
2.	Petitioners Fail to Provide a “Rational Underpinning” to Support Their Obviousness Theories.....	53
V.	Conclusion .....	57

**Table of Authorities**

**Cases**

*Akamai Techs., Inc. v. Cable & Wireless Internet Servs., Inc.*  
344 F.3d 1186 (Fed.Cir.2003) .....30

*Anascape, Ltd. v. Nintendo of Am. Inc.*  
601 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....6

*Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.*  
No. 2015-1171, 2016 WL 5864573 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 7, 2016) ..... 32, 36

*Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.*  
832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....52

*Ashland Oil, Inc. v. Delta Resins & Refractories, Inc.*  
776 F.2d 281 (Fed. Cir. 1985) .....35

*Black & Decker, Inc. v. Positec USA, Inc.*  
No. 2015-1646, 2016 WL 2898012 (Fed. Cir. May 18, 2016) ..... 50, 54

*Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. v. Philip Morris Inc.*  
229 F.3d 1120 (Fed.Cir.2000) .....39

*Crocs, Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n*  
598 F.3d 1294 (Fed.Cir.2010) ..... 22, 50

*Dunnhumby USA, LLC v. Emnos USA Corp.*  
No. 13-CV-0399, 2015 WL 1542365 (N.D. Ill. Apr. 1, 2015) .....5

*Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat’l Graphics, Inc.*  
800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....53

*Envtl. Designs, Ltd. v. Union Oil Co. of California*  
713 F.2d 693 (Fed. Cir. 1983) .....10

<i>Gambro Lundia AB v. Baxter Healthcare Corp.</i> 110 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1997) .....	39
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	9, 48
<i>In re Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Extended–Release Capsule Patent Litig.</i> 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	9
<i>In re Hedges</i> 783 F.2d 1038 (Fed.Cir.1986) .....	22
<i>In re Kahn</i> 441 F.3d 977 (Fed Cir. 2006) .....	53
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.</i> 2016 WL 3974202 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	53
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Commc’ns, Inc.</i> 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	48, 50
<i>Intri-Plex Techs., Inc. v. Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Rencol Ltd.</i> IPR2014-00309, Paper 83 (PTAB March 23, 2014) .....	22, 40
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	53
<i>McGinley v. Franklin Sports, Inc.</i> 262 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2001) .....	21
<i>Omron Oilfield &amp; Marine, Inc. v. MD/Totco</i> IPR2013-00265, Paper 11 (PTAB Oct. 31, 2013).....	38
<i>Orthopedic Equipment Co., Inc. v. All Orthopedic Appliances, Inc.</i> 707 F.2d 1376 (Fed.Cir.1983) .....	9
<i>Perfect Web Techs., Inc. v. InfoUSA, Inc.</i> 587 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	53

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.