

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

BAKER HUGHES INCORPORATED and  
BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD OPERATIONS, INC.,  
Petitioners

Vs.

PACKERS PLUS ENERGY SERVICES INC.,  
Patent Owner

---

**EXPERT REPORT OF HAROLD E. MCGOWEN III, PE**  
**Prepared on Behalf of Patent Owner**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***

Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-14

## Table of Contents

---

1	Summary of Oil and Gas Experience.....	1
2	Compensation.....	3
3	Scope of Work.....	3
4	Understanding of Patent Law.....	4
4.1	Person of Ordinary Skill in The Art (“POSITA”).....	4
4.2	Anticipation.....	4
4.3	Obviousness.....	5
5	Materials Reviewed.....	6
6	Summary of the Invention Disclosed in the 774 Patent.....	7
7	Background Information.....	8
7.1	Annulus.....	8
7.2	Packers and Hydraulic Fracturing.....	8
7.3	Solid Body Packer versus Inflatable Packer.....	10
7.4	Horizontal Borehole.....	10
7.5	The 774 Patent and Complex Hydraulic Fracture Networks.....	12
7.6	Second Open-Hole Segment.....	16
8	Perspective of Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Circa 2001.....	17
8.1	POSITA Background and Methodology.....	17
8.2	POSITA and Risk Analysis.....	18
8.3	POSITA and Reliability Analysis.....	19
8.4	POSITA and Engineering Economic Analysis.....	20
9	Conventional Wisdom Teaches Away from 774 Patent/Invention.....	20
9.1	Characteristics of Open-hole Teach Away from the 774 Patent.....	21
9.2	Plug and Perf Acceptance Teaches Away from 774 Patent.....	22

- 9.3 Conventional Wisdom Regarding Fracture Initiation Teaches Away from 774 Patent ..... 23
- 10 The 774 Patent Defied Conventional Wisdom..... 25
- 11 Analysis of Thomson ..... 27
  - 11.1 Overview of Thompson ..... 27
  - 11.2 Thompson is Not Relevant to the 774 Patent/Invention..... 27
  - 11.3 Risk Factors Highlighted by Thompson ..... 28
  - 11.4 Acid Frac Conditions vs. Conventional Hydraulic Stage Fracturing ..... 29
  - 11.5 Thompson’s Conclusions Suggest High Risk and High Expense ..... 29
  - 11.6 Conclusions Regarding Thompson ..... 30
- 12 Analysis of Ellsworth ..... 32
  - 12.1 Ellsworth is Very Different From the 774 Patent/Invention ..... 32
  - 12.2 Economic Results of Ellsworth Teaches Away from use of Design Elements ..... 32
  - 12.3 Circulating Corrosion Inhibitor has nothing to do with Hydraulic Fracturing..... 34
  - 12.4 Ellsworth Does Not Address Hydraulic Fracturing ..... 35
  - 12.5 Ellsworth does not Mitigate the Risk Factors described in Thompson..... 36
  - 12.6 Conclusions Regarding Ellsworth ..... 37
- 13 Additional Prior Art Discussed in Daneshy ..... 38
- 14 Objective Evidence That Claimed Invention was not Obvious ..... 39
  - 14.1 774 Patent Inventor Proceeded Contrary to Accepted Wisdom in the Field ..... 39
  - 14.2 Satisfaction of a long-felt need ..... 39
  - 14.3 Invention Achieved Unexpected Results ..... 40
  - 14.4 Commercial Success as Result of the Merits of the Claimed Invention ..... 41
  - 14.5 Others Copied the Invention..... 42
  - 14.6 Others in the Field Praised the Invention ..... 44
  - 14.7 Schlumberger Acquired a Stake in Packers Plus to Gain Access to Technology ..... 46
- 15 Conclusions ..... 47

16    References ..... 49

## 1 **Expert Report of Harold E. McGowen III, PE**

2 My name is Harold E. McGowen, III. I have been a Registered Professional Engineer licensed in Texas since  
3 1989. By my education and experience, reflected in my CV, I am qualified to render the opinions delivered  
4 in this report. I have been retained by the Patent Owner in this matter.

5 The opinions provided herein are based upon the information reviewed by me at the time of the writing of  
6 this report. Unless stated otherwise, the opinions contained in this report are based on a reasonable  
7 degree of engineering probability. If I review, receive or discover new and pertinent information related  
8 to the matter at hand I may augment, adjust, or change my opinions and request to file a supplemental  
9 expert report.

### 10 **1 SUMMARY OF OIL AND GAS EXPERIENCE**

---

11 I have been employed in the oil and gas industry since 1983 as a Petroleum Engineer, manager, and  
12 executive. I am a Registered Professional Engineer in the State of Texas and I received a B.S. in Mechanical  
13 Engineering from Texas A&M University in 1982. I was initially cross-trained as a Petroleum Engineer over  
14 a four-year period at Union Pacific Resources Company and I have continued my education through self-  
15 study and various industry schools ever since. I am a Registered Professional Engineer in the State of Texas  
16 with decades of experience as a Petroleum Engineer having personally designed numerous hydraulic  
17 fracturing treatments and/or directed the drilling, completion and operation of numerous wells.

18 I have considerable experience with downhole tools having started my career in the engineering  
19 department at an oil tool/service company and subsequently having analyzed the inner workings of  
20 numerous pieces of equipment over the years. I have also worked on several multi-million dollar patent  
21 cases as an expert witness or engineering consultant. Over the past 33 years, I have studied and gained  
22 considerable experience in various technologies, procedures, processes, and methods related to  
23 stimulation of oil and gas wells, including but not limited to acidizing and hydraulic fracturing. I have  
24 recently applied my training and experience to various horizontal drilling and horizontal stage fracturing  
25 projects where I was the engineer responsible for the completion design, economic evaluation, and  
26 execution; therefore, I have current knowledge concerning matters relevant to this case.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.