UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BAKER HUGHES INCORPORATED and BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD OPERATIONS, INC., Petitioners,

V.

PACKERS PLUS ENERGY SERVICES INC., Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2016-00596 Patent 7,134,505

EXCLUSIVE LICENSEE RAPID COMPLETIONS LLC'S OBJECTIONS TO PETITIONERS' EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.64(b)(1)

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD" Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria WA 22313 1450



LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case IPR2016-00596 U.S. Patent No. 7,134,505

Under the Federal Rules of Evidence and 37 C.F.R. § 42.64, Exclusive Licensee Rapid Completions LLC timely objects to evidence submitted with the Petitioners' Replacement Petition for *Inter Partes* Review (Paper 10) filed May 3, 2016. Rapid Completions serves Petitioners with these objections to provide notice that Rapid Completions may move to exclude the challenged exhibits under 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c) unless Petitioners cure the defects associated with the challenged exhibits identified below.

Exhibit 1007—Daneshy Declaration

Rapid Completions objects to the Daneshy Declaration under FRE 703 as relying on improper evidence under FRE 401–403, 801, and 901—as the prejudicial effect of this evidence outweighs any probative value that it may have. *E.g.*, Daneshy Decl. ¶¶ 39, 46, 49–51, 67–75, 78–83, 85–89 (citing Thomson); ¶¶ 29, 33, 41, 42, 46, 47, 49, 51, 65, 75 (citing Ellsworth); and ¶ 80 (citing Lagrone and Eberhard). The following paragraphs provide specific objections to at least one of the documents that the declarant references in his declaration, and to the extent the declaration relies on these inadmissible documents, Rapid Completions objects to the declarant's testimony for the same reasons.

Exhibit 1002—Thomson

To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of this document for the truth of the matter asserted (for example, to establish public accessibility as a printed publication), Rapid Completions objects to such contents as inadmissible hearsay under FRE 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of FRE 803, 804, 805, or 807.

Rapid Completions also objects to this document as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because Petitioners have not presented any evidence that the document is authentic nor that the document is self-authenticating under FRE 902.

And Rapid Completions objects to this document as irrelevant under FRE 401 and thus inadmissible under FRE 402, or as confusing or a waste of time under FRE 403 because this document is inadmissible under FRE 801, 802, and 901 as explained above.

Exhibit 1004—Ellsworth

To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of this document for the truth of the matter asserted (for example, to establish public accessibility as a printed publication), Rapid Completions objects to such contents as inadmissible hearsay under FRE 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of FRE 803, 804, 805, or 807.

Rapid Completions also objects to this document as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because Petitioners have not presented sufficient evidence that the document is authentic nor that the document is self-authenticating under FRE 902. And Rapid Completions objects to this document as irrelevant under FRE 401 and thus inadmissible under FRE 402, or as confusing or a waste of time under FRE 403 because this document is inadmissible under FRE 801, 802, and 901 as explained above.

Exhibit 1017—Lagrone

To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of this document for the truth of the matter asserted (for example, to establish public accessibility as a printed publication), Rapid Completions objects to such contents as inadmissible hearsay under FRE 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of FRE 803, 804, 805, or 807.

Rapid Completions also objects to this document as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because Petitioners have not presented any evidence that the document is authentic nor that the document is self-authenticating under FRE 902.

And Rapid Completions objects to this document as irrelevant under FRE 401 and thus inadmissible under FRE 402, or as confusing or a waste of time under FRE 403 because this document is inadmissible under FRE 801, 802, and 901 as explained above.

Exhibit 1018—Eberhard

RM

To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of this document for the truth of the matter asserted (for example, to establish public accessibility as a printed

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

publication), Rapid Completions objects to such contents as inadmissible hearsay under FRE 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of FRE 803, 804, 805, or 807.

Rapid Completions also objects to this document as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because Petitioners have not presented any evidence that the document is authentic nor that the document is self-authenticating under FRE 902.

And Rapid Completions objects to this document as irrelevant under FRE 401 and thus inadmissible under FRE 402, or as confusing or a waste of time under FRE 403 because this document is inadmissible under FRE 801, 802, and 901 as explained above.

Respectfully submitted, STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

/Michael B. Ray/

Michael B. Ray Registration No. 33,997 Attorney for Exclusive Licensee

Date: 9/8/2016

1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 371-2600

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.