

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BAKER HUGHES INCORPORATED
and
BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD OPERATIONS, INC.,
Petitioners

v.

PACKERS PLUS ENERGY SERVICES, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-01496
Patent 7,134,505

**PETITIONERS' OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE
EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioners serve the following objections to Patent Owner's¹ Response exhibits.

Ex. Number and Patent Owner Description	Objections
2039. Weatherford presentation titled, "Openhole Completion Systems"	<p><u>Authentication.</u> Fed. R. Evid. 901(a). Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that this exhibit is a true and correct copy of what Patent Owner purports it to be.</p> <p><u>Hearsay.</u> Fed. R. Evid. 801(c) and Fed. R. Evid. 802. To the extent Patent Owner relies on this exhibit to prove the truth of matters described therein, the statements are hearsay: <i>e.g.</i>, that Weatherford sells and markets open hole drop ball technology as shown in a figure of Ex. 2039 (<i>see</i> POR at 23); and that Weatherford "specifically advertises that [its] system employ[s] the claimed invention using solid body packer isolation, ball-activated sliding sleeves, and open hole fracturing in horizontal wells, i.e., the specific combination of elements covered by the claims" (<i>see</i> POR at 28-29). Patent Owner has not offered evidence sufficient to demonstrate that the exhibit falls within any exception to the rule against hearsay.</p> <p><u>Relevance.</u> Fed. R. Evid. 401-403. This exhibit is irrelevant under FRE 401, and thus inadmissible under FRE 402, or inadmissible as unfairly prejudicial, confusing, and/or a waste of time under FRE 403, because: (1) it is</p>

¹ All references to Patent Owner are to be understood as referring also to its Exclusive Licensee.

Ex. Number and Patent Owner Description	Objections
	<p>inadmissible under FRE 801, 802, and 901 as explained above, (2) Patent Owner has not proven that any technology in the exhibit on which it relies, or any activity involving such technology, is covered by any Challenged Claim, and/or (3) Patent Owner has not proven that any technology in the exhibit on which it relies, or any activity involving such technology, is not already known or readily available in the prior art.</p>
<p>2040. <i>Halliburton v. Packers Plus</i>, Fourth Amended Petition</p>	<p><u>Authentication</u>. Fed. R. Evid. 901(a). Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that this exhibit is a true and correct copy of what Patent Owner purports it to be.</p> <p><u>Relevance</u>. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403. This exhibit is not relevant to any issue in this IPR proceeding, and any probative value of the exhibit is substantially outweighed by unfair prejudice and/or a waste of time, particularly because this exhibit is not cited in Patent Owner's Response or in any declaration paragraph cited in Patent Owner's Response.</p>
<p>2041. Baker Hughes' and Peak Completions' Subpoena to Halliburton</p>	<p><u>Authentication</u>. Fed. R. Evid. 901(a). Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that this exhibit is a true and correct copy of what Patent Owner purports it to be.</p> <p><u>Relevance</u>. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403. This exhibit is not relevant to any issue in this IPR proceeding, and any probative value of the exhibit is substantially outweighed by unfair prejudice and/or a waste of time, particularly because this exhibit is not cited in Patent Owner's Response or in any declaration</p>

Ex. Number and Patent Owner Description	Objections
	paragraph cited in Patent Owner's Response.
2042. <i>Rapid Completions v. Baker Hughes, et al.</i> Order dismissing Pegasi	<p><u>Authentication.</u> Fed. R. Evid. 901(a). Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that this exhibit is a true and correct copy of what Patent Owner purports it to be.</p> <p><u>Relevance.</u> Fed. R. Evid. 401-403. This exhibit is not relevant to any issue in this IPR proceeding, and any probative value of the exhibit is substantially outweighed by unfair prejudice and/or a waste of time, particularly because this exhibit is not cited in Patent Owner's Response or in any declaration paragraph cited in Patent Owner's Response.</p>
2044. Vikram Rao Deposition Transcript	<p><u>Authentication.</u> Fed. R. Evid. 901(a). Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a finding that this exhibit is a true and correct copy of what Patent Owner purports it to be.</p> <p><u>Relevance.</u> Fed. R. Evid. 401-403. This exhibit concerns testimony by an expert pertaining to a declaration that is not an exhibit in this proceeding, and is therefore irrelevant under FRE 401, and thus inadmissible under FRE 402, or inadmissible as unfairly prejudicial, confusing, and/or a waste of time under FRE 403. Independently, this exhibit is not relevant to any issue in this IPR proceeding, and any probative value of the exhibit is substantially outweighed by unfair prejudice and/or a waste of time, particularly because this exhibit is not cited in Patent Owner's Response or in any declaration paragraph cited in Patent Owner's Response.</p>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.