



SPE 114172

Stimulating Unconventional Reservoirs: Lessons Learned, Successful Practices, Areas for Improvement

David D.Cramer, ConocoPhillips

Copyright 2008, Society of Petroleum Engineers

This paper was prepared for presentation at the 2008 SPE Unconventional Reservoirs Conference held in Keystone, Colorado, U.S.A., 10–12 February 2008.

This paper was selected for presentation by an SPE program committee following review of information contained in an abstract submitted by the author(s). Contents of the paper have not been reviewed by the Society of Petroleum Engineers and are subject to correction by the author(s). The material does not necessarily reflect any position of the Society of Petroleum Engineers, its officers, or members. Electronic reproduction, distribution, or storage of any part of this paper without the written consent of the Society of Petroleum Engineers is prohibited. Permission to reproduce in print is restricted to an abstract of not more than 300 words; illustrations may not be copied. The abstract must contain conspicuous acknowledgment of SPE copyright.

Abstract

The term “unconventional reservoir” has different meanings to different people. Certain reservoirs termed unconventional have a rock matrix consisting of inter-particle pore networks with very small pore connections imparting very poor fluid-flow characteristics. Abundant volumes of oil or gas can be stored in these rocks, and often the rock is high in organic content and the source of the hydrocarbon. Yet because of marginal rock matrix quality, these reservoirs generally require both natural and induced fracture networks to enable economic recovery of the hydrocarbon. Rock types in this class include shale and coalbed methane (CBM.) The term shale is a catchall for any rock consisting of extremely small framework particles with minute pores charged with hydrocarbon and includes carbonate and quartz-rich rocks. Another type of unconventional reservoir is stacked pay units exhibiting somewhat better pore characteristics than in the case outlined above but with the individual units tending to be lenticular in shape and having an extremely small size or volume. These two classes of unconventional reservoirs are amenable to well stimulation and will be the focus of this paper.

The above rock types when commercially exploited are known as resource plays. Once a low-priority, the depletion of conventional reservoirs and improving price for oil and gas has driven unconventional reservoirs to an important place in the oil and gas industry. In some regions (i.e., Rocky Mountain province), unconventional reservoirs represent the primary target of current activity and remaining hydrocarbon development. Given their unique petrophysical properties, each type of unconventional reservoir requires a unique approach to well stimulation, with often differing objectives than exist with conventional reservoir types. This paper reviews the characteristics of the basic unconventional reservoir types, lessons learned and successful stimulation practices developed in completing these reservoirs, and areas for improvement in treatment and reservoir characterization and treatment design.

Introduction

Unconventional reservoirs amenable to hydraulic fracturing are generally hydrocarbon-rich rocks with poor matrix characteristics. By matrix is meant the inter-particle pore network of the rock mass, with pore connections determining the rate of fluid flow from pore to pore or from pore to large flow channel (i.e., solution mold, fracture, or wellbore.) In unconventional reservoirs, pore interconnections are extremely small, significantly reduced in aperture by the liquid wetting-phase, and consequently fluid flow is extremely low. In the case of oil or gas-condensate reservoirs, low mobility of the viscous liquid phase and multi-phase flow worsens the situation. Sometimes, a change in reservoir fluid mobility within the accumulation causes a loss of commerciality and bounds the limits of the pay within the field. This is the case in the in the Codell sandstone (Wattenberg field, DJ Basin, northeast Colorado) as the thermally-influenced in-situ hydrocarbon phase changes from gas to oil along the boundaries of the field. A dense network of natural fractures or a combination of fractures and solution channels with adequate apertures are generally needed to enable flow of hydrocarbons at commercial rates, and drainage of the reservoir to a significant degree. Even with an improved pricing environment, the marginal flow properties and recovery factors of most unconventional reservoirs make necessary a continuous effort to reduce costs and improve efficiencies in all aspects of drilling, completing and producing these wells. Many of the recent improvements and innovations in well completions and hydraulic fracturing have been focused as much on the cost aspect as with improving well productivity.

Othar Kiel was one of the first to recognize that unconventional reservoirs may require unconventional fracture stimulation

to widen the zone of stimulation beyond a simple, single-plane trajectory. He proposed using very fine mesh sand (100 mesh sand) and trying to design for “partial monolayer” proppant placement/ distribution where open gaps exist between proppant/ formation-spall grains or clusters. Versions of his visionary concepts are being applied today.

In unconventional reservoirs, there is an experimental, empirical quality to fracture design selection and optimization. It is very difficult to model or simulate the permeable flow network and fracture propagation patterns with naturally fractured reservoirs, especially with the popular horizontal completion method. This difficulty has helped popularize the use of fracture mapping services such as surface and downhole tilt and downhole microseismic measurement and interpretation.

Understanding the Nature of Natural Fracture Networks is Critical

Unconventional reservoirs exhibit different types of natural fracture systems. In one type, the fractures are open and conductive, but exist in long, narrow closely-spaced directional swarms, trending along the flanks of anticlinal or fault-related structural rock deformation. The Bakken formation in west central North Dakota is an example of this type of system.² These relatively high conductivity fracture swarms dictate reservoir drainage area and overall flow.³ When these directional swarms impart high permeability anisotropy, hydraulic fracture length requirements are very minimal and long propped fractures are probably wasteful at best (see Figure 1).⁴ Another type of system is where the rock is extensively and uniformly fractured, yet the fractures have very small apertures and are nearly completely mineralized (e.g., Barnett shale model).⁵ A third system is characteristic of coalbed methane (CBM) reservoirs, in which a fracture or cleat system exists with continuous, often high permeability face cleats (often normal to the current minimum principal stress) and discontinuous butt cleats at a sharp angle to the face cleats.^{6,7} Each system requires a different well completion and treatment strategy.

Multiple fracture propagation is a detriment to treatment results in conventional reservoirs with “single plane” geometry. It compromises fracture length and fosters proppant bridging at hydraulic fracture/ natural fracture nodes and loss of energy at the fracture tip.^{8,9} However, in unconventional reservoirs with poor matrix quality, multiple fracture propagation is often the desired outcome. A widespread zone of fracturing can enhance drainage of the reservoir by creating permeability channels at a wide band trending in the direction of maximum principal horizontal stress.⁵ The log-log plot of producing rate (or reciprocal productivity index) vs time often shows a long term linear trend (see Figure 2) – evidence that flow is dominated by an anisotropic fracture-enhanced zone in the near proximity of a primary fracture trend.¹⁰ To achieve length away from the wellbore, a massive volume of fluid must be pumped to compensate for the low fluid efficiency of the high leakoff fluid.

In the Barnett Shale model, healed fractures are believed to “reactivate” during the fracture treatment.⁵ These fractures are at nearly right angles to the current day maximum horizontal stress (hydraulic fracture) azimuth and low-viscosity slick water can invade and widen the zone of stimulation away from a single fracture plane, with slip or shear events occurring along these fracture surfaces (as evinced by reflected microseisms observed in fracture mapping operations.)¹¹⁻¹³ The shearing action can result in permanent misalignment and residual permeability (see Figure 3) and is also believed to be a factor in the success of dynamic cavitation efforts in CBM wells.¹⁴ The physics of this model requires a small contrast in the minimum and maximum principal horizontal stresses and reorientation of the in-situ stress field over geologic time (from time of the creation of the natural fracture network to current time.) The stimulation benefit is perhaps a combination of shear enhanced formation permeability (along a pre-existing mostly healed natural fracture network) and a limited number of propped fractures acting as a trunk-line into which the shear-enhanced permeability channels feed into. So in addition to the conventional fracture stimulation benefit of wellbore extension (the propped fracture component), reservoir permeability (and thus the reservoir itself) is enhanced or created by shear displacement of fluid-invaded natural fracture systems.

Lessons Learned and Successful Practices

Well Design

In exploiting unconventional reservoirs, it is generally advantageous to achieve extensive wellbore exposure using the minimum number of wells or surface locations. With some exceptions (most notably in the Fairway area of the Fruitland Coal play¹⁵ in the San Juan Basin), wells completed in unconventional reservoirs are marginally productive and continuous improvement in drilling and completion efficiencies (to reduce the unit cost of hydrocarbon recovered) are necessary to expand develop in these reservoirs.

Horizontal wells usually offer the best way to achieve efficiency in laterally and vertically-continuous reservoirs, in which discreet layers are not separated by fracture height barriers (e.g., shale gas¹⁶), or in reservoirs dominated by fracture swarms (e.g., Bakken play in west-central North Dakota.) In these venues, much of the technological innovation and experimentation has been with treatment staging and diversion methods. Fracture mapping methods, such as downhole microseismic and surface and downhole tiltmeter, have been very useful for assessing the impact and effectiveness of the various methodologies. Generally, drilling in the direction normal to maximum principal stress maximizes access to fracture networks directly or when transverse-trending hydraulic fractures intersect and penetrate a cross-cutting set of sealed

direction of maximum principle stress may be preferred in order to favor the creation of longitudinally-trending hydraulic fractures. Longitudinal fractures reduce radial convergence by maximizing exposure of the wellbore to the hydraulically-created fracture and usually eliminate the need for high-conductivity proppants.

Horizontal wells are completed with various degrees of annular isolation. Uncemented annulus or open-hole completions offer open access to fracture swarms, which may be plugged off and inaccessible if annulus is cemented. In the uncemented case, the most productive part of interval has a better chance to be stimulated or at least be open to production. Also, uncemented completions avoid perforation-related stress cages and restricted flow along the cement/annulus perimeter until the fracture plane is encountered. This extraneous source of treating pressure drop can be very excessive, especially when large horizontal stress anisotropy exists. The source of the excess treating pressure was evaluated by Warpinski in fracture-injection experiments at the Nevada test site to evaluate the effectiveness of shaped-charge perforations.¹⁷⁻¹⁸ Excess pressure drop was not observed in open-hole horizontal wells, and various degrees of drop were observed in the cased and cemented horizontal wells. Using more powerful shaped perforation charges resulted in higher treatment pressure. Mining back along the perforations showed that fractures (traced by dye) avoided the perforation tunnels entirely due to the altered, compressed rock created by the punching action of the high velocity jet charges.

Cased and cemented horizontal well completions offer greater control over fracture treatment placement and can be appropriate when dealing with relatively uniform rock in which localized natural fractures minimally enhance reservoir flow capacity. Another advantageous case may be the Barnett Shale model, in which the natural fracture system is at a high angle to the preferred fracture azimuth (i.e., maximum horizontal stress) and transverse-oriented hydraulic fractures readily connect into the natural fracture network. In horizontal wells in which cemented completions are warranted or desired, sand jet perforating is sometimes preferred. It removes formation material and thus avoids creating or worsening the stress cage around the perforation tunnel and wellbore. Placing acid soluble cement in the annulus adjacent to the perforation interval and then subsequently dissolving it with hydrochloric acid has also been effective at mitigating near-wellbore restriction in cemented horizontal wells.¹⁹

Discontinuous, multilayer intervals such as stacked, fluvial-dominated sandstones are best completed with vertical wells (through the pay section) in multistage treatments. The individual lenticular reservoirs have drainage areas sometimes averaging 10 acres or less, and high well density is needed to effectively exploit the resource. Pad locations, in which multiple S-shaped wells (sometimes as many as 32 per site) are drilled in simultaneous drilling, completing and producing operations (Simops), serve to minimize surface disruption and maximize efficiency in frac-factory type operations.

Treatment Staging and Diversion Methods

Much of the intellectual focus and innovation in unconventional reservoir exploitation has been applied in developing techniques and equipment to maximize treatment coverage with minimal downhole intervention. Some methods and tools are specific to horizontal wells or vertical wells and some apply to both. Some have been used for over 40 years and others are relatively new.

Primary Application: Vertical Wells

Introduced in 1961, limited entry perforating and mechanical-plug treatment diversion and stage isolation is a time-honored method²⁰ and well suited for multi-zone vertical well completions. Using the choke-like characteristics of shaped-charge or bullet created perforations, treatment injection rate is adjusted to build a desired level of excess pressure in the casing, enabling diversion from lower-stressed to higher-stressed zones.²¹ Over the years, the physics of limited entry treatments have been studied (e.g., perforation erosion²²) and various guidelines have been developed, regarding parameters such as minimum perforation friction, maximum gross interval length per treatment and the like, for improving stimulation coverage of multiple zones. Perforation breakdown may be the most important determinant of limited entry treatment success. Figure 4 shows the results of tracer surveys comparing near-wellbore proppant placement of various treatment stages in a well in the Williams Fork formation, Piceance Basin.²³ Less than 2/3 of the perforated intervals were treated in Stage 1, in which perforation breakdown methods were not used. In Stage 3, a pre-frac ball-out treatment improved zonal coverage but the lowest two intervals were left untreated. In Stage 2, all zones were propped as each interval was separately isolated and broken down. Fracturing and breaking down each zone is not practical in everyday operations, so alternate breakdown methods are used. In one method, the lowest zone is selectively perforated and broken down with borehole fluid, usually water. Then, the remaining intervals are perforated, the perforating gun is removed from the well and 250 to 1000 gallons of hydrochloric acid are spearheaded as the first stage of the fracturing treatment. Injection invariably occurs in the broken-down perforation set, allowing the acid to wash across, penetrate and break down the uphole perforations. This method is effective without ball sealers, but dissolvable ball sealers have been developed to assist in formation breakdown in lieu of or to augment this technique.²⁴ Flow-through composite bridge plugs have also served to advance the limited entry process.²⁵ These plugs are used to isolate treatment stages and enable continuous load fluid recovery from all previously-treated

Introduced in 1965, the ball and baffle-ring diversion method is still widely applied.²⁰ It is based on dropping a drillable ball at the end of a treatment stage. The ball seats in a baffle ring which is inserted in a casing collar above the active perforations, isolating the hole from that point downward. Holding pressure on the well to keep the ball in place, perforations are shot in uphole interval(s), which are then treated. This process is limited to about 4 treatment stages because of the need for progressive changes in the ball and baffle-ring sizes.

Stress-induced diversion uses the increased compressive in-situ stress imparted by residual hydraulic fracture aperture of a previously-treated interval to divert a new treatment to an interval sufficiently spaced away from the stress window. Mechanical isolation plugs are not used. The physics of this process was described by Warpinski and Branagan.²⁶ This was a common technique in many tight gas vertical well completions²⁷ and has been used lately as a component in horizontal well completions.

A novel staging method applied recently in multi-zone completions is Just In Time Perforating (JITP.) In this process, a wireline-conveyed perforating gun remains in the well during fracture stimulation to sequentially perforate individual zones. Buoyant ball sealers are dropped at the end of treatment stages to isolate (ball off) treatment zones. As the ball sealers bridge on the active perforations, new perforations are fired in an adjacent uphole zone, into which the subsequent treatment is immediately performed. This sequence is repeated until the capacity of the perforating gun (which is limited by the height of the gun lubricator) has been reached.²⁸ With JITP, up to 11 zones have been treated per gun run, up to 22 individual frac jobs have been done in a day, and over 50 treatments have been done per well. This process is very amenable to multiple-well pad locations, in which activity can switch from well to well after a gun run is complete, improving efficiency. Figures 5-7 show data from a JITP project in the Mesaverde formation, Piceance Basin, Colorado. Five wells were treated from the same location in 253 separate treatment stages over a 17-day period.²⁹ JITP offers the potential to select-treat individual zones rapidly and economically. To date, it has been performed on over 80 wells in more than 2700 separate treatment stages.

Another recently applied method for selective multiple-zone stimulation is annular coiled tubing (ACT) fracturing. Sand-jet perforating is performed via a coiled tubing string, and the fracturing treatments are conducted down the casing/ coiled tubing annulus. Sand plugs are normally used for stage isolation (mechanical plugs have been used but this process is restricted due to patent protection.)³⁰ ACT has been applied in many CBM reservoirs and the unconventional diatomite oil play in California, in which it outperformed limited entry and mechanical plug methods.³¹ In that application, up to 18 separate ACT fracturing stages were done per well, covering over 1000 feet of net pay.

Casing conveyed perforating has been used with isolation valves in cemented horizontal and vertical wells to enable continuous operation in multiple treatment stage applications.³² Guns and sliding sleeve-operated flapper valves are hydraulically actuated on command from the surface in this patented process. It has been used most recently in non core-area Barnett Shale horizontal well applications in which lower injection rate treatments were designed to avoid fracture growth into the water-productive Ellenberger formation. Up to 28 individual treatment stages per well have been done using this method.

A related technology for cemented wells is a casing-conveyed system featuring a dart-actuated sliding-sleeve mechanism to gain access to multiple pay intervals in continuous treatment operations.³³ The opened sleeve exposes ports to the cement sheath, yet in the lab and field, formation breakdown has been shown to occur at minimal pressure without the need to perforate. The number of treatments stages is limited only by component cost and post-treatment cleanout considerations and has currently been limited to vertical well applications.

Primary Application: Horizontal Wells

Extensively used in horizontal wells configured with an uncemented annulus, external casing packers have been used to segment the well into smaller sections for selective stimulation in a continuous operation. The packers can be of various types, including mechanical, swellable³⁴ and inflatable. Within the casing string and between packers, ball or dart-actuated sliding sleeves are inserted as dual opening and shut-off devices.³⁵ Treatment stages are limited to about 10 by the ever changing ball size requirements to sequentially activate the sliding sleeves.

Another widely-used technique for stimulating horizontal wells with an uncemented annulus, annular friction pressure has been used in combination with ball sealers and sand slugs for treatment diversion inside and outside of the treating string. Its effectiveness has been documented in the middle Bakken play in Richland County, Montana and is especially suited for cases in which the toe section of the well treats preferentially.³⁶ The annular clearance between liner/casing and drilled hole needs to be minimized to take full advantage of this tactic. Figure 8 is a graph of friction pressure vs rate for various annular configurations showing the impact of reducing the annular clearance on pressure drop and diversion capability.

stage to fill across and uphole of the stimulated zone. With sufficient plug length, the flexible plug is very resistant to displacement, possessing a yield pressure in the range of 9000 psi. After all treatments are complete, the plugs are easily circulated from the well using a vortex nozzle. This application has been combined with coiled tubing deployed sand-jet perforating in cased and cemented horizontal well completions.

A method developed for multiple-stage stimulation in open-hole horizontal wells uses the Venturi effect of a high velocity fluid jet focused on a specific point at the wellbore to favor hydraulic fracture propagation at that point.³⁸ The jetting fluid is normally conveyed by a coiled tubing string. The fracturing fluid is pumped down the open-hole/ coiled tubing annulus. This method is most likely to be effective when horizontal stress anisotropy is absent or very low.

Other iterations and combinations of the above technologies have been used effectively. An example is pumping down perforating guns and bridge plugs with gelled water in multiple-stage fracture stimulation of uncemented horizontal wells. This technique has been used in combination with external casing packers in the Bakken play in North Dakota.

Fluid and Proppant Design

Reservoir properties are important in selecting the best fracturing method. In shales, coals, and many tight gas sand intervals, natural fractures/ fissures/ cleats are the dominant flow conduits for liquids and gases. These rocks are characterized by very low leak off to the matrix. Because of the fissures, pressure dependent permeability and leak-off are often encountered during fracturing treatments. Fracturing fluid viscosity has a dominant influence on the leak-off to these pressure-sensitive fissures – low viscosity enhances and high viscosity diminishes the leak-off. Leak off enhances the potential for a wide zone of stimulation, including enhanced permeability due to shearing movements along the invaded fissure surfaces but increases the risk of proppant bridging at the fissure / hydraulic fracture nodes or intersections.^{8,39}

Water is used as a base fluid in most unconventional reservoir treatments. Water is economical and can be re-used, especially if chemical quality control standards are broad, as in waterfrac applications (i.e., non-gelled, non-viscosified water). Unconventional reservoir rock is usually chemically un-reactive to water as pore throats are too small to accept much fluid and the majority of flow and leak off occurs to fractures. Mobile or swelling clay minerals are not usually a component of fracture-fill material (or of matrix pore-wall linings.) Water becomes an issue when its physical properties, high density and capillary pressure gradient in small pore networks, render it immobile in low energy systems.

With several notable exceptions, there has been a strong trend to using waterfrac or slick water as the primary fracturing fluid in treating unconventional reservoirs.⁴⁰ Plain or slick water mitigates the plugging of fractures from gel residue. Water leaks off easily to fracture networks to widen the zone of stimulation by inducing shear fracture enhancement of marginal or cemented natural fracture networks. Proppant is important to stimulation results⁴¹ by extending the effective wellbore radius and serves this purpose by propping open at least the main part or “trunk” of the hydraulic fracture system. Proppant settles rapidly in waterfrac systems, forming a proppant bed along the bottom of the fracture; an equilibrium bed height is quickly established, then proppant is transported along the top of the bed toward its terminus. Within the bed, propped width is equal to the pumping width achieved during the pad stage of the treatment, resulting in a conductive multi-layer proppant pack. Perhaps more importantly, a highly conductive, open channel (an unpropped wedge) can persist along the top of the settled bed.^{9,42} The waterfrac/ sand bank method is particularly effective in small drainage area fluvial reservoirs with limited downward fracture height growth, as exist in the Piceance Basin Williams Fork formation.

In the unpropped wedge scenario, fine-mesh proppants can produce similar and sometimes better results as compared to commonly-used 20/40 mesh proppant since smaller proppant particles have less tendency to bridge and pack off in the fracture. In fact, 40/70 mesh has been a preferred proppant type in stimulating the Barnett Shale and many other unconventional reservoirs. The properties of an unpropped wedge are likely to be insensitive to the material characteristics of the proppant. Consequently, wells treated with non API-spec proppants may produce similarly to wells treated with standard proppants. If the unpropped wedge mechanism is validated in a particular application, formerly substandard sources of proppant could be approved for use, reducing demand on the limited supply of high quality 20/40 and 40/70 mesh sand.

Proppant-induced pressure increases (PIPI) and total treatment screenouts are generally undesirable in unconventional reservoir treatments. Most PIPIs are the result of proppant bridging near the wellbore.³⁹ When near-wellbore bridging happens, the ability to propagate and extend fracture growth far away from the wellbore is lost. Also, the proppant bridging may eliminate the potential for sustaining a high-conductivity open channel at the top of a settled proppant bed. An exception to this rule is the intentional use of high-concentration proppant slugs to induce diversion at the end of treatment stages in uncemented horizontal well treatments.

Although there is some concern in regard to the molecular weight characteristics of polyacrylamide (PA) friction reducers

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.