

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ASML NETHERLANDS B.V., EXCELITAS TECHNOLOGIES CORP., AND QIOPTIQ
PHOTONICS GMBH & Co. KG,
Petitioners

v.

ENERGETIQ TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00584

**DECLARATION OF J. GARY EDEN, PH.D.
REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 7,435,982
CLAIMS 38, 44-48, 51, 53, 54, 59, 65, 66, AND 73**

ASML 1403

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. BACKGROUND	1
II. LEGAL PRINCIPLES.....	6
III. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	8
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE '982 PATENT	8
A. Summary Of The Prosecution History	10
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	11
A. "Light source"	11
B. "High brightness light"	13
VI. Laser Sustained Plasma Light Sources Were Known Long Before the Priority Date of the '982 Patent.....	16
VII. GROUNDS FOR FINDING THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS INVALID...17	
A. Claims From Which The Challenged Claims Depend.....17	
1. <i>Claim 37 is Anticipated by Gärtner</i>	18
2. <i>Claim 42 is Anticipated by Gärtner</i>	22
3. <i>Claim 43 is Anticipated by Gärtner</i>	22
4. <i>Claim 63 is Obvious over Gärtner</i>	23
5. <i>Claim 67 is Anticipated by Gärtner</i>	26
B. Ground 1: Claims 38, 47, and 73 Are Anticipated By Gärtner.....27	
1. <i>Claim 38 - "wherein the at least one laser is selected from the group consisting of a continuous wave laser and a high pulse rate laser"</i>	27
2. <i>Claim 47 - "wherein the chamber comprises an ultraviolet transparent region"</i>	29
3. <i>Claim 73 - "delivering the high brightness light emitted by the ionized medium to a tool"</i>	30
C. Ground 2: Claims 44, 48, 51, 53, 54, 65, And 66 Are Obvious Over Gärtner	31
1. <i>Claim 44 - "wherein the optical element is a lens selected from the group consisting of an aplanatic lens, an achromatic lens, a single element lens, and a fresnel lens"</i>	31

2.	<i>Claim 48 - “wherein the chamber or a window in the chamber comprises a material selected from the group consisting of quartz, suprasil quartz, sapphire, MgF₂, diamond, and CaF₂”</i>	32
3.	<i>Claim 51 - “wherein the chamber comprises a dielectric material”</i>	33
4.	<i>Claim 53 - “wherein the dielectric material is quartz”</i>	34
5.	<i>Claim 54 - “wherein the chamber is an ultraviolet transparent dielectric chamber”</i>	35
6.	<i>Claim 65 - “wherein the optical element is configured to deliver the electromagnetic radiation emitted by the ionized medium to a tool”</i>	36
7.	<i>Claim 66 - “wherein the tool is selected from the group consisting of a wafer inspection tool, a microscope, a metrology tool, a lithography tool, and an endoscopic tool”</i>	37
D.	Ground 3: Claim 45 Is Obvious Over Gärtner In View Of Ito	37
1.	<i>Claim 45 - “wherein the optical element is a mirror selected from the group consisting of a coated mirror, a dielectric coated mirror, a narrow band mirror, and an ultraviolet transparent infrared reflecting mirror”</i>	38
E.	Ground 4: Claims 46 And 59 Are Obvious Over Gärtner In View Of Mourou	46
1.	<i>Claims 46 and 59</i>	46
VIII.	RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS RAISED BY PATENT OWNER IN ITS PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION MOTION	51
A.	Patent Owner’s Arguments Regarding “High Brightness Light”	52
B.	Patent Owner’s Arguments Regarding Objective Indicia of Non-Obviousness	56
IX.	AVAILABILITY FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION	57
X.	RIGHT TO SUPPLEMENT	58
XI.	JURAT	59

I, J. Gary Eden, Ph.D., declare as follows:

1. My name is J. Gary Eden.

I. BACKGROUND

2. I am the Gilmore Family Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering and Director of the Laboratory for Optical Physics and Engineering at the University of Illinois in Urbana, Illinois.

3. I received a B.S. in Electrical Engineering (High Honors) from the University of Maryland, College Park in 1972 and an M.S. and Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from the University of Illinois in 1973 and 1976, respectively.

4. After receiving my doctorate, I served as a National Research Council Postdoctoral Research Associate at the United States Naval Research Laboratory (“NRL”), Optical Sciences Division, in Washington, DC from 1975 to 1976. As a research physicist in the Laser Physics Branch (Optical Sciences Division) from 1976 to 1979, I made several contributions to the visible and ultraviolet lasers and laser spectroscopy field, including the co-discovery of the KrCl rare gas-halide excimer laser and the proton beam pumped laser (Ar-N₂, XeF). In 1979, I received a Research Publication Award for this work at the NRL.

5. In 1979, I was appointed assistant professor in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of Illinois. In 1981, I became associate professor in this same department, and in 1983, I became

professor in this department. In 1985, I was named the Director of the Laboratory for Optical Physics and Engineering, and in 2007, I was named the Gilmore Family Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering. I continue to hold both positions today. In addition, I am also Research Professor in the Coordinated Science Laboratory and the Micro and Nanotechnology Laboratory, and I hold academic appointments at the University of Illinois in the Departments of Materials Science and Engineering, Bioengineering, and Nuclear, Plasma, and Radiological Engineering.

6. Since joining the faculty of the University of Illinois in 1979, I have been engaged in research in atomic, molecular and ultrafast laser spectroscopy, the discovery and development of visible and ultraviolet lasers, and the science and technology of microcavity plasma devices. My research has been featured in Laser Focus, Photonics Spectra, Electronics Weekly (UK), the Bulletin of the Materials Research Society, Microwaves, Optical Spectra, Electro-Optical Systems Design, Optics and Laser Technology, Electronics, Optics News, Lasers and Optronics, IEEE Potentials, IEEE Spectrum, and IEEE Circuits and Devices. My work was also highlighted in the National Academy of Sciences report Plasma 2010, published in 2007.

7. I have made several major contributions to the field of laser physics, plasma physics, and atomic and molecular physics. I co-invented a new form of

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.