

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ASML NETHERLANDS B.V., EXCELITAS TECHNOLOGIES CORP., AND QIOPTIQ
PHOTONICS GMBH & Co. KG,
Petitioners

v.

ENERGETIQ TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00583

**DECLARATION OF J. GARY EDEN, PH.D.
REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 7,435,982
CLAIMS 2, 5-9, 12-15, 20, 22, 28, 29, 35, AND 36**

ASML 1303

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| I. BACKGROUND | 1 |
| II. LEGAL PRINCIPLES..... | 6 |
| III. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART | 8 |
| IV. OVERVIEW OF THE '982 PATENT | 8 |
| A. Summary Of The Prosecution History | 10 |
| V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION | 11 |
| A. "Light source" | 11 |
| B. "High brightness light" | 13 |
| VI. Laser Sustained Plasma Light Sources Were Known Long Before the Priority Date of the '982 Patent..... | 16 |
| VII. GROUNDS FOR FINDING THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS INVALID... 17 | |
| A. Claims From Which The Challenged Claims Depend..... 17 | |
| 1. <i>Claim 1 is Anticipated by Gärtner</i> | 18 |
| 2. <i>Claim 3 is Anticipated by Gärtner</i> | 21 |
| 3. <i>Claim 4 is Anticipated by Gärtner</i> | 22 |
| 4. <i>Claim 26 is Obvious over Gärtner</i> | 22 |
| 5. <i>Claim 30 is Anticipated by Gärtner</i> | 25 |
| B. Ground 1: Claims 8, 22, 35, And 36 Are Anticipated By Gärtner..... 26 | |
| 1. <i>Claim 8 – “wherein the chamber comprises an ultraviolet transparent region”</i> | 27 |
| 2. <i>Claim 22 - “wherein the at least one laser is selected from the group consisting of an IR laser, a diode laser, a fiber laser, an ytterbium laser, a CO₂ laser, a YAG laser, and a gas discharge laser”</i> | 27 |
| 3. <i>Claim 35 – “delivering the high brightness light emitted by the ionized medium to a tool”</i> | 28 |
| 4. <i>Claim 36 – “wherein the tool is selected from the group consisting of a wafer inspection tool, a microscope, a metrology tool, a lithography tool, and an endoscopic tool”</i> | 29 |

| | | |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| C. | Ground 2: Claims 5, 9, 12, 14, 15, 28, And 29 Are Obvious Over Gärtner | 30 |
| 1. | <i>Claim 5 - “wherein the optical element is a lens selected from the group consisting of an aplanatic lens, an achromatic lens, a single element lens, and a fresnel lens”</i> | 30 |
| 2. | <i>Claim 9 - “wherein the chamber or a window in the chamber comprises a material selected from the group consisting of quartz, Suprasil quartz, sapphire, MgF₂, diamond, and CaF₂”</i> | 32 |
| 3. | <i>Claim 12 - “wherein the chamber comprises a dielectric material”</i> | 33 |
| 4. | <i>Claim 14 - “wherein the dielectric material is quartz”</i> | 33 |
| 5. | <i>Claim 15 - “wherein the chamber is an ultraviolet transparent dielectric chamber”</i> | 34 |
| 6. | <i>Claim 28 - “wherein the optical element is configured to deliver the electromagnetic radiation emitted by the ionized gas to a tool”</i> | 35 |
| 7. | <i>Claim 29 - “wherein the tool is selected from the group consisting of a wafer inspection tool, a microscope, a metrology tool, a lithography tool, and an endoscopic tool”</i> | 36 |
| D. | Ground 3: Claim 6 Is Obvious Over Gärtner In View Of Ito | 37 |
| 1. | <i>Claim 6 - “wherein the optical element is a mirror selected from the group consisting of a coated mirror, a dielectric coated mirror, a narrow band mirror, and an ultraviolet transparent infrared reflecting mirror”</i> | 37 |
| E. | Ground 4: Claims 2, 7, And 20 Are Obvious Over Gärtner In View Of Mourou | 45 |
| 1. | <i>Claims 2, 7, 20</i> | 45 |
| F. | Ground 5: Claim 13 Is Obvious Over Gärtner In View of Sato | 51 |
| 1. | <i>Claim 13 - “wherein the chamber is a glass bulb”</i> | 51 |
| VIII. | RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS RAISED BY PATENT OWNER IN ITS PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION MOTION | 56 |
| A. | Patent Owner’s Arguments Regarding “High Brightness Light” | 56 |
| B. | Patent Owner’s Arguments Regarding Objective Indicia of Non-Obviousness | 61 |

IX. AVAILABILITY FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION62
X. RIGHT TO SUPPLEMENT62
XI. JURAT63

I, J. Gary Eden, Ph.D., declare as follows:

1. My name is J. Gary Eden.

I. BACKGROUND

2. I am the Gilmore Family Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering and Director of the Laboratory for Optical Physics and Engineering at the University of Illinois in Urbana, Illinois.

3. I received a B.S. in Electrical Engineering (High Honors) from the University of Maryland, College Park in 1972 and an M.S. and Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from the University of Illinois in 1973 and 1976, respectively.

4. After receiving my doctorate, I served as a National Research Council Postdoctoral Research Associate at the United States Naval Research Laboratory (“NRL”), Optical Sciences Division, in Washington, DC from 1975 to 1976. As a research physicist in the Laser Physics Branch (Optical Sciences Division) from 1976 to 1979, I made several contributions to the visible and ultraviolet lasers and laser spectroscopy field, including the co-discovery of the KrCl rare gas-halide excimer laser and the proton beam pumped laser (Ar-N₂, XeF). In 1979, I received a Research Publication Award for this work at the NRL.

5. In 1979, I was appointed assistant professor in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of Illinois. In 1981, I became associate professor in this same department, and in 1983, I became

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.