

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMX, LLC and
Dell Inc.,

Petitioners

v.

ChriMar Systems, Inc.,

Patent Owner

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,902,760**

Case No. IPR2016-00574

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Compliance with Requirements for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	1
A.	Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)).....	1
1.	Real Party-in-Interest (§ 42.8(b)(1)).....	1
2.	Related Matters (§ 42.8(b)(2))	1
3.	Designation of Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information (§§ 42.8(b)(3)-(4))	2
B.	Fee for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review (37 C.F.R. § 42.103).....	2
C.	Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))	3
III.	Relevant Background on the '760 Patent	3
A.	Level of Ordinary Skill	3
B.	Description of the Alleged Invention of the '760 Patent	4
C.	Priority Claims in the '760 Patent.....	5
D.	Priority Date of the '760 Patent.....	6
IV.	State of the Art.....	8
V.	Claim Construction.....	10
A.	“BaseT”	11
VI.	Identification of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)) and Reasonable Likelihood that the Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable.....	11
A.	Ground 1: The challenged claims are obvious based on the De Nicolo references.....	12
1.	The De Nicolo References	12
2.	Reasons to Combine the De Nicolo References	13

3.	Independent Claim 1	15
a.	“A BaseT Ethernet system”	15
b.	“a piece of central BaseT Ethernet equipment”	17
c.	“a piece of BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment”	18
d.	“data signaling pairs of conductors comprising first and second pairs used to carry BaseT Ethernet communication signals between the piece of central BaseT Ethernet equipment and the piece of BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment, the first and second pairs physically connect between the piece of BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment and the piece of central BaseT Ethernet equipment”	19
e.	“the piece of central BaseT Ethernet equipment having at least one DC supply”	20
f.	“the piece of BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment having at least one path to draw different magnitudes of current flow from the at least one DC supply through a loop formed over at least one of the conductors of the first pair and at least one of the conductors of the second pair”	21
g.	“the piece of central BaseT Ethernet equipment to detect at least two different magnitudes of the current flow through the loop and to control the application of at least one electrical condition to at least two of the conductors”	23
4.	Dependent Claim 31: “wherein the BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment comprises a controller coupled to the at least one path”	26

5.	Dependent Claim 37: “wherein one or more magnitudes of the current flow through the loop represent information about the piece of BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment”	28
6.	Dependent Claim 58: “wherein the piece of central BaseT Ethernet equipment to detect current flow through the loop via voltage”	30
7.	Dependent Claim 59: “wherein at least one of the different magnitudes of current flow through the loop is part of a detection protocol”	31
8.	Dependent Claim 69: “wherein the piece of central BaseT Ethernet equipment to distinguish the piece of BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment from at least one other piece of BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment”	32
9.	Dependent Claim 72: “wherein the piece of BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment is a powered-off piece of BaseT Ethernet equipment”	33
10.	Independent Claim 73	34
11.	Dependent Claims 106, 112, 134, 142, and 145	35
B.	Ground 2: The challenged claims are obvious based on the Auto-Negotiation references.	35
1.	Auto-Negotiation References.....	35
2.	Reasons to Combine the Auto-Negotiation References	36
3.	Independent Claim 1	38
a.	“A BaseT Ethernet system”	38
b.	“a piece of central BaseT Ethernet equipment”	39
c.	“a piece of BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment”	41

d.	“data signaling pairs of conductors comprising first and second pairs used to carry BaseT Ethernet communication signals between the piece of central BaseT Ethernet equipment and the piece of BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment, the first and second pairs physically connect between the piece of BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment and the piece of central BaseT Ethernet equipment”	43
e.	“the piece of central BaseT Ethernet equipment having at least one DC supply”	46
f.	“the piece of BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment having at least one path to draw different magnitudes of current flow from the at least one DC supply through a loop formed over at least one of the conductors of the first pair and at least one of the conductors of the second pair”	48
g.	“the piece of central BaseT Ethernet equipment to detect at least two different magnitudes of the current flow through the loop and to control the application of at least one electrical condition to at least two of the conductors”	53
4.	Dependent Claim 31: “wherein the BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment comprises a controller coupled to the at least one path”	54
5.	Dependent Claim 37: “wherein one or more magnitudes of the current flow through the loop represent information about the piece of BaseT Ethernet terminal equipment”	55
6.	Dependent Claim 58: “wherein the piece of central BaseT Ethernet equipment to detect current flow through the loop via voltage”	56

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.