

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

HEWLETT-PACKARD CO. and)
ARUBA NETWORKS, INC.,)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC. d/b/a)
CMS TECHNOLOGIES,)

Defendant.)

Case No. _____

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

**HEWLETT-PACKARD CO. AND ARUBA NETWORKS, INC.’S
COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiffs Hewlett-Packard Company (“HP”) and Aruba Networks, Inc. (“Aruba”) (collectively and each in its own right, “Plaintiffs”), for their Complaint against Defendant ChriMar Systems, Inc. d/b/a CMS Technologies (“ChriMar”), hereby demand a jury trial and allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiffs each seek a declaratory judgment of patent noninfringement, invalidity, and unenforceability due to unclean hands, estoppel, waiver, and/or implied license of United States Patent Nos. 9,019,838 (the “838 Patent”), entitled “Central Piece of Network Equipment,” and 9,049,019 (the “019 Patent”), entitled “Network Equipment and Optional Tether,” pursuant to the Patent Laws of the

United States, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, and such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

2. Aruba seeks a declaratory judgment of patent noninfringement, invalidity, and unenforceability due to unclean hands, estoppel, waiver, and/or implied license of United States Patent Nos. 7,457,250 (the “250 Patent”), entitled “System for Communicating with Electronic Equipment”; 8,155,012 (the “012 Patent”), entitled “System and Method for Adapting a Piece of Terminal Equipment”; 8,902,760 (the “760 Patent”), entitled “Network System and Optional Tethers”; and 8,942,107 (the “107 Patent”), entitled “A Piece of Ethernet Terminal Equipment,” pursuant to the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, and such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

3. A true and correct copy of the ‘838 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A. A true and correct copy of the ‘019 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B. A true and correct copy of the ‘250 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C. A true and correct copy of the ‘012 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D. A true and correct copy of the ‘760 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit E. A true and correct copy of the ‘107 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit F.

4. Plaintiffs also bring an action for breach of contract by ChriMar for breach of the IEEE’s patent policy and bylaws that required ChriMar to disclose through a Letter of Assurance patents or patent applications that ChriMar believed

were infringed by the practice of actual and/or proposed standards of the IEEE, such as ChriMar's '838 and '019 Patent-related applications.

5. Plaintiffs also bring an action under Section 17200 *et seq.* of the California Business and Professions Code for ChriMar's unfair business practices related to its conduct before the IEEE and its enforcement of the '838 Patent, the '019 Patent, and related patents.

6. Aruba also brings an action for breach of contract by ChriMar for breach of the IEEE's patent policy and bylaws that required ChriMar to disclose through a Letter of Assurance patents or patent applications that ChriMar believed were infringed by the practice of actual and/or proposed standards of the IEEE, such as ChriMar's '250, '012, '760, and '107 Patent-related applications.

7. Aruba also brings an action under Section 17200 *et seq.* of the California Business and Professions Code for ChriMar's unfair business practices related to its conduct before the IEEE and its enforcement of the '250 Patent, the '012 Patent, the '760 Patent, the '107 Patent, and related patents.

PARTIES

8. Plaintiff Hewlett-Packard Co. is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business at 3000 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, California.

9. Plaintiff Aruba Networks, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business at 1322 Crossman Avenue, Sunnyvale, California. Aruba was acquired by HP in 2015, in a transaction completed on May 19, 2015. Aruba is now a wholly-owned subsidiary of HP, but remains separately incorporated.

10. On information and belief, Defendant ChriMar Systems, Inc. d/b/a CMS Technologies is a Michigan corporation with its principal place of business at 36528 Grand River Avenue, Suite A-1 in Farmington Hills, Michigan.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This Court has jurisdiction over these claims pursuant to, and without limitation, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and 1367; the Declaratory Judgment Act 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202; and the patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*

12. The Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims asserted in this Complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because the state and federal claims arise from a common nucleus of operative facts.

13. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between ChriMar and Plaintiffs as to the noninfringement, invalidity, and unenforceability of the '838 and '019 Patents. In addition, an actual and justiciable controversy exists between ChriMar and Aruba as to the noninfringement, invalidity, and unenforceability of

the ‘250, ‘012, ‘760, and ‘107 Patents. As further alleged below, ChriMar is and has been engaged in a campaign to license and enforce its patent portfolio against manufacturers and sellers of Power over Ethernet (“PoE”) networking products, including Plaintiffs. In connection with ChriMar’s licensing campaign targeting PoE products, HP is currently involved in litigation against ChriMar with respect to U.S. Patent No. 7,457,250 (the “‘250 Patent”).¹ This litigation involves PoE products implementing the IEEE 802.3af and IEEE 802.3at amendments to the IEEE 802.3 standard. HP has also brought declaratory judgment actions against ChriMar with respect to related U.S. Patent No. 8,155,012 (the “‘012 Patent”)² and U.S. Patent Nos. 8,902,760 (the “‘760 Patent”) and 8,942,107 (the “‘107 Patent”) in this Court.³ The ‘838 Patent issued in April 2015, and the ‘019 Patent recently issued in June 2015. Plaintiffs maintain that the ‘838 and ‘019 Patents are invalid, unenforceable, and are not infringed by Plaintiffs’ PoE products capable of implementing the IEEE 802.3af and IEEE 802.3at amendments to the IEEE 802.3

¹ *ChriMar Systems, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc.*, No. 4:13-cv-1300-JSW (N.D. Cal.) (“*ChriMar v. Cisco*”).

² *Hewlett-Packard Co. v. ChriMar Systems, Inc.*, No. 2:14-cv-10292 (E.D. Mich.). That action is currently stayed pending resolution of the N.D. Cal. litigation.

³ *Hewlett-Packard Co. v. ChriMar Systems, Inc.*, No. 2: 15-cv-10814 (E.D. Mich.). That action is currently stayed pending resolution of the N.D. Cal. litigation.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.